SEMINAR ON THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CONJECTURE FOR GL_n OVER *p*-ADIC FIELDS

ORGANIZER: RUOCHUAN LIU, XU SHEN WINTER SEMESTER 2015/16

In this seminar, we want to understand Scholze's proof of the local Langlands conjecture for GL_n over *p*-adic fields, cf. [11], which, among others, simplifies substantially some arguments in the proof given by Harris-Taylor, cf. [6]. Fix a *p*-adic field *F*, i.e. a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Denote by $\mathcal{A}_n(F)$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible admissible representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. On the other hand, denote by $\mathcal{G}_n(F)$ the set of equivalence classes of Frobenius semisimple n-dimensional complex Weil-Deligne representations of the Weil group W_F . Recall that the statement of the local Langlands conjecture for GL_n over *F*, now a theorem of Harris-Taylor ([6]) and Henniart ([9]), is as follows.

Theorem 1 (Harris-Taylor, Henniart). There is a unique collection of bijections

$$\sigma: \mathcal{A}_n(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n(F), \quad \pi \mapsto \sigma(\pi)$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1) For n = 1, $\sigma(\pi)$ is given by local class field theory.
- (2) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(F), \chi \in \mathcal{A}_1(F)$, we have

$$\sigma(\pi \otimes \chi \circ \det) = \sigma(\pi) \otimes \sigma(\chi).$$

(3) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(F)$ with central character ω_{π} , we have

$$\sigma(\omega_{\pi}) = \det \sigma(\pi).$$

(4) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(F)$ with contragredient dual π^{\vee} , we have

$$\sigma(\pi^{\vee}) = \sigma(\pi)^{\vee}.$$

(5) For $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{n_1}(F), \pi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{n_2}(F)$, we have

$$L(\pi_1 imes \pi_2, s) = L(\sigma(\pi_1) \otimes \sigma(\pi_2), s), \quad arepsilon(\pi_1 imes \pi_2, s, \psi) = arepsilon(\sigma(\pi_1) \otimes \sigma(\pi_2), s, \psi),$$

where $\psi: F \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a fixed additive character.

This collection does not depend on the choice of the additive character ψ .

As in [6] and [9], the proof of the above theorem given by Scholze in [11] also uses some global arguments, which are based on the study of some unitary Shimura varieties. There are two key ingredients in Scholze's proof. The first one is a new definition of the test functions, which appear naturally in the counting points formula for bad reductions of the involved Shimrua varieties, generalizing the formula in [4]. These test functions are constructed by moduli spaces of *p*-divisible groups, and can be generalized to more general PEL setting. The second ingredient is the computation of the inertia-invariant nearby cycles for these special Shimrua varieties. This calculation leads to a direct proof of the bijectivity of the correspondence, without using the numerical local Langlands correspondence, [7], in contrast to both [6] and [9].

We thank Weizhe Zheng sincerely for his support.

As in [6], along the way to prove the local Langlands conjecture for GL_n , Scholze also proves the existence of certain automorphic Galois representations and a local-global compatibility statement, cf. Theorem 1.3 of [11].

0. Introduction. First give some overview of the local Langlands conjecture. Then outline the proof given in [11].

1. Weil-Deligne representations. Recall the definition and basic properties of complex Weil-Deligne representations of W_F . Then prove Theorem 4.2.1 and Corollary 4.2.2 of [12], see [3] section 8, which gives the bijection between complex Weil-Deligne representations and continuous *l*-adic representations of W_F for a prime $l \neq p$. Recall also that by Jacobson-Morozov theorem, we can interpret a Weil-Deligne representation as a continuous complex semisimple representation of the group $W_F \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, cf. [13] 3.1.10.

2. *L*- and ε -factors on the Galois side. Define the *L*- and ε -factors for a Weil-Deligne representation of W_F , cf. [13] 3.2. In particular, state the theorem of Deligne on the existence of ε -factors and give a proof, cf. [3] section 4.

3. Smooth representations of *p*-adic reductive groups and the Zelevinsky classification for GL_n . Review some generalities on the smooth representations of *p*-adic reductive groups, cf. [13] 2.1. Define the Bernstein center, cf. [1]. Then recall the Zelevinsky classification for GL_n following [13] 2.2 and [14].

4. L- and ε -factors on the \mathbf{GL}_n side. Define the L- and ε -factors on the \mathbf{GL}_n side, cf. [13] 2.5. Then give a sketch of the proof of the main result of [8], in the formulation with generic representations; also state the version with supercuspidal representations as a corollary.

5. Cuspidal automorphic representations of GL_n . Explain the general definition of a cuspidal automorphic representation for GL_n as in [2] section 3.3. State the theorem on the complete decomposition of L_0^2 , the tensor product theorem, the existence of global Whittaker models and local uniqueness of Whittaker models and indicate proofs where possible (one can restrict to n = 2 and \mathbb{Q} whenever this helps). Deduce some form of (strong) multiplicity 1. Explain briefly how to convert classical modular forms into automorphic forms (representations) on $\operatorname{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$, following [5], Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 4.1.

6. Automorphic forms on D^{\times} and the simple unitary groups. Define the simple unitary group G associated to a division algebra D over a CM filed, as in [6] I.7. Then study automorphic forms on D^{\times} and G by the Global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence and base change: state Theorems VI.1.1, VI.2.1 and VI. 2.9 of [6]. Indicate the ideas for the proofs whenever possible.

7. Harris-Taylor's Shimura varieties. Introduce Harris-Taylor's Shimura varieties, as [6] III.1, III.4, and [11] section 8. Applying Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.3 of [10] to prove Lemma 5.5 and Corollary 5.6 of [10].

8. Deformation spaces of *p*-divisible groups and the test functions. Define the test functions $\phi_{\tau,h}$ in section 2 of [11], then prove Theorem 2.6 there. Cover the contents in section 4.

9. Properties of the test functions. Prove Lemma 3.2 of [11]. Then cover the contents in section 5 and 6.

3

10. Counting points modulo p. Define the global test functions for Harris-Taylor's Shimura varieties by proving Corollary 7.4 of [11]. Then give the ideas in the counting points arguments, following [11] section 9 and [4]. Prove Theorem 9.3 and Corollary 9.4 of [11].

11. *l*-adic cohomology and Galois representations attached to automorphic forms. Prove Corollary 10.3 of [11]. Then assuming the statements (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.2 of loc. cit, prove Theorem 10.6.

12. The *l*-adic cohomology of Lubin-Tate tower. Recall the definition of Lubin-Tate tower. Cover section 11 of [11].

13. Bijectivity of the correspondence. Prove Theorems 12.1 and 12.3 of [11].

14. Non-Galois automorphic induction and compatibility of L- and ε -factors. Prove Theorems 13.6 and 14.1 of [11].

References

- J. N. Bernstein, Le "centre" de Bernstein, in "Representations of reductive groups over a local field", Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984, 1-32.
- [2] D. Bump, Automorphic forms and representations, volume 55 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [3] P. Deligne, Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions L, in "Modular functions of one variable, II" (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973, 501-597.
- [4] R. E. Kottwitz, Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), 373-444.
- [5] S. S. Kudla, From modular forms to automorphic representations, in "An introduction to the Langlands program" (Jerusalem, 2001), Boston, Boston, MA, 2003, 133-151.
- [6] M. Harris, R. Taylor, The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties, Ann. of Math. Stud. 151, Princeton Univ. Press, 2001.
- [7] G. Henniart, La conjecture de Langlands locale numérique pour GL(n), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 21(4) 1988, 497544.
- [8] G. Henniart, Caractérisation de la correspondance de Langlands locale par les facteurs ε de paires, Invent. Math., 113(2) 1993, 339-350.
- [9] G. Henniart, Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour GL(n) sur un corps p-adique, Invent. Math., 139(2) 2000, 439-455.
- [10] P. Scholze, The Langlands-Kottwitz approach for some simple Shimura varieties, Invent. Math. 192 (2013), no.3, 627-661.
- [11] P. Scholze, The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n over p-adic fields, Invent. Math. 192 (2013), no.3, 663-715.
- [12] J. Tate, Number theoretic background, in "Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions" (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, 3-26.
- [13] T. Wedhorn, The local Langlands correspondence for GL(n) over p-adic fields, in "School on Automorphic Forms on GL(n)", volume 21 of ICTP Lect. Notes, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2008, 237-320.
- [14] A. V. Zelevinsky, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of GL(n), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 13(2) 1980, 165210.