CLASSICALITY OF OVERCONVERGENT HILBERT EIGENFORMS: CASE OF QUADRATIC RESIDUE DEGREES

YICHAO TIAN

ABSTRACT. Let F be a real quadratic field, p be a rational prime inert in F, and $N \ge 4$ be an integer coprime to p. Consider an overconvergent p-adic Hilbert eigenform f for Fof weight $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$. We prove that if the slope of f is strictly less than min $\{k_1, k_2\} - 2$, then f is a classical Hilbert modular form of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. We fix a prime number p > 0. A famous theorem of Coleman says that an overconvergent *p*-adic (elliptic) modular eigenform of small slope is actually classical. More precisely, let $N \geq 5$ be an integer coprime to p, and $X_1(N)^{\text{an}}$ be the rigid analytification of the usual modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ over \mathbf{Q}_p . We denote by $X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}^{\text{an}}$ the ordinary locus of $X_1(N)^{\text{an}}$. For $p \geq 5$, $X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}^{\text{an}}$ is simply the locus where E_{p-1} (Eisenstein series of weight p-1), the standard lift of the Hasse invariant, has non-zero reduction modulo p. For any integer $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, Katz [Ka73] defined the space $M_k^{\dagger}(\Gamma_1(N))$ of overconvergent p-adic modular forms of weight k. An element in $M_k^{\dagger}(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a section of the modular line bundle $\underline{\omega}^k$ defined over a strict neighborhood of $X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}^{\text{an}}$ in $X_1(N)^{\text{an}}$. Moreover, Katz also defined a completely continuous operator U_p acting on $M^{\dagger}(\Gamma_1(N))$. There is a natural injection from $M_k(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$ to $M_k^{\dagger}(\Gamma_1(N))$, where $M_k(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$ is the space of classical modular forms of weight k and level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$, that is, sections of $\underline{\omega}^k$ over the modular curve $X(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$. In [Col96], Coleman proved that if $f \in \mathrm{M}_{k}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{1}(N))$ is a U_{p} -eigenvector with eigenvalue a_{p} and $v_{p}(a_{p}) < k-1$, then f actually lies in $M_k(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$. Coleman's original proof for this deep result was achieved by an ingenious dimension counting argument. Later on, Buzzard [Bu03] and Kassaei [Ks06] reproved Coleman's theorem by an elegant analytic continuation process. The basic idea of Buzzard-Kassaei was to extend successively the section f by the functional equation $f = \frac{1}{a_p} U_p(f)$ to the entire rigid analytic space $X(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))^{\text{an}}$. Actually, Buzzard proved that f can be extended to the union of ordinary locus and the area with supersingular reduction of $X(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))^{\mathrm{an}}$. Then Kassaei constructed another form g on the complement to Buzzard's area, and showed that f and q glue together to an analytic section of ω^k over $X(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))^{\text{an}}$. The rigid GAGA theorem [Ab11, 7.6.11] then implies that this is indeed a genuine section of $\underline{\omega}^k$ over the algebraic modular curve $X(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$. In this process, the theory of canonical subgroups for elliptic curves developed in [Ka73] due to Lubin and Katz plays a fundamental role.

¹Partially supported by a grant DMS-0635607 from the National Science Foundation.

There have been many efforts in generalizing the classical theory on overconvergent p-adic modular forms to other situations. First of all, to generalize overconvergent pmodular forms and the U_p -operator, we need to construct canonical subgroups in more general context. This has been done by many authors. For instance, [KL05], [GK09] consider the Hilbert case, and [AM04], [AG07] treat the general case for abelian varieties, and finally in [Ti10], [Fa09], [Ra09] and [Ha10] the canonical subgroups are constructed for general p-divisible groups. Using the canonical subgroups, overconvergent p-adic modular forms and the U_p -operators can be constructed similarly in various settings. However, the generalization of Coleman's classicality criterion need more hard work. As far as I know, this criterion has been generalized in the following cases. In [Col97a], Coleman himself generalized his results to modular forms of higher level at p. Kassaei considered in [Ks09] the case of modular forms defined over various Shimura curves. In [Sa10], Sasaki generalized it to the case of Hilbert eigenforms when p totally splits in the totally real field defining the Hilbert-Blumenthal modular variety. Finally, Pilloni proved in [Pi09] the classicality criterion for overconvergent Siegel modular forms of genus 2. In this paper, we will follow the idea of Buzzard-Kassaei to study overconvergent Hilbert modular forms in the quadratic inert case.

1.2. To simplify the notation, let's describe our result in a special but essential case. Let F be a real quadratic number field in which p is inert, and \mathcal{O}_F be its ring of integers. We put $\kappa \simeq \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$, $W = \mathcal{O}_{F_p}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa} = W[1/p]$. We denote by $\mathbb{B} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ the two embeddings of F into \mathbf{Q}_{κ} . Let $N \geq 4$ be an integer coprime to p. We consider the Hilbert-Blumenthal modular variety X over Spec(W) that classifies prime-to-p polarized abelian schemes A with real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_F of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$. Let Y be the moduli space that classifies the same data and together with an (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup of A[p]. For each pair of integers $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, we have the modular line bundle $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over X and Y (See 2.3 for its precise definition). For each finite extension L of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , we put $\mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p), L) = H^0(Y_L, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$, and call it the space of (geometric) Hilbert modular forms of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight \vec{k} with coefficients in L. This is a finite dimensional vector space over L by classical Koecher principle, and the theory of arithmetic compactifications of Hilbert-Blumenthal modular varieties [Rap78, Ch90, DP94] implies that it actually descends to a finite flat $\mathbf{Z}[1/N]$ -module.

Let \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} be respectively the completion of X and Y along their special fibers, and \mathfrak{X}_{rig} and \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} be their rigid analytic generic fibers à la Raynaud [Ab11, Ch. 4]. We still have a natural forgetful map $\pi : \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} \to \mathfrak{X}_{rig}$. For each $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, we denote still by $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ the rigidification of the line bundle $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$. Let \mathfrak{X}_{rig}^{ord} be the ordinary locus of \mathfrak{X}_{rig} , i.e. the locus where the universal rigid Hilbert-Blumenthal abelian variety \mathfrak{A}_{rig} over \mathfrak{X}_{rig} has good ordinary reduction. Then the multiplicative part of the universal finite flat group scheme $\mathfrak{A}_{rig}[p]$ defines a section $s^{\circ}: \mathfrak{X}_{rig}^{ord} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ of the projection π over \mathfrak{X}_{rig}^{ord} . We denote by \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord} the image of s° , so that $\pi|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord}} : \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}_{rig}^{ord}$ is an isomorphism of quasi-compact rigid analytic spaces. For a finite extension L of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , Kisin and Lai [KL05] defined an overconvergent Hilbert modular form of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ and weight \vec{k} with coefficients in L

 $\mathbf{2}$

to be a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ that extends to a strict neighborhood of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. We denote by $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L)$ the space of such forms. This is a direct limit of infinite dimensional Banach spaces over L. Moreover, the theory of canonical subgroups for Hilbert modular varieties allows them to define a completely continuous U_p -operator on $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L)$. Note that a relatively weak formulation of the existence of canonical subgroups says that the section $s^{\circ}: \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ extends to a strict neighborhood of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, or equivalently the isomorphism $\pi|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}}$ extends to a strict neighborhood. Therefore, there exists a natural injection

$$\mathrm{M}_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N)\cap\Gamma_{0}(p),L)\to\mathrm{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L).$$

We say an element f in $M_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L)$ is classical if it lies in the image of this injection. The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in M_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L)$ be a U_p -eigenvector with eigenvalue a_p . If $v_p(a_p) < \min\{k_1, k_2\} - 2$, then f is classical.

Actually, we prove our main Theorem in a slightly more general setting 2.17. Note that our results imply that, in the quadratic inert case, the classical points are Zariski dense in the eigencurve for overconvergent Hilbert modular forms of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ constructed in [KL05] (See Theorem 2.21).

Let's indicate the ideas of the proof. First, by rigid GAGA and a rigid version of Koecher principle (Prop. 2.7), we just need to extend f analytically to the entire rigid space \mathfrak{P}_{rig} . To achieve this, the key point is to understand the dynamics of the Hecke correspondence U_p on \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} (2.15). Three ingredients from the work of Goren and Kassaei [GK09] will be important for us. The first one is the stratification on the special fiber Y_{κ} defined by them; the second is their valuation on \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} via local parameters; and the third one is the so-called "Key Lemma" [GK09, 2.8.1], which relates the partial Hasse invariants with the certain local parameters of Y_{κ} . In this paper, we will interpret their valuation on \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} in terms of partial degrees (cf. 4.7, 4.8). They are natural refinements in the real multiplication case of the usual degree function, which has been introduced by Fargues [Fa10] and applied by Pilloni [Pi09] to the analytic continuation of p-adic Siegel modular forms. Actually, our work originates from an effort to understand the geometric meaning of Goren-Kassaei's valuation. Compared with the totally split case, our difficulty comes from the fact that the p-divisible group associated with a Hilbert-Blumenthal abelian variety (HBAV) with RM by \mathcal{O}_F is a genuine p-divisible group of dimension 2, so its group law can not be explicitly described by one-variable power series. We overcome this by using Breuil-Kisin modules to compute the partial degrees of the p-torsion of a HBAV. This approach is motivated by the recent work of Hattori [Ha10]. These local computations via Breuil-Kisin modules combined with Goren-Kassaei's "Key Lemma" will give us enough information to understand the dynamics of the Hecke correspondence U_p except the case mentioned in Prop. 4.16 and 5.11. In this exceptional case, we have to study in detail the local moduli of deformations of a superspecial HBAV. This is achieved in Appendix B by using Zink's theory on Dieudonné windows [Zi01]. Finally, we can prove that the form f extends to an admissible open subset of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} that contains the tube over the complement to the

codimension 2 stratum in Goren-Kassaei's stratification on Y_{κ} (Prop. 5.14). By a useful trick invented by Pilloni in [Pi09, §7], this allows us to conclude that f extends indeed to the entire \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} (Prop. 4.15).

1.4. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the facts that we need on the Hilbert-Blumenthal modular varieties and state the main theorem 2.17 and its consequence on the Zariski density of classical points in the eigencurves for overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. In Section 3, we perform the computations mentioned above on the (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroups of a HBAV over a complete discrete valuation ring via Breuil-Kisin modules. In particular, we give an alternative proof (Thm. 3.14) for the existence of canonical subgroups in the Hilbert case proven in [GK09]. Section 4 is mainly dedicated to the review on Goren-Kassaei's work, and we provide also another proof of their "Key Lemma" using Dieudonné theory (Prop. 4.5). Section 5 is the heart of this work, and it contains a complete proof of Theorem 1.3. Finally, we prove our general main theorem 2.17 in Section 6. The proof of the general case is a combination of the split case treated by Sasaki [Sa10] and the case in Section 5. We have two appendices. In the first one, we gather some general results on the extension and gluing of sections in rigid geometry. In Appendix B, we study the local deformation space of a superspecial *p*-divisible group with formal real multiplication by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} , where $g \geq 1$ is an integer and \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} is the ring of integers of the unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_p of degree g. As a by-product, we see that the local moduli admits some canonical choices of local parameters T_1, \dots, T_q such that the p-divisible groups corresponding to $T_i = 0$ admits "formal complex multiplication" by $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2g}}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{p^g} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}$ according to the parity of g (cf. Remark B.6). These p-divisible groups (or those isogenous to them) seem to deserve more study, and should be considered as the canonical lifting (or quasi-canonical lifting) of the superspecial p-divisible group in the formal real multiplication case. We hope that we can return to the problem in the future.

1.5. After I finished a preliminary version of this paper and distributed it among a small circle, Vincent Pilloni showed me a draft of his joint work [PS11b] with Benoit Stroh, where similar results were obtained independently. The influence of the works [Ks06], [GK09] and [Pi09] on this work will be obvious for the reader. I express my hearty gratitude to their authors. I am especially grateful to Christophe Breuil for his careful reading of a preliminary version of this paper, and for his valuable suggestions. I also would like to thank Ahmed Abbes, Liang Xiao, Kaiwen Lan and Tong Liu for helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

Finally, I would like to thank the anonymous referee who pointed out two errors of earlier version of this paper.

1.6. Notation. Let F be a totally real number field with $g = [F : \mathbf{Q}] > 1$, \mathcal{O}_F be its ring of integers, \mathfrak{d}_F the different of F. Let p be a fixed prime number unramified in F. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_L above p, we put $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}$ and denote by $|\kappa(\mathfrak{p})| = p^{f_\mathfrak{p}}$ the cardinality of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $N \ge 4$ be a fixed integer coprime to p. Let κ be a finite subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ containing all $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ and a primitive N-th root of unity, $W = W(\kappa)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in κ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa} = W[1/p]$. Let \mathbb{B} be the set of embeddings of F into \mathbf{Q}_{κ} . For each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_F dividing p, let $\mathbb{B}_p \subset \mathbb{B}$ be the subset consisting of embeddings β such that $\beta^{-1}(pW) = \mathfrak{p}$. So we have $\mathbb{B} = \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If σ denotes the Frobenius on \mathbb{Q}_{κ} , then $\beta \mapsto \sigma \circ \beta$ defines a natural cyclic action of Frobenius on each $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In general, for a finite set I, we denote by |I| its cardinality.

Let \mathbf{C}_p be the completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} . All the finite extensions of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} are understood to be subfields of \mathbf{C}_p . We denote by v_p the *p*-adic valuation on \mathbf{C}_p , and by $|\cdot|_p : \mathbf{C}_p^{\times} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ the non-archimedean absolute value $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$.

2. HILBERT MODULAR VARIETIES, HILBERT MODULAR FORMS AND THE STATEMENT OF THE MAIN THEOREM

2.1. Let S be a scheme. A Hilbert-Blumenthal abelian variety by \mathcal{O}_F (or a HBAV for short) over S is an abelian scheme A over S equipped with an embedding of rings ι : $\mathcal{O}_F \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_S(A)$ such that $\operatorname{Lie}(A)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$ -module locally free of rank 1. If A is a HBAV over S, the dual of A, denoted by A^{\vee} , has a canonical structure of HBAV over S. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(A)$ the fppf-sheaf over S of symmetric \mathcal{O}_L -linear homomorphisms of abelian schemes $A \to A^{\vee}$, and by $\mathcal{P}(A)^+ \subset \mathcal{P}(A)$ the cone consisting of symmetric polarizations.

We fix a positive integer $N \ge 4$ coprime to p. Let \mathfrak{c} be a fractional ideal of F prime to p, and $\mathfrak{c}^+ \subset \mathfrak{c}$ be the cone of totally positive elements. Consider the functor

$$\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{c}}: \operatorname{ALG}_W \longrightarrow \operatorname{SETS}$$

which associates to each W-algebra R the set of isomorphism classes of triples (A, λ, ψ_N) where:

- A is a HBAV over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$;
- λ is a *c*-polarization of A, i.e., an \mathcal{O}_F -linear homomorphism $\lambda : \mathfrak{c} \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ sending \mathfrak{c}^+ to $\mathcal{P}(A)^+$ such that the induced map of fppf-sheaves on $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes \lambda} A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{P}(A) \longrightarrow A^{\vee} \colon a \otimes x \mapsto a \otimes \lambda(x) \mapsto \lambda(x)(a)$$

is an isomorphism.

• ψ_N is an embedding of abelian fppf-sheaves of \mathcal{O}_F -modules $\mu_N \otimes \mathfrak{d}_F^{-1} \hookrightarrow A[N]$.

It is well known that this functor is representable by a smooth and quasi-projective scheme $X_{\mathfrak{c}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(W)$ of relative dimension g, which we usually call the \mathfrak{c} -Hilbert modular variety over W of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ [Go01, Ch. 4, §3.1]. By a result of Ribet, the fibers of $X_{\mathfrak{c}}$ are geometrically irreducible [Go01, Ch. 3 §6.3].

2.2. Let R be a W-algebra, and (A, λ, ψ_N) be an object in X(R). An *isotropic* (\mathcal{O}_F/p) cyclic subgroup H of A is a closed subgroup scheme $H \subset A[p]$ which is stable under \mathcal{O}_F , free of rank 1 over \mathcal{O}_F/p as abelian fppf-sheaf over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and isotropic under the γ -Weil pairing

$$A[p] \times A[p] \xrightarrow{1 \times \gamma} A[p] \times A^{\vee}[p] \to \mu_p$$

induced by a $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(A)^+$ of degree prime to p. So when A is defined over a perfect field k of characteristic p, a subgroup $H \subset A[p]$ is (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic if and only if its Dieudonné module is a free $(k \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module of rank 1. Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ be the functor which associates to each W-algebra R the set of isomorphism classes of 4-tuples (A, λ, ψ_N, H) , where (A, λ, ψ_N) is an object in $\mathscr{F}_{\mathfrak{c}}(R)$, and $H \subset A[p]$ is an isotropic (\mathcal{O}_L/p) -cyclic subgroup of A. The

functor $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is representable by a scheme $Y_{\mathfrak{c}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(W)$. We call Y the \mathfrak{c} -Hilbert modular variety of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$. The natural forgetful map $(A, \lambda, \psi, H) \mapsto (A, \lambda, \psi)$ defines a morphism of W-schemes $\pi : Y_{\mathfrak{c}} \to X_{\mathfrak{c}}$, which is finite étale of degree $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}} + 1)$ on the generic fibers over \mathbf{Q}_{κ} .

Note that, for an object $(A, \lambda_A, \psi_{A,N}, H)$ in $Y_{\mathfrak{c}}(R)$, the quotient B = A/H is naturally equipped with a structure of HBAV. Let $f: A \to B$ be the natural isogeny and $f^t: B \to A$ be the unique isogeny such that $f \circ f^t = p \cdot 1_B$ and $f^t \circ f = p \cdot 1_A$. If $\lambda : A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\vee}$ is the isomorphism given by $\lambda_A : \mathfrak{c} \to \mathcal{P}(A)$, we define a \mathfrak{c} -polarization on B by $\lambda_B = \frac{1}{p}(f^t)^* \circ \lambda_A :$ $\mathfrak{c} \to \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(B)$, where $(f^t)^* : \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(B)$ is given by $\phi \mapsto (f^t)^{\vee} \circ \phi \circ f^t$. Finally, since H has order prime to N, the isogeny $f: A \to B$ induces an isomorphism $f: A[N] \xrightarrow{\sim} B[N]$. We define $\psi_{B,N}$ as $\mu_N \otimes \mathfrak{d}_F^{-1} \xrightarrow{\psi_{A,N}} A[N] \xrightarrow{\sim} B[N]$. We get an object $(B, \lambda_B, \psi_{B,N})$ in $X_{\mathfrak{c}}(R)$.

Fix a finite set $\{\mathfrak{c}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{c}_{h^+}\}$ of fractional ideals of F prime to p, which form a set of representatives for the narrow class group Cl_F^+ of F. We put

$$X = \prod_{i=1}^{h^+} X_{\mathfrak{c}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \prod_{i=1}^{h^+} Y_{\mathfrak{c}_i}$$

We call X (resp. Y) the Hilbert modular varities of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ (resp. of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$). In the sequel, an object $(A/R, \lambda, \psi_N, H)$ in Y(R) will be usually omitted as (A, H), if there is no confusions on the polarization λ and the level structure ψ_N .

2.3. Let T be the algebraic group $(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{G}_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W$ over W, and $\mathbb{X}(T)$ be the group of characters of T. For any $\beta \in \mathbb{B} = \operatorname{Emd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \mathbb{Q}_{\kappa})$, let $\chi_{\beta} \in \mathbb{X}(T)$ be the character

$$T(R) = (R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)^{\times} \to R^{\times} = \mathbf{G}_m(R)$$
 given by $r \otimes a \mapsto r\beta(a)$.

Then $(\chi_{\beta})_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{X}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$ over \mathbb{Z} . For an element $(k_{\beta})_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} k_{\beta}\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, we denote by $\chi_{\vec{k}} = \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \chi_{\beta}^{k_{\beta}}$ the corresponding character of T.

Let $\mathcal{A} \to X$ be the universal HBAV over X, and $\underline{\omega} = e^* \Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}/X}$ where $e: X \to \mathcal{A}$ is the unit section of \mathcal{A} . This is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$ -module of rank 1, and we have

$$\underline{\omega} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \underline{\omega}_{\beta},$$

where $\underline{\omega}_{\beta}$ is the submodule of $\underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}/X}$ where \mathcal{O}_F acts via χ_{β} . For any character $\vec{k} = (k_{\beta})_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, we define a line bundle

$$\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}} = \bigotimes_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \underline{\omega}_{\beta}^{\otimes k_{\beta}}.$$

By abuse of notation, we denote still by $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ its pull-backs over Y via π^* .

Definition 2.4. For a *W*-algebra R_0 , we call the elements of $H^0(X \otimes R_0, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ (resp. $H^0(Y \otimes R_0, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$) (geometric) Hilbert modular forms with coefficients in R_0 of weight $\vec{k} = \sum_{\beta} k_{\beta} \cdot \beta$ and level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ (resp. of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$) over R_0 .

We have the following modular interpretation of (geometric) Hilbert modular forms à la Katz. For each R_0 -algebra R, we consider 5-tuples $(A/R, \lambda, \psi_N, H, \omega)$, where $(A/R, \lambda, \psi_N, H)$ is an element in Y(R) and ω is a generator of $\underline{\omega}_{A/R} = e^*\Omega^1_{A/R}$ as an $R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$ -module. Then a Hilbert modular form f of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight \vec{k} over R_0 is equivalent to a rule that assigns to each R_0 -algebra R, each 5-tuple $(A, \lambda, \psi_N, H, \omega)$ as above, an element $f(A, H, \omega) \in R$ satisfying the following properties:

- $f(A, H, a \cdot \omega) = \chi_{\vec{k}}(a)^{-1} f(A, H, \omega)$ for $a \in (R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)^{\times}$;
- if $\phi: R \to R'$ is a homomorphism of R_0 -algebras and $(A', \lambda', \psi'_N, H', \omega')$ is the base change to R' of $(A, \lambda, \psi_N, H, \omega)$, then $f(A', H', \omega') = \phi(f(A, H, \omega))$.

We have a similar description of Hilbert modular forms of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ over R_0 , and we leave the details to the reader.

2.5. We recall some well known facts on the toroidal compactifications of Hilbert modular varieties (cf. [Rap78, Ch90, DT04]). Let $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^+)$ be a prime-to-*p* fractional ideal of \mathcal{O}_F . A $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ -cusp *C* of $X_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is an equivalence class of the following data:

- (1) Projective \mathcal{O}_F -modules \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} of rank 1.
- (2) An isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_F -modules $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{c}$.
- (3) An exact sequence of projective \mathcal{O}_F -modules

$$0 \to \mathfrak{d}_F^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \to \Lambda \to \mathfrak{b} \to 0.$$

(4) An embedding of \mathcal{O}_F -modules:

$$i_C: \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1}/N\mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1} \hookrightarrow \Lambda/N\Lambda.$$

Set $M_C = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}^2\mathfrak{c}$, and $M_C^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1} = \mathfrak{d}_F^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-2}\mathfrak{c}$. The positivity on \mathfrak{c} and that on \mathfrak{d}_F induce natural positivities on M_C and M_C^* . For each $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ -cusp C, we choose a rational polyhedral cone decomposition $\{\sigma_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I_C}$ of $M_C^{*,+} \cup \{0\}$ that is invariant under the natural action by $U_{F,N}^2$ such that the quotient $\{\sigma_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I_C}/U_{F,N}^2$ is finite. Here, $U_{F,N} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ denotes the subgroup of units congruent to 1 modulo N.

We put $R = W[q^{\xi} : \xi \in \frac{1}{N}M_C]$, and $U_C = \operatorname{Spec}(W[q^{\xi} : \xi \in \frac{1}{N}M_C])$. Let $U_C \hookrightarrow S_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ be the embedding corresponding to σ , and $\hat{S}_{\sigma_{\alpha}} = \operatorname{Spf}(R_{\sigma_{\alpha}})$ denote the completion of $S_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ along $Z_{\sigma_{\alpha}} = S_{\sigma_{\alpha}} - U_C$. Let $U_C \hookrightarrow S(\{\sigma_{\alpha}\})$ be the toric embedding given by $\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I_C}$, and $\hat{S}(\{\sigma_{\alpha}\})$ be the completion of $S(\{\sigma_{\alpha}\})$ along $S(\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}) - U_C$. So $\hat{S}(\{\sigma_{\alpha}\})$ has an affine open covering by the $\hat{S}_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$'s.

Put $\operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{R}_{\sigma_{\alpha}}^{0}) = \operatorname{Spec}(R_{\sigma_{\alpha}}) \times_{S_{\sigma_{\alpha}}} U_{C}$ with $R_{\sigma_{\alpha}}^{0} = R_{\sigma_{\alpha}}[q^{\xi} : \xi \in \frac{1}{N}M_{C}]$. We have a morphism of schemes over $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{\sigma_{\alpha}}^{0})$:

$$\iota: \mathfrak{b} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1} = \operatorname{Spec}(R[\mathfrak{X}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}])$$

given by $\mathfrak{X}_{\alpha}(\iota(\beta)) = q^{\alpha\beta}$. By Mumford's construction, we have a semi-abelian scheme $\operatorname{Tate}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) = (\mathbf{G}_m \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1})/\iota(\mathfrak{b})$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{\sigma_{\alpha}})$ equipped with a natural action of \mathcal{O}_F , which is a \mathfrak{c} -polarized abelian scheme over $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{\sigma_{\alpha}}^0)$, and degenerates into $\mathbf{G}_m \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1}$

over $Z_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$. As explained in [KL05, 1.6.1], the data $i_C : \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1}/N\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_F^{-1} \hookrightarrow \Lambda/N\Lambda$ defines a $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ -level structure on $\operatorname{Tate}(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(R^0_{\sigma_{\alpha}})$. Thus, one gets a morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{\sigma_{\alpha}}) \to X_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

By "gluing" the local charts $\hat{S}_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ to $X_{\mathfrak{c}}$ along $\hat{S}_{\sigma_{\alpha}} \times_{S_{\sigma_{\alpha}}} U_C$ (cf. [Rap78] and [Ch90]), one gets a toroidal compactification $X_{\mathfrak{c}} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_{\mathfrak{c}}$, and an isomorphism of formal schemes

$$\overline{X}^{\wedge} \cong \coprod_C \hat{S}(\{\sigma_{\alpha}\})/U^2_{F,N},$$

where \overline{X}^{\wedge} denotes the completion of \overline{X} along the boundary $\overline{X} - X$. There exists a semiabelian scheme $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ with real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_F over $\overline{X}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ which extends the universal HBAV \mathcal{A} over $X_{\mathfrak{c}}$, and whose restriction to each $\hat{S}_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ is $\operatorname{Tate}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$. We put $\overline{X} = \coprod_{\mathfrak{c}} X_{\mathfrak{c}}$, where $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^+)$ runs through a set of prime-to-p representatives of the strict ideal class group of F. The $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)^{\times}$ torsor $\underline{\omega}$ extends to \overline{X} ; hence, for any $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, the line bundle $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ extends uniquely to a line bundle on \overline{X} , which we still denote by $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$.

We define a $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ -cusp (C, H) on Y to be a $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ -cusp $C = (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \Lambda, i_C)$ as above together with an (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H \subset \Lambda/p\Lambda$. By choosing a rational polyhedral cone decomposition for each cusp (C, H) compatible with that for X, one generalizes the previous construction to get an toroidal compactification \overline{Y} of Y in the same manner as the Siegel case treated by Stroh [St10a]. Then \overline{Y} is a proper smooth scheme over W, which contains Y as an open dense subscheme. We have a similar description of \overline{Y}^{\wedge} in terms of local charts. The natural projection $Y \to X$ extends to a morphism $\overline{Y} \to \overline{X}$.

2.6. Let \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} be the respectively the formal completions of X and Y along their special fibers. The formal scheme \mathfrak{X} represents the functor that attaches, to each admissible p-adic formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$, the set of polarized HBAV with a $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ -level structure; and we have a similar interpretation for \mathfrak{Y} . Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ be the associated rigid analytic spaces in the sense of Raynaud, $X_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ and $Y_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the analytic spaces over \mathbf{Q}_{κ} associated with the \mathbf{Q}_{κ} -schemes $X_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}}$ and $Y_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}}$. Similarly, we have formal schemes $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$ for toroidal compactifications, and their associated rigid analytic spaces $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}, \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$. Then we have natural inclusions of rigid analytic spaces

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}} \subset X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{rig}}, \qquad \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \subset Y^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathrm{rig}}.$$

For any extension of valuation fields L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} , we use a subscript L to denote the base change of a rigid space over \mathbf{Q}_{κ} to L, e.g. $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$, $X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa},L} = X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L}$, ... For any weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, by an obvious abuse of notation, we still denote by $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ the modular line bundles of weight \vec{k} on the formal schemes $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$, and on rigid spaces $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{rig}}, \overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathrm{rig}}$.

8

Proposition 2.7 (Koecher's Principle). For any finite extension L over \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , we have a commutative diagram of canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{0}(\overline{Y}_{L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} H^{0}(Y_{L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \\ & & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^{0}(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} H^{0}(Y_{L}^{\mathrm{an}},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} H^{0}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are natural restriction map, and the vertical arrows are analytification maps.

Proof. The diagram above is clearly commutative. The top horizontal isomorphism is the classical Koecher principle [Ch90, Thm. 4.3(i)]. The left vertical isomorphism follows from rigid GAGA [Ab11, 7.6.11]. The lower horizontal arrows are clearly injective. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that the restriction map

$$H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \to H^0(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$$

is an isomorphism. Since both *W*-formal schemes \mathfrak{Y} and $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ are admissible, we have $H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{Y}},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \otimes_W L$ and similarly for $H^0(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$. We are thus reduced to proving the similar statement for formal schemes $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ and \mathfrak{Y} , then further reduced to showing that restriction map

$$H^0(\overline{Y} \otimes_W W/p^n, \underline{\omega}^k) \to H^0(Y \otimes_W W/p^n, \underline{\omega}^k)$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$. By the construction of \overline{Y} , it suffices to prove that, for every $f \in H^0(Y \otimes_W W/p^n, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$, the *q*-expansion of *f* around each cusp has no poles. This follows from the same computation as in [Ra74, 4.9].

2.8. $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operators. Let $\{\mathfrak{c}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{c}_{h^+}\}$ be the fixed set of prime-to-*p* representatives of the strict ideal class group Cl_F^+ . Fix a prime \mathfrak{p} of *F* above *p*. For each \mathfrak{c}_i , there exists unique $1 \leq j \leq h^+$ and a totally positive element $\xi_i \in F^{\times,+}$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_i\mathfrak{p} = (\xi_i)\mathfrak{c}_j$. Note that ξ_i is only determined up to elements of U_F^+ , the group of totally positive units in *F*; if *p* is not inert in *F*, then there is no canonical choice for such a ξ_i . We fix such a ξ_i for each $1 \leq i \leq h^+$.

If A is a HBAV over a W-algebra R, we have a decomposition of finite and locally free group schemes over R

$$A[p] = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} A[\mathfrak{p}],$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs through all the prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_F dividing p, and $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ is the subgroup scheme killed by all $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a group scheme of $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector spaces of dimension 2. We fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_F above p, and put $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ be the scheme over \mathbf{Q}_{κ} which represents the functor that attaches to a \mathbf{Q}_{κ} -algebra R the set of isomorphism classes of 5-tuples $(A, \lambda, \psi_N, H, H')$ where:

• (A, λ, ψ_N, H) is an object in Y(R);

• $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a closed $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -cyclic isotropic subgroup scheme such that $H' \cap H = \{0\}$.

We will two maps

(2.8.1)



given respectively as follows:

$$\pi_1(A, \lambda, \psi_N, H, H') = (A, \lambda, \psi_N, H) \pi_2(A, \lambda, \psi_N, H, H') = (B, \lambda_B, \psi_{B,N}, (H' + H)/H'),$$

where B is the quotient abelian scheme A/H', $\psi_{B,N}$ is the induced level structure on B such that $f \circ \psi_N = \psi_{B,N}$ if $f : A \to B$ denotes the canonical quotient isogeny. It remains to describe the polarization λ_B on B. Assume that λ induces an isomorphism $\lambda : A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\vee}$ for $1 \leq i \leq h^+$. Let $\xi_i \in F^{\times,+}$ be the element chosen above such that $\mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{p} = (\xi_i)\mathfrak{c}_j$ for a unique $1 \leq j \leq h^+$. Let $g : B \to A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ be the canonical quotient isogeny with kernel $A[\mathfrak{p}]/H' \subseteq B[\mathfrak{p}]$. It is easy to check that the quasi-isogeny

$$B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c}_j \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \xi_i} B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c}_i \xrightarrow{\lambda} A^{\vee} \xrightarrow{g^{\vee}} B^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \leftarrow B^{\vee}$$

is a genuine isogeny, and it gives the desired polarization λ_B . Here, the last arrow $B^{\vee} \to B^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \cong B^{\vee}/B^{\vee}[\mathfrak{p}]$ is the canonical quotient with kernel $B[\mathfrak{p}]$.

Since we are in characteristic 0, both π_1 and π_2 are finite étale of degree $|\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \lambda, \psi_N, H, H')$ be the universal object on $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})$, and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/H'$ be the canonical isogeny. We have a commutative diagram

where both the left and right squares are cartesian. We have a natural morphism of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)^{\times}$ -torsors:

$$\pi_2^*(\underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}/Y_{\mathbf{Q}_\kappa}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{2*}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})}) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} f_{1*}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \pi_1^*(\underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}/Y_{\mathbf{Q}_\kappa}}),$$

which induces a natural morphism of line bundles

$$\phi^*: \pi_2^*(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \longrightarrow \pi_1^*(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$$

for any $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$. For a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} , we define the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operator on the space $H^0(Y_L, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ as the composite (2.8.2)

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}: H^{0}(Y_{L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}^{*}} H^{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{L},\pi_{2}^{*}(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})) \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} H^{0}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{L},\pi_{1}^{*}(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})) \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{|\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|} \operatorname{tr}} H^{0}(Y_{L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}),$$

10

where "tr" is induced by the trace map $\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \to \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$. Explicitly, if L'/L is a finite extension such that $(A, H) = (A, \lambda, \psi_N, H) \in Y(L)$, and ω is a generator of $\underline{\omega}_A = e^*\Omega^1_{A/L'}$ as an $(L' \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module, then we have

(2.8.3)
$$(U_{\mathfrak{p}}f)(A, H, \omega) = \frac{1}{|\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|} \sum_{\substack{H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}] \\ H' \cap H = 0}} f(A/H', (H' + H)/H', p^{-1}\hat{\phi}^*(\omega)),$$

where H' runs over all the \mathcal{O}_F -subgroups of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of order $|\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|$ with $H \cap H' = 0$, and $\hat{\phi} : A/H' \to A$ is the canonical isogeny with kernel A[p]/H'.

Remark 2.9. As pointed by the referee of this paper, our definition of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operators has the obviously disadvantage that it depends on the choices of the ξ_i 's, which are only canonically determined up to elements of U_F^+ , although it is harmless for the proof of our main theorem. This ambiguity disappears when we restrict to so-called arithmetic Hilbert modular forms, which are the forms giving rise to Galois representations.

Actually, there is a natural action of U_F^+ on Y given by $[\epsilon] : (A, \lambda, \psi_N, H) \mapsto (A, \epsilon \cdot \lambda, \psi_N, H)$. Let $U_{F,N} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ be the subgroup of units congruent to 1 modulo N. Then the subgroup $U_{F,N}^2$ acts trivially, because the endomorphism $u : A \to A$ induces an isomorphism of tuples $(A, \lambda, \psi_N, H) \simeq (A, \epsilon \cdot \lambda, \psi_N, H)$ if $\epsilon = u^2$ with $u \in U_{F,N}^2$. Thus the action of U_F^+ factors through the finite quotient $U_F^+/U_{F,N}^2$. We have also an equivariant action of $U_F^+/U_{F,N}^2$ on $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ so that we get a natural action of $U_F^+/U_{F,N}^2$ on the $H^0(Y_L, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$. The space of arithmetic Hilbert modular forms is defined to be the invariant subspace of $H^0(Y_L, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ under $U_F^+/U_{F,N}^2$. Then for those forms, the ambiguity coming from the choices for ξ_i 's will disappear. For more discussion on this issue, see [KL05, 1.11] and [DT04].

2.10. Norms. We fix a weight $\vec{k} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} k_{\beta} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$ and a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} . Let L'/L be a finite extension, and $Q = (A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(L')$ be a rigid point, i.e. a morphism of formal schemes $Q : \operatorname{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) \to \mathfrak{Y}$ such that $Q^*(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{H}) = (A, H)$, where $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{H})$ is the universal formal HBAV together with its universal isotropic (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup over \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} . Let ω be a generator of the free $(\mathcal{O}_{L'} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module $\underline{\omega}_A = H^0(A, \Omega^1_{A/\mathcal{O}_{L'}})$, and ω_β be its β -component for any $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$. Then

$$\omega^{\vec{k}} = \otimes_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \omega_{\beta}^{k_{\beta}}$$

is a basis of the $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ -module $Q^*(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$. For any element $f \in Q^*(\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} L'$, we write $f = f(A, H, \omega) \omega^{\vec{k}}$ with $f(A, H, \omega) \in L$, and define

$$|f| = |f(A, H, \omega)|_p.$$

For any admissible open subset $V \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$, and a section $f \in H^0(V,\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$, we define

$$|f|_V = \sup_{Q \in |V|} |f(Q)| \in \mathbf{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\},$$

where |V| denotes the set of rigid points of V. If V is quasi-compact, then $|f|_V \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ by the maximum modulus principle in rigid analysis, and $H^0(V, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ is an L-Banach space

with the norm $|\cdot|_V$. If V is clear from the context, we usually omit the subscript V from the notation.

2.11. Hasse Invariants. Let R be a κ -algebra, and A be a HBAV over R. Let $\omega_{A/R} = H^0(A, \Omega^1_{A/R})$, and Lie(A) be the Lie algebra of A; so we have Lie(A) = Hom_R($\omega_{A/R}, R$). The Verschiebung homomorphism $V_A : A^{(p)} \to A$ induces a map of R-modules HW : Lie(A)^(p) \to Lie(A), where Lie(A)^(p) is the base change of Lie(A) via the absolute Frobenius endomorphism $F_R : a \mapsto a^p$ of R. Equivalently, we have a canonical map

$$h: \underline{\omega}_{A/R} \to \underline{\omega}_{A/R}^{(p)}.$$

Note that $\underline{\omega}_{A/R}$ is a locally free $R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$ -module of rank 1, and let $\underline{\omega}_{A/R} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \underline{\omega}_{A/R,\beta}$, where $\underline{\omega}_{A/R,\beta}$ is the direct summand on which \mathcal{O}_F acts via the character χ_β . Thus we have a decomposition $h = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} h_\beta$, where

(2.11.1)
$$h_{\beta}: \underline{\omega}_{A/R, \beta} \to \underline{\omega}_{A/R, \sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}^{(p)}.$$

The morphism h_{β} thus defines a Hilbert modular form (with full level) of weight $p \cdot \sigma^{-1} \circ \beta - \beta$ over κ , and we call it the β -partial Hasse invariant. The product $E = \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} h_{\beta}$ is thus a Hilbert modular form of weight $(p-1) \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \beta$ over κ , called simply the Hasse invariant. If A is a HBAV over an algebraically closed field containing κ , the Hasse invariant $E(A) \neq 0$ if and only if A is ordinary in the usual sense, i.e., the finite group scheme A[p] is isomorphic to $\mu_p^g \times (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^g$.

2.12. Let X_{κ} , Y_{κ} be the special fibers of X and Y, and X_{κ}^{ord} be the locus where the Hasse invariant h does not vanish, or equivalently the open subscheme of X_{κ} parametrizing polarized ordinary HBAV. For a HBAV A over a κ -algebra R, the kernel of the Frobenius homomorphism of A is naturally an (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic isotropic subgroup of A[p]. In other words, the kernel of Frobenius defines a section $s: X_{\kappa} \to Y_{\kappa}$ of the projection $\pi: Y_{\kappa} \to X_{\kappa}$. We put $Y_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}} = s(X_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}})$. In particular, Y_{κ}^{ord} is isomorphic to X_{κ}^{ord} .

words, the kernel of Frobenius defines a section $s: X_{\kappa} \to Y_{\kappa}$ of the projection $\pi: Y_{\kappa} \to X_{\kappa}$. We put $Y_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}} = s(X_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}})$. In particular, Y_{κ}^{ord} is isomorphic to X_{κ}^{ord} . Let $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{ord}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}^{\text{ord}}$ be respectively the open formal subschemes of \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} corresponding to the open subsets $X_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}} \subset X_{\kappa}$ and $Y_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}} \subset Y_{\kappa}$, and $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}}$ be the associated rigid analytic generic fibers. Then $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}}$ are respectively quasi-compact admissible open subsets of $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{rig}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}}$. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda, \psi_N)$ be the universal formal HBAV over \mathfrak{X} . Over the ordinary locus $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{ord}}$, we have an extension of finite flat \mathcal{O}_F -group schemes

$$0 \to \mathfrak{A}[p]^{\mu} \to \mathfrak{A}[p] \to \mathfrak{A}[p]^{\text{et}} \to 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{A}[p]^{\text{ét}}$ is étale of order p^g , and $\mathfrak{A}[p]^{\mu}$ is of multiplicative type and lifts the kernel of Frobenius of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_W \kappa$. The finite flat subgroup $\mathfrak{A}[p]^{\mu}$ is (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic and isotropic for the Weil pairing induced by the polarization λ , and it defines thus an section $s^\circ : \mathfrak{X}^{\text{ord}} \to \mathfrak{Y}^{\text{ord}}$ lifting the section $s : X_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}} \to Y_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}}$ given by the kernel of Frobenius. In particular, the natural projections $\mathfrak{Y}^{\text{ord}} \to \mathfrak{X}^{\text{ord}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{ord}}$ are canonical isomorphisms.

Definition 2.13. Let *L* be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} .

(i) For $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, an element of $H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{\text{ord}}_{\text{rig},L}, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ is called a *p*-adic Hilbert modular form of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ and weight \vec{k} with coefficients in L.

(ii) We say an element $f \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ is overconvergent if f extends to a strict neighborhood V of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$. We put

$$\mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L) = \varinjlim_{V} H^{0}(V,\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$$

where V runs over the strict neighborhoods of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$, and we call it the space of overconvergent *p*-adic Hilbert modular forms of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ and weight \vec{k} .

Remark 2.14. By the theory of canonical subgroups (cf. [KL05, §3] and [GK09, Thm. 5.3.1]), the isomorphism of ordinary loci $\pi : \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ extends to a strict neighborhood of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ in $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$. Therefore, the natural notion of (overconvergent) *p*-adic Hilbert modular forms of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ is the same as its counterpart of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$. Hence, we can always consider an element $f \in \mathrm{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L)$ as a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over a strict neighborhood of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}$.

We refer the reader to [Be96] for the definition of strict neighborhood. Here we construct an explicit fundamental system of strict neighborhoods of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$ by using the Hasse invariant. Let $\widetilde{E^{k_0}}$ be a lift in $H^0(\mathfrak{X}^*, \underline{\omega}^{k_0(p-1)\vec{1}})$, where $\vec{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, of k_0 -th power of the Hasse invariant E^{k_0} for some integer $k_0 \geq 1$. The existence of such a lift follows from Koecher's principle and the fact that $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{1}}$ is ample on the minimal compactification X^* . For any rational number $0 < r \leq 1$, we denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}(r)$ the admissible open subset of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$ where $|\widetilde{E^{k_0}}| \geq r^{k_0}$. Since the Hasse invariant is well-defined modulo p, the subset $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(r)$ does not depend on the choice of the lift $\widetilde{E^{k_0}}$ if $p^{-1/k_0} < r \leq 1$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(1) = \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, and the $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(r)$'s form a fundamental system of strict neighborhoods of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}$. Hence, we have

$$\mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L) = \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} H^{0}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}(r),\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}).$$

Note that each $H^0(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig},L}^{\mathrm{ord}}(r),\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ is a Banach space over L, and the natural restriction map

$$H^{0}(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(r),\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \to H^{0}(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathrm{rig},L}(r'),\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$$

for 0 < r < r' < 1 is compact [KL05, 2.4.1]. Therefore, $M_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L)$ is (compact) direct limit of Banach spaces over L.

By Remark 2.14, we have a natural injective map

$$H^0(Y_L,\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \simeq H^0(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \to \mathrm{M}^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L),$$

where we have used Prop. 2.7 for the first isomorphism. We denote its image by $M_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p), L)$, and call it the space of *classical* Hilbert modular forms.

2.15. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_F above p, let $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the rigid analytification of the scheme $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ over \mathbf{Q}_{κ} considered in 2.8. Then just as $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})$, the rigid space $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathrm{an}}$ represents an analogous functor in the rigid analytic setting, and we still have a universal object $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{an}}, \lambda, \psi_N, H, H')$ over $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})$. We have analogous morphisms $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathrm{an}} \to Y^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}}$. We put

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{\mathrm{rig}} = \pi_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}) = \pi_2^{-1}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}).$$

The rigid analytic space $C(\mathfrak{p})_{\text{rig}}$ is the locus of $C(\mathfrak{p})^{\text{an}}$ where \mathcal{A}^{an} has good reduction, it classifies the objects (A, H, H'), where (A, H) is a rigid point of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}$, and $H' \subset A[p]$ is a group scheme of $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space of dimension 1 with $H \cap H' = 0$. We have a rigid version of the Hecke correspondence:



given by $\pi_1(A, H, H') = (A, H)$ and $\pi_2(A, H, H') = (A/H', (H + H')/H')$. We have also a set theoretical Hecke correspondence between the rigid points of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}

(2.15.1)
$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}:\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}\to\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$$
$$Q\mapsto\pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(Q)).$$

Here, it is an obvious notation for convenience, because $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not really a morphism of rigid analytic spaces. If U and V are admissible open subsets of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}$ such that $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(U) \subset V$, i.e. $\pi_1^{-1}(U) \subset \pi_2^{-1}(V)$. A rigid version of the formula (2.8.3) defines the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operator

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}: H^{0}(V,\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}^{*}} H^{0}(\pi_{2}^{-1}(V), \pi_{2}^{*}\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} H^{0}(\pi^{-1}(U), \pi_{1}^{*}\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{|\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|} \operatorname{tr}} H^{0}(U,\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}).$$

Lemma 2.16. The ordinary locus \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord} is stable under the Hecke correspondence $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e. we have $\pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord})) \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord}$.

Proof. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , $(A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}(L)$ be a rigid point, i.e. A is a HBAV over \mathcal{O}_L with ordinary reduction, and $H \subset A[p]$ is the multiplicative part. We have to show that (A/H', (H + H')/H') still lies in $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ for any isotropic $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -subgroup $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ with $H' \cap H = 0$. Actually, such a H' is necessarily étale over \mathcal{O}_L . Therefore, the isogeny $A \to A/H'$ is étale, and the subgroup (H + H')/H' is the multiplicative part of the HBAV A/H'.

This easy Lemma implies immediately that a $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operator analogous to the classical case can be defined on the space $H^0(\mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ for any weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$ and any finite extension Lof \mathbb{Q}_{κ} . In order to show that overconvergent p-adic Hilbert modular forms are stable under $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we need to extend canonically the section $s^{\circ} : \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathrm{rig}} \to \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ to a strict neighborhood of $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\mathrm{rig}}$. As already mentioned in Remark 2.14, this is the theory of canonical subgroups, and it has been developed by many authors (cf. for instance [KL05] and [GK09]). The main result of this paper is the following **Theorem 2.17.** Let f be an element of $M_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L)$. Assume that for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_F above p, we have $[\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p] \leq 2$ and $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}f$ with $v_p(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p} \{k_{\beta}\} - [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p]$, then f is classical, i.e., $f \in M_{\vec{k}}(\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p), L)$.

Remark 2.18. It is reasonable to expect that the theorem is also true without the restriction $[\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p] \leq 2$. The main obstacle to this generalization is that the geometry of Y in the higher dimensional case is too complicated, and we don't well understand the dynamics of the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operator.

In the reminder of this section, we suppose $p \ge 3$, and indicate some consequences of our results on eigencurves for overconvergent Hilbert eigenforms.

2.19. We follow the treatments in [KL05]. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and R be a Banach algebra over L with a submultiplicative norm $|\cdot|$, and $Z \in R$ such that $|Z| < p^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$. We fix an integer $k_0 > 0$ coprime to p such that the k_0 -th power of the Hasse invariant lifts to $\widetilde{E^{k_0}} \in H^0(X, \underline{\omega}^{k_0(p-1)\vec{1}})$. For $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, Kisin and Lai defined in [KL05, 4.2.3] the space of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms over R of level $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ and weight $\vec{k} + Z$ to be space

(2.19.1)
$$\mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), R) = \varinjlim_{V} H^{0}(V, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}} R,$$

where V runs over a fundamental system of quasi-compact strict neighborhoods of \mathfrak{X}_{rig}^{ord} in \mathfrak{X}_{rig} . This space is equipped with an action of the Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (resp. $U_{\mathfrak{a}}$) for each ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ coprime to pN (resp. not coprime to pN). We point out that Kisin-Lai's definition of these operators involves the lift $\widetilde{E^{k_0}}^t$, and if Z = 0, we come back to the definition (2.13). We denote by $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\mu_N)$ the ring of endomorphisms generated by these operators as \mathfrak{a} runs over the ideals of \mathcal{O}_F . Let $f \in \mathrm{M}_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), R)$. We say fis an *eigenform* if it is non-zero and a simultaneous eigenvector of all the operators in $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\mu_N)$. We say an eigenform f has *finite slope* if it is an eigenform and the eigenvalue of $U_{(p)} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is non-zero, i.e. $U_{(p)}(f) \neq 0$.

According to [KL05, 4.2.8], the space $M_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), R)$ interpolates the *p*-adic overconvergent modular forms of integer weights in the following sense. Let L'/L be a finite extension, $\psi: R \to L'$ be a homomorphism of *L*-algebras sending *Z* to $(p-1)k_0t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then for each Hecke operator $T = T_{\mathfrak{a}}$ or $T = U_{\mathfrak{a}}, \psi$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),R) & \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L') \xrightarrow{:E^{\vec{k}_{0}}^{t}} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+(p-1)k_{0}t\vec{1}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L') \\ & \downarrow^{T} & \downarrow^{T} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+Z}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),R) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L') \xrightarrow{:E^{\vec{k}_{0}}^{t}} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+(p-1)k_{0}t\vec{1}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L'). \end{split}$$

2.20. Let S be the set of infinity places and all the finite places of F dividing pN, and $G_{F,S}$ be the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of F in **C** which is unramified outside S. Let f be a Hilbert cusp eigenform of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, where the integers k_β 's are all ≥ 2 and have the same parity. We may suppose that the Fourier coefficients of f at cusps are contained in $\mathcal{O}_f \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$, where \mathcal{O}_f is the normalization of \mathbf{Z}_p in a finite extension K_f of \mathbf{Q}_p . Let $\mathbf{F}_f = \mathcal{O}_f/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_f}$ be the residue field of \mathcal{O}_f . Then by the work of many people [Ca86, Ta89, BR93], we know how to associate to f a 2-dimensional Galois representation (ρ_f, V_f) of $G_{F,S}$ over K_f . The representation ρ_f is characterized by the condition that, for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \notin S$ of \mathcal{O}_F , trace $(\rho_f(\operatorname{Frob}_q))$ coincides with the eigenvalue of $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ on f, where $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ denotes an arithmetic Frobenius element in $G_{F,S}$ at \mathfrak{q} .

If $L_f \subset V_f$ be a $G_{F,S}$ -stable \mathcal{O}_f -lattice of V_f , we put $\overline{V}_f = L_f \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_f} \mathbf{F}_f$ by the abuse of notation, and denote by $\overline{\rho}_f$ the resulting representation of $G_{F,S}$ over \mathbf{F}_f . Note that, in general, the isomorphism class of $\overline{\rho}_f$ is only determined by ρ_f up to semi-simplification. We call such a $\overline{\rho}_f p$ -modular residual representation of $G_{F,S}$, and call the pseudo-representation associated with the semi-simplification of $\overline{\rho}_f$ a p-modular pseudo-representation.

Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a *p*-modular pseudo-representation of $G_{F,S}$ over a finite field **F**. We denote by $R^{\mathrm{univ}}(\overline{\rho})$ the universal deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}$, whose existence is proved in the same way as [CM98, 5.1.3], and by ρ^{univ} the universal pseudo-representation of $G_{F,S}$ over $R^{\mathrm{univ}}(\overline{\rho})$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(\overline{\rho})$ be the rigid analytic space over L attached to $R^{\mathrm{univ}}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} L$, and \mathcal{W} be the weight space over L of $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{G}_m$, i.e. the rigid space over L which to an affinoid algebra A over L assigns the set of continuous A^{\times} -valued characters of $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\times}$. By the local class field theory, the determinant $\det(\rho^{\mathrm{univ}})$ defines a character $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\times} \to R^{\mathrm{univ}}(\overline{\rho})$, i.e. a map of rigid analytic spaces $\mathcal{Z}(\overline{\rho}) \to \mathcal{W}$.

Fix a weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$ such that all the k_{β} 's have the same parity. We denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ the subspace of \mathcal{W} whose points in a complete subfield $L' \subset \mathbf{C}_p$ containing L, correspond to characters $\chi : (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\times} \to L'^{\times}$ such that there exists $z_0 \in L'$ with $v_p(z_0) > \frac{1}{p-1} - 1$ and $\chi = \chi_{\vec{k}} \cdot \langle \mathrm{Nm} \rangle^{z_0}$, where $\mathrm{Nm} = \chi_{\vec{1}} : (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\times} \to \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$ is the natural norm map, and $a \mapsto \langle a \rangle$ is the canonical projection $\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} \to (1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times} \times (1+p\mathbf{Z}_p)$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ is a one-dimensional rigid closed subspace of \mathcal{W} . We denote by

$$\chi^{\text{univ}}: (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\times} \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}})^{\times}$$

the universal character, and by Z the rigid analytic function on $\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ such that $\chi^{\text{univ}} = \chi_{\vec{k}} \cdot \langle \text{Nm} \rangle^Z$. We have $|Z| < p^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$, and Z establishes an isomorphism of rigid spaces over L

$$Z: \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}_L(0, p^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1}}) = \{ x \in \mathbf{C}_p | v_p(x) > \frac{1}{p-1} - 1 \}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\overline{\rho})$. We put $\mathcal{Y}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho}) = \mathcal{Z}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho}) \times \mathbf{G}_{m,L} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in S} \mathbf{A}_{L}^{1}$, and denote by x_{p} the canonical coordinate on $\mathbf{G}_{m,L}$, $x_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the coordinate on the

 \mathfrak{q} -th copy of \mathbf{A}_{L}^{1} for $\mathfrak{q} \in S$. We denote still by Z the rigid analytic function on $\mathcal{Y}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$ induced by the canonical projection $\mathcal{Y}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho}) \to \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$, and by the same notation the analytic function on $\mathcal{Y}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$ induced by that on $\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$.

Kisin and Lai proved in [KL05, 4.5.4] that there exists a rigid analytic closed subspace $C_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho}) \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$ that interpolates *p*-adic overconvergent Hilbert eigenforms of finite slope. More precisely, it satisfies the following properties:

(1) For any closed subfield $L' \subset \mathbf{C}_p$ containing L and any $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})(L')$, there exists an eigenform $f_c \in \mathrm{M}_{\vec{k}+Z(c)}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L')$ of finite slope, such that, if $\lambda_{c,T}$ denotes the eigenvalue of $T \in \mathbb{T}_{\vec{k}+Z(c)}^{\dagger}(\mu_N)$ on f_c , we have $\lambda_{c,U_{(p)}} = x_p(c)$, and for all primes \mathfrak{q} of \mathcal{O}_F

$$\lambda_{c,T_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \operatorname{trace}(\rho^{\operatorname{univ}}(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}})) \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \notin S \quad \text{and } \lambda_{c,U_{\mathfrak{q}}} = x_{\mathfrak{q}}(c) \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in S.$$

(2) For any $z_0 \in L'$ with $v_p(z_0) > \frac{1}{p-1} - 1$, and $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})(L')$, the association $c \mapsto \{\lambda_{T,c}\}_{T \in \mathbb{T}^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}+Z(c)}(\mu_N)}$ induces a bijection between $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})(L')$ with $Z(c) = z_0$, and systems of $\mathbb{T}^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}+z_0}(\mu_N)$ -eigenvalues arising from the eigenforms of finite slope in $M^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}+z_0}(\Gamma_{00}(N), L')$.

We say a point $c \in C_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})(L')$ is *classical*, if $Z(c) = (p-1)k_0t$ for some integer $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and the image of f_c under the composite map

$$\mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+Z(c)}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L') \simeq \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L') \xrightarrow{\cdot E^{\vec{k}_{0}}} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{k}+(p-1)k_{0}t\vec{1}}^{\dagger}(\Gamma_{00}(N),L')$$

comes from an element of $M_{\vec{k}+(p-1)k_0t\vec{1}}(\Gamma_{00}(N)\cap\Gamma_0(p),L')$.

Theorem 2.21. Assume $p \geq 3$ and $[\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p] \leq 2$ for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ above p. Then the classical points are Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$.

Proof. Let C be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$. It suffices to prove that C contains infinitely many classical points. Let $\pi_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho}) : \mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho}) \to \mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ be the natural projection to the weight space. By the same argument as [CM98, Thm. B], the morphism $\pi_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$ is component-wise almost surjective in the sense that, for every irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$, the complement of its image in $\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ consists of at most a finite number of weights. Therefore, there exists an admissible affinoid subdomain $B \subset C$ such that its image W_0 in $\mathcal{W}_{\vec{k}}$ is an affinoid domain containing a closed disk with center $z_0 = (p-1)k_0t_0 \in W_0$ of radiu p^{-n} for certain $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By the maximum modulus principle, there exists a real number $\alpha > 0$ such that the slopes $v_p(x_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)) < \alpha$ for any prime \mathfrak{p} of F dividing p and any $c \in B(\overline{L})$, where \overline{L} denotes the algebraic closure of L in \mathbb{C}_p . Hence, there are infinitely many points $c \in B(\overline{L})$ such that we have $Z(c) = (p-1)k_0t$, and $k_{\beta} + (p-1)k_0t > \alpha + 2$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$. By property (1) of the eigencurve $\mathcal{C}_{\vec{k}}(\overline{\rho})$ and Theorem 2.17, such a point c corresponds to classical Hilbert modular forms of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight $\vec{k} + (p-1)k_0t\vec{1}$.

3. FINITE FLAT GROUP SCHEMES WITH RM AND BREUIL-KISIN MODULES

3.1. We recall first the theory of Breuil-Kisin modules for finite flat group schemes. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p > 0, W(k) be its ring of Witt vectors, and K be a finite totally ramified extension of $K_0 = W(k)[1/p]$ of degree e, and \mathcal{O}_K be its ring of integers. Fix a uniformizer π of K with Eisenstein polynomial E(u), and put $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[u]]$. We equip \mathfrak{S} with the endomorphism φ which acts on W(k) via Frobenius and sends u to u^p . A finite torsion Breuil-Kisin module (of height 1) is a finite \mathfrak{S} -module \mathfrak{M} equipped with a φ -linear endomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ verifying the following properties:

- (1) \mathfrak{M} has *p*-power torsion.
- (2) \mathfrak{M} has projective dimension 1 as \mathfrak{S} -module, i.e. there is a two term resolution of \mathfrak{M} by finite free \mathfrak{S} -modules.
- (3) The cokernel of the linearized map

$$1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi} \mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} \mathfrak{M}$$

is killed by E(u).

We denote by $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{tors}}$ the category of finite torsion Breuil-Kisin modules (of height 1). Note that \mathfrak{S} is regular local ring of dimension 2, a finite \mathfrak{S} -module has projective dimension 1 if and only if it has depth 1. Therefore, condition (2) in the definition above is equivalent to saying that \mathfrak{M} has no *u*-torsion. Similarly, a finite free Breuil-Kisin module (of height 1) is a finite free \mathfrak{S} -module \mathfrak{M} equipped with a φ -linear endomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ such that the third condition above is satisfied. We denote by $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ the category of finite free Breuil-Kisin modules (of height 1). By a Breuil-Kisin module, we mean an object \mathfrak{M} in either $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$ or $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ depending on the situation. For a Breuil-Kisin module \mathfrak{M} , the map $1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) \to \mathfrak{M}$ is necessarily injective [Ki09a, 1.1.9], and we denote its image by $(1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})$.

The main motivation of studying Breuil-Kisin modules in this paper is the following theorem due to Kisin [Ki06, 0.5] when $p \ge 3$, to Eike Lau [La10, 7.6, 7.7] and Tong Liu [Li10, 1.0.1, 1.0.2] independently when p = 2.

Theorem 3.2. There is a natural anti-equivalence between the category of commutative finite and flat group schemes over \mathcal{O}_K of p-power order and the category $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{tors}}$. Similarly, the category of p-divisible groups is naturally anti-equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{fr}}$.

The following Proposition will be fundamental for our application of Breuil-Kisin modules to the analytic continuation of Hilbert modular forms.

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a finite and flat group scheme (or a p-divisible group) over \mathcal{O}_K . Let \mathfrak{M} be the Breuil-Kisin module associated with G. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -modules

$$\omega_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}/(1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}),$$

where ω_G is the module of invariant differentials of G.

To prove this proposition, we need Breuil's filtered S-modules. Let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelop of W(k)[u] with respect to the principal ideal (E(u)), i.e. S is the completion of subring $W(k)[u, E(u)^i/i! : i \ge 1]$ of $K_0[u]$, and Fil¹S \subset S be the kernel of the natural surjection $S \to \mathcal{O}_K$ sending $u \mapsto \pi$. We note that \mathfrak{S} is naturally a subring of S, and the endomorphism φ on \mathfrak{S} extends to S. We check easily that $\varphi(\operatorname{Fil}^1S) \subset pS$, and we put $\varphi_1 = \frac{1}{p}\varphi|_{\operatorname{Fil}^1S}$ and $c = \varphi_1(E(u))$. A filtered S-module $(\mathcal{M}, \operatorname{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}, \varphi_1)$ consists of the following data:

- (1) A finite generated S-module \mathcal{M} and a submodule $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$ with $E(u)\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$.
- (2) A φ -linear morphism $\varphi_1 : \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that, for $s \in \operatorname{Fil}^1 S$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\varphi_1(sx) = \frac{1}{c}\varphi_1(s)\varphi_1(E(u)x)$, and the image of φ_1 generates \mathcal{M} as an S-module.

We denote by \mathbf{MF}_S the category of filtered S-modules. It has a natural structure of an exact category. A sequence is short exact if it is short exact as a sequence of S-modules, and induces a short exact sequence on Fil¹'s.

Let \mathfrak{M} be an object in $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\operatorname{tors}}$ or $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\operatorname{fr}}$. We can associate covariantly with \mathfrak{M} a filtered S-module as follows. We put $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}) = S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S},\varphi} \mathfrak{M}$, and define $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ to be the submodule of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ whose image under the morphism of S-modules

$$S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi} \mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}$$

lies in Fil¹ $S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}$. The morphism $\varphi_1 : \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ is defined to be the composite

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}) \xrightarrow{1\otimes\varphi} \operatorname{Fil}^{1}S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{p}\varphi\otimes 1} S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S},\varphi} \mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$$

By [La10, 8.1], $(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}), \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}), \varphi_1)$ is an object in $\operatorname{\mathbf{MF}}_S$, and the functor $\mathfrak{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ is exact. By definition of $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$, we have an embedding [Ki09a, 1.1.15]

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathrm{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{I}\otimes\varphi} S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}/(\mathrm{Fil}^1S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}/E(u)\mathfrak{M},$$

which induces an isomorphism

(3.3.1)
$$\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathrm{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})/E(u)\mathfrak{M}$$

If \mathfrak{M} is an object in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$, then $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})$ is free over \mathfrak{S} . So the \mathfrak{S} -module $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})/E(u)\mathfrak{M}$ has projective dimension 1, hence depth 1. It follows that $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathrm{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ and $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})/E(u)\mathfrak{M}$ are actually finite free \mathcal{O}_K -modules.

Lemma 3.4. Let \mathfrak{M} be an object in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$ or $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ as above. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})/\operatorname{Fil}^{1}S\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})\simeq \mathfrak{M}/(1\otimes \varphi)\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M})$$

Proof. The following construction was indicated to me by Tong Liu. Consider the map $1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) \to \mathfrak{M}$. Motivated by the definition of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$, we put

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1}\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ x \in \varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M}) \mid (1 \otimes \varphi)(x) \in E(u)\mathfrak{M} \}.$$

Thus $1 \otimes \varphi$ induces an isomorphism

(3.4.1)
$$\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})/\mathrm{Fil}^1\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})/E(u)\mathfrak{M}$$

We denote by

$$\varphi_1^{\#} : \operatorname{Fil}^1 \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) \to \mathfrak{M}$$

the natural map given by $x \mapsto (1 \otimes \varphi)(x)/E(u)$. Then $\varphi_1^{\#}$ induces an isomorphism

(3.4.2)
$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1}\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M})/E(u)\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}/(1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M})$$

On the other hand, the natural inclusion $\mathfrak{S} \hookrightarrow S$ induces an inclusion $\iota : \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$. We check easily that $\iota(\operatorname{Fil}^1\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})) \subset \operatorname{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$, and we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

where the vertical arrows are induced by ι . The middle vertical arrow is easily seen to be an isomorphism, and so is the right vertical map because of (3.3.1) and (3.4.1). It follows that the left vertical one is also an isomorphism. In view of (3.4.2), the lemma follows.

Proof of Prop. 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ be the filtered S-module associated with G. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to prove that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M} / \mathrm{Fil}^1 S \mathcal{M}.$$

If G is a p-divisible group, this follows from [BBM82, 3.3.5] or [La10, 8.1] and the fact that \mathcal{M} is the evaluation of the Dieudonné crystal of G at the PD-thickening $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \hookrightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spec}(S)$. If G is finite flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , then G can be embedded as a closed subgroup scheme into a p-divisible group G_0 over \mathcal{O}_K [BBM82, 3.1.1]; we put $G_1 = G_0/G$. We denote respectively by \mathcal{M}_0 and \mathcal{M}_1 the filtered S-modules associated with G_0 and G_1 . Since all the constructions are functorial in G, the exact sequence of groups $0 \to G \to$ $G_0 \to G_1 \to 0$ induces a commutative diagram of exact sequences of \mathcal{O}_K -modules

Since the left two vertical arrows are isomorphisms, it follows that so is the right one.

3.5. \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -groups. Let g > 0 be an integer, \mathbf{Q}_{p^g} be the unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_p of degree g, \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} be its ring of integers. We assume k contains \mathbf{F}_{p^g} . We identify $\operatorname{Emd}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_{p^g}, \mathcal{O}_K)$, the set of embeddings of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} into \mathcal{O}_K , with $\mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$, and the natural action of Frobenius on $\operatorname{Emd}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_{p^g}, \mathcal{O}_K)$ is identified with $i \mapsto i+1$. For an $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -module M, we have a canonical splitting $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} M_i$, where \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} acts on M_i via the *i*-th embedding. If N is a finite torsion \mathcal{O}_K -module, we choose an isomorphism $N \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_K/(a_i)$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$,

and define the degree of N to be

$$\deg(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} v_p(a_i).$$

A \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -group over \mathcal{O}_K is a commutative finite and flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K endowed with an action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} . Fargues [Fa10] defined the degree function of a finite flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K . We give a refinement of this function for \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -groups over \mathcal{O}_K .

Definition 3.6. Let G be a \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} -group over \mathcal{O}_K , and $\omega_G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{G,i}$ be its module of invariant differential forms. We put

$$\deg_i(G) = \deg(\omega_{G,i}),$$

and we call it the i-th degree of G.

Hence, the degree function of Fargues is $\deg(G) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \deg_i(G)$. If $0 \to G_1 \to G \to G_2 \to 0$ is an exact sequence of \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} -groups over \mathcal{O}_K , we have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^g}$ -modules

$$0 \to \omega_{G_2} \to \omega_G \to \omega_{G_1} \to 0;$$

hence we have $\deg_i(G) = \deg_i(G_1) + \deg_i(G_2)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$.

Recall that a scheme of 1-dimensional \mathbf{F}_{p^g} -vector spaces over \mathcal{O}_K is a \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -group G over \mathcal{O}_K such that $G(\overline{K})$ is an \mathbf{F}_{p^g} -vector space of dimension 1, where \overline{K} is an algebraic closure of K. According to Raynaud's classification of such finite flat group schemes [Ra74, 1.5.1], we have an isomorphism of schemes

(3.6.1)
$$G \simeq \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[T_i: i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}]/(T_{i-1}^p - a_i T_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}}),$$

for some $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $0 \le v_p(a_i) \le 1$. Using this isomorphism, we have $\deg_i(G) = v_p(a_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$. This following Lemma is a refinement of [Fa10, Cor. 3].

Lemma 3.7. Let $\phi : H \to G$ be a homomorphism of schemes of 1-dimensional \mathbf{F}_{p^g} -vector spaces over R that induces an isomorphism on the generic fibers. Then for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{g-1} p^j \deg_{i-j}(G) \ge \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} p^j \deg_{i-j}(H).$$

Moreover, all the equalities hold if and only if ϕ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}}$) be respectively the elements in \mathcal{O}_K appearing in an isomorphism as (3.6.1) for G (resp. for H). We have $\deg_i(G) = v_p(a_i)$ and $\deg_i(H) = v_p(b_i)$. The existence of ϕ implies that there exist $u_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_i u_i = b_i u_{i-1}^p$ [Ra74, 1.5.1]. Hence, we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^{g-1} \left(\frac{a_{i-j}}{b_{i-j}}\right)^{p^j} = \prod_{j=0}^{g-1} \frac{u_{i-j-1}^{p^{j+1}}}{u_{i-j}^{p^j}} = u_i^{p^g-1}.$$

The lemma follows immediately from the fact that $v_p(u_i) \ge 0$, and that ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if $v_p(u_i) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

3.8. We describe the \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -groups over \mathcal{O}_K in terms of Breuil-Kisin modules. A \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -Breuil-Kisin module is an object \mathfrak{M} in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{tors}}$ together with an action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} commuting with φ . Equivalently, a \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -Breuil-Kisin module \mathfrak{M} is an $(\mathfrak{S} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -module $\mathfrak{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{M}_i$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) each \mathfrak{M}_i is killed by some power of p;
- (2) each \mathfrak{M}_i has projective dimension 1, i.e. \mathfrak{M}_i has a two term resolution by finite free \mathfrak{S} -modules;
- (3) there is a φ -linear endomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{M}_i) \subset \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}$ and the cokernel of the linearization $1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_i) \to \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}$ is killed by E(u).

We denote by $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}\otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$ the category of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -Breuil-Kisin modules, and the morphisms in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}\otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$ are homomorphisms of $(\mathfrak{S}\otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -modules commuting with φ . Let \mathfrak{M} be an object of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}\otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$. We define the *i*-th degree of \mathfrak{M} as

$$\deg_i(\mathfrak{M}) = \frac{1}{e} \operatorname{leng}\left(\mathfrak{M}_i/(1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1})\right),$$

Here, "leng" denotes the length, and the factor $\frac{1}{e}$ will be justified in Lemma 3.9. If $0 \to \mathfrak{L} \to \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{N} \to 0$ is an exact sequence in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$, it follows from an easy diagram chasing that

$$\deg_i(\mathfrak{M}) = \deg_i(\mathfrak{L}) + \deg_i(\mathfrak{N})$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 3.2, it follows easily that the category of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -groups over \mathcal{O}_K is antiequivalent to the category $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}\otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$.

Lemma 3.9. Let G be \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} -group over \mathcal{O}_K , and \mathfrak{M} be its corresponding \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} -Breuil-Kisin module. Then we have $\deg_i(G) = \deg_i(\mathfrak{M})$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since the isomorphism in Prop. 3.3 is canonical, it necessarily commutes with \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -actions. We have an isomorphism of $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -modules

$$\omega_G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \omega_{G,i} \simeq \mathfrak{M}/(1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{M}_i/(1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}).$$

The lemma follows immediately.

Definition 3.10. Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer, G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level n over \mathcal{O}_K equipped with an action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} . We say G has formal real multiplication (or just RM for short) by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} if G has dimension g and height 2g and ω_G is a free $(\mathcal{O}_K/p^n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -module of rank 1; in particular, we have $\deg_i(G) = n$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$.

By [Ki06, 2.3.6], an object \mathfrak{M} of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}\otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}}^{\mathrm{tors}}$ corresponds to a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level n over \mathcal{O}_K with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} if and only if

- (a) \mathfrak{M} is a free $(\mathfrak{S}/p^n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -module of rank 2g;
- (b) $\mathfrak{M}/(1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})$ is a free $(\mathcal{O}_K/p^n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -module of rank g.

Let $\mathfrak{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{M}_i$ be such a \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} -Breuil-Kisin module. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, we have a filtration of free \mathcal{O}_K/p^n -modules

$$0 \to (1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1})/E(u)\mathfrak{M}_i \to \mathfrak{M}_i/E(u)\mathfrak{M}_i \to \mathfrak{M}_i/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}) \to 0.$$

We say a basis $(\delta_i, \epsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathfrak{M} over \mathfrak{S}/p^n is *adapted* if $\delta_i \in (1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1})$ and the image of ϵ_i generates $\mathfrak{M}_i/(1 \otimes \varphi)\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}$ over \mathcal{O}_K/p^n . Then under such an adapted basis, there exists $\begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{S}/p^n)$ such that

(3.10.1)
$$\varphi(\delta_{i-1}, \epsilon_{i-1}) = (\delta_i, \epsilon_i) \begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ E(u)c_i & E(u)d_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.11. Let G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 over \mathcal{O}_K with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} , and $G_1 = G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K / p$ be its reduction modulo p. The Lie algebra of the Cartier dual of G_1 , denoted by $\text{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})$, is a free $(\mathcal{O}_K / p \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -module of rank 1. Let

$$\operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})_i$$

be the decomposition according to the action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} . The Frobenius homomorphism F_{G_1} : $G_1 \to G_1^{(p)}$ induces a Frobenius linear endomorphism

$$\operatorname{HW} : \operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee}) \to \operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})$$

with HW : $\operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})_{i-1} \subset \operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})_i$. We choose a basis δ_i for each $\operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})_i$ over \mathcal{O}_K/p , and write $\operatorname{HW}(\delta_{i-1}) = t_i \delta_i$. Let $v_p : \mathcal{O}_K/p \to [0,1]$ be the truncated *p*-adic valuation. We define the *i*-th partial Hodge height of *G* to be $w_i(G) = v_p(t_i) \in [0,1]$. It is clear that the definition does not depend on the choice of the basis δ_i . Note that *G* is ordinary if and only if $w_i(G) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.12. Let G be as above, and \mathfrak{M} be its corresponding Breuil-Kisin module. We choose an adapted basis of \mathfrak{M} so that φ is represented by matrices of the form (3.10.1). Then we have $w_i(G) = \frac{1}{e} \min\{e, v_u(\overline{a}_i)\}$, where \overline{a}_i is the image of a_i in $\mathfrak{S}_1 = k[[u]]$, and v_u denotes the u-adic valuation.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{M})$ be the filtered S-module associated with G. By [La10, 8.1], there is a canonical isomorphism of (\mathcal{O}_K/p) -modules $\operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee}) \simeq \mathcal{M}/\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$. Combining with (3.3.1), we have an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee}) \simeq (1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}) / E(u) \mathfrak{M},$$

where the second term is considered as an \mathcal{O}_K/p -module via the isomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_1/E(u)\mathfrak{S}_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_K/p$ given by $u \mapsto \pi$. Since everything is functorial in G, this is actually an isomorphism of $(\mathcal{O}_K/p \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p^g})$ -modules. Since the endomorphisms HW on $\operatorname{Lie}(G_1^{\vee})$ and φ on $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})/E(u)\mathfrak{M}$ are both induced by Frobenius homomorphism of G_1 [Ki09b, 1.1.2], one checks easily that HW and φ coincide with each other via the canonical isomorphism above. The Lemma follows immediately.

Let G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 over \mathcal{O}_K with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} . We say a finite flat closed subgroup scheme $H \subset G$ is \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -cyclic or \mathbf{F}_{p^g} -cyclic, if $H(\overline{K})$ is a one-dimensional \mathbf{F}_{p^g} -subspace of $G(\overline{K})$.

Lemma 3.13. If H, H' are two distinct \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -cyclic subgroups of G, then for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{g-1} p^j (\deg_{i-j}(H) + \deg_{i-j}(H')) \le \frac{p^g - 1}{p-1}.$$

Proof. The Lemma follows from 3.7 applied to the homomorphism $H \hookrightarrow G \to G/H'$. \Box

The following theorem is a slightly generalized version of [GK09, Thm. 5.4.3]. The proof is motivated by [Ha10, 3.4].

Theorem 3.14. Let G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} , and denote $w_i = w_i(G)$. Assume that $w_i + pw_{i-1} < p$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. Then there exists a \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} cyclic subgroup $C \subset G$ such that $\deg_i(C) = 1 - w_i$; moreover, C is the unique \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -cyclic subgroup of G satisfying

$$\deg_i(C) + p \deg_{i-1}(C) > 1$$
 for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{M}_i$ be the Breuil-Kisin module associated with G. We choose an adpated basis $(\delta_i, \epsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathfrak{M} so that φ is represented by matrices (3.10.1). Note that $w_{i+1} + pw_i < p$ implies that $w_i < 1$; so by Lemma 3.12, we have $v_u(a_i) = ew_i$. By Lemma 3.9, we have to show that there exists a quotient $\mathfrak{N} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{N}_i$ of \mathfrak{M} such that $\deg_i(\mathfrak{N}) = 1 - w_i$ and it is the unique quotient satisfying $\deg_i(\mathfrak{N}) + p \deg_{i-1}(\mathfrak{N}) > 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

We prove first the existence of \mathfrak{N} . We construct a direct summand $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_i$ of \mathfrak{M} such that \mathfrak{L}_i is the submodule of \mathfrak{M}_i generated by

$$\eta_i = (\delta_i, \epsilon_i) \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ u^{e(1-w_i)} z_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where $z_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ is some element to be determined. If we require that \mathfrak{L} is an sub-oject of \mathfrak{M} , there should exists a certain $A_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\varphi(\eta_{i-1}) = A_i \eta_i$. Using the equation (3.10.1), we get

(3.14.1)
$$\begin{cases} a_i + b_i u^{ep(1-w_{i-1})} z_{i-1}^p &= A_i \\ u^e(c_i + u^{ep(1-w_{i-1})} d_i z_{i-1}^p) &= u^{e(1-w_i)} z_i A_i \end{cases}$$

Since $v_u(a_i) = ew_i$, there exists a unit $\hat{a}_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $a_i \hat{a}_i = u^{ew_i}$. Then we have $z_i = g_i(z_{i-1})$, where

$$g_i(z) = \frac{c_i + u^{ep(1-w_{i-1})} d_i z^p}{\hat{a}_i + u^{e(p-pw_{i-1}-w_i)} b_i z^p}$$

Note that $1 - w_{i-1} > 0$ and $p - pw_{i-1} - w_i > 0$ by assumption. We get therefore

$$z_i = g_i \circ g_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{i-g+1}(z_i).$$

By iteration, it is easy to see that the equation admits a unique solution in \mathfrak{S}_1 for z_i . This well defines the sub-oject $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{M}$. From

$$ew_i = v_u(a_i) < ep(1 - w_{i-1}) \le v_u(b_i u^{ep(1 - w_{i-1})} z_{i-1}^p)$$

by assumption, we deduce that $\deg_i(\mathfrak{L}) = \frac{1}{e} v_u(A_i) = w_i$. We can take \mathfrak{N} to be $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{L}$.

For the uniqueness of \mathfrak{N} , we assume that \mathfrak{N}' is a quotient of \mathfrak{M} with $\deg_{i+1}(\mathfrak{N}') + p \deg_i(\mathfrak{N}') > 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$. We have to show that $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}'$. Since $\deg_{i+1}(\mathfrak{N}') \leq 1$, we have $\deg_i(\mathfrak{N}') > 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{N}'_i/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{N}'_{i-1}) \neq 0$. Let \mathfrak{L}' be the kernel of $\mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{N}'$. We have for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$ an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_K/p -modules

$$0 \to \mathfrak{L}'_{i}/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{L}'_{i-1}) \to \mathfrak{M}_{i}/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}) \to \mathfrak{N}'_{i}/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^{*}(\mathfrak{N}'_{i-1}) \to 0.$$

Because $\mathfrak{M}_i/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_1/u^e \mathfrak{S}_1 \cdot \epsilon_i$, we see that \mathfrak{M}'_i is generated by the image of ϵ_i in \mathfrak{N}'_i . Hence there exists $x_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$ such that $\eta'_i = \delta_i + x_i \epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{L}'_i$. We put $r_i = \frac{1}{e} v_u(x_i)$. As $\varphi(\mathfrak{L}'_{i-1}) \subset \mathfrak{L}'_i$, there exists $A'_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\varphi(\eta_{i-1}) = A'_i \eta_i$. We have

$$v_u(A'_i) = e \deg_i(\mathfrak{L}') = e(1 - \deg_i(\mathfrak{N}')),$$

where the second equality comes from the additivity of the degree function and the fact that $\deg_i(\mathfrak{M}) = 1$. Therefore, we get

(3.14.2)
$$v_u(A'_i) + pv_u(A'_{i-1}) = e(p+1 - \deg_i(\mathfrak{N}') - p\deg_{i-1}(\mathfrak{N}')) < ep.$$

On the other hand, using (3.10.1) as above, we have equations

$$(3.14.3) a_i + b_i x_{i-1}^p = A_i'$$

(3.14.4)
$$u^e(c_i + d_i x_{i-1}^p) = x_i A'_i.$$

We claim that $r_i \geq 1 - w_i$ for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. Admitting this claim for the moment, we can write $x_i = u^{e(1-w_i)} z'_i$. Then the z'_i 's will satisfy the equations (3.14.1). But we have seen that (3.14.1) admits a unique solution z_i for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. So we have $z'_i = z_i$, and hence $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}'$. It remains to prove the claim. We deduce first from (3.14.4) that $v_u(A'_i) \geq e(1-r_i)$. In view of (3.14.2), we get

$$(3.14.5) r_i + pr_{i-1} > 1 for all i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$$

If $r_i < 1 - w_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, we have $v_u(A'_i) \ge e(1 - r_i) > ew_i$. Because of (3.14.3), we have

$$ew_i = v_u(a_i) = v_u(b_i x_{i-1}^p) \ge epr_{i-1}.$$

So we have $1 - r_i > w_i \ge pr_{i-1}$, i.e. $r_i + pr_{i-1} < 1$, which contradicts with (3.14.5). This completes the proof.

Remark 3.15. The subgroup $C \subset G$ given by the theorem is called the *canonical subgroup* of G. By the same argument as in [GK09, Thm. 5.4.2], it is not hard to see that the subgroup C verifies the "Frobenius lifting property": If we denote $w = \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \{w_i\} < 1$, then $C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} (\mathcal{O}_K/p^{1-w}\mathcal{O}_K)$ coincides with the kernel of Frobenius of $G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} (\mathcal{O}_K/p^{1-w}\mathcal{O}_K)$, where p^{1-w} denotes any element in \mathcal{O}_K with p-adic valuation 1 - w.

Let I be a subset of $\mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$, I^c be its complement, and |I| be the cardinality. We denote by $\sigma(I)$ the image of I under the action of Frobenius $\sigma : \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$ given by $i \mapsto i+1$. Let G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} over \mathcal{O}_K . We say that a \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -cyclic subgroup H of G is special of type I if $\deg_i(H) = 1$ for $i \in I$ and $\deg_i(H) = 0$ for $i \in I^c$.

Proposition 3.16. Let the notation be as above.

(a) Assume that G admits a special subgroup H of type I.

- (1) The group H is necessarily a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 of height gand dimension |I| over \mathcal{O}_K . Moreover, we have $w_i(G) = 1$ for $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I^c$, and $w_i(G) = 0$ for $i \in (\sigma(I) \cap I) \cup (\sigma(I^c) \cap I^c)$.
- (2) If H' be another \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} -cyclic subgroup of G distinct from H, then we have

$$\deg_i(H') \le \frac{1}{p-1}(1-\frac{1}{p^{g-1}}) \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

In particular, if $I \neq \emptyset$, then G admits at most one special subgroup of type I.

(3) If G admits another special subgroup H' of type I' with $H' \neq H$, then either $I = I' = \emptyset$, or $I' = I^c$ and the natural map $H \times H' \to G$ is an isomorphism of finite flat group schemes over \mathcal{O}_K . In the second case, if H'' is a \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} -cyclic subgroup of G distinct from H and H', then we have

$$\deg_i(H'') \le \frac{1}{p-1}(1 - \frac{1}{p^{g-1}}) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}.$$

(b) Conversely, assume that $\sigma(I^c) \subset I$, $w_i(G) = 1$ for $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I^c$, $w_i(G) = 0$ for $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I$, and $w_i(G) > 0$ for $i \in \sigma(I^c)$. Then G admits a special subgroup of type I.

Proof. (a) First, by Raynaud's explicit classification (3.6.1), a group scheme H of \mathbf{F}_{p^g} -vector spaces of dimension 1 over \mathcal{O}_K is a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 if and only if $\deg_i(H) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{M}_i$ be the Breuil-Kisin module associated to G, and (δ_i, ϵ_i) be an adapted basis of \mathfrak{M}_i so that $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}) = \mathfrak{S}_1\delta_i \oplus E(u)\mathfrak{S}_1\epsilon_i$. Let $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_i$ be the Breuil-Kisin submodule of \mathfrak{M} attached to the quotient G/H, and $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{L}$ be associated to H. By Lemma 3.9, we have $\deg_i(\mathfrak{N}) = \deg_i(H) = 1$ for $i \in I$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{N}_i/(1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{N}_{i-1})=\mathfrak{M}_i/((1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1})+\mathfrak{L}_i)\simeq\mathfrak{S}_1/u^e\epsilon_i$$

Since \mathfrak{L}_i is a direct summand of \mathfrak{M}_i , there exists $x_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\mathfrak{L}_i = \mathfrak{S}_1(\delta_i + u^e x_i \epsilon_i)$. Up to replacing δ_i by $\delta_i + u^e x_i \epsilon_i$, we may assume that $\mathfrak{L}_i = \mathfrak{S}_1 \delta_i$ for $i \in I$. Similarly, since $\deg_i(\mathfrak{N}) = \deg_i(H) = 0$ for $i \in I^c$, we see that

$$\mathfrak{M}_i = \mathfrak{L}_i + (1 \otimes \varphi) \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1})$$

Up to modifying ϵ_i , we may assume that $\mathfrak{L}_i = \mathfrak{S}_1 \epsilon_i$ if $i \in I^c$. The following facts follow easily from the condition that $\varphi(\mathfrak{L}_{i-1}) \subset \mathfrak{L}_i$.

- If $i \in I \cap \sigma(I)$, there exists $a_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\varphi(\delta_{i-1}) = a_i \delta_i$. As $\deg_i(\mathfrak{L}) = 1 \deg_i(H) = 0$, we have $a_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$. In particular, we have $w_i(G) = 0$ by 3.12.
- If $i \in I^c \cap \sigma(I)$, there exists $c_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\varphi(\delta_{i-1}) = u^e c_i \epsilon_i$. In particular, $w_i(G) = 1$ by 3.12.

• If $i \in I^c \cap \sigma(I^c)$, there exists $d_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\varphi(\epsilon_{i-1}) = u^e d_i \epsilon_i$. As $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}) = \mathfrak{S}_1 \delta_i + E(u)\mathfrak{S}_1 \epsilon_i$, we see that if $\varphi(\delta_{i-1}) = a_i \delta_i + u^e c_i \epsilon_i$, then a_i is a unit in \mathfrak{S}_1 . In particular, $w_i(G) = 0$.

This prove statement (1).

For (2), it follows from Lemma 3.13 that

$$p^{g-1}(1 + \deg_i(H')) = p^{g-1}(\deg_i(H) + \deg_i(H')) \le \frac{p^g - 1}{p - 1}$$
 for $i \in I$,

whence statement (2). For (3), we note first (2) implies $I' \subset I^c$, or equivalently $I \subset I'^c$. We have to show that if $I' \neq I^c$, then $I = I' = \emptyset$. Let $i \in I^c \cap I'^c$. If i - 1 were in $I \subset I'^c$, then $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I^c$ and (1) would imply that $w_i(G) = 1$. But we have also $i \in \sigma(I'^c) \cap I'^c$, so (1) applied to H' implies that $w_i(G) = 0$. This is a contradiction, hence $i - 1 \in I^c$. In the same way, we have $i - 1 \in I'^c$. Repeating this argument with i replaced by i - 1, we see that $\mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z} = I^c \cap I'^c$, i.e. $I = I' = \emptyset$. Note that the natural map $f : H \times H' \to G$ is an isomorphism over the generic fibers. If $I' = I^c$, then $\deg(H) + \deg(H') = \deg(G)$. Therefore, f is an isomorphism by [Fa10, Cor. 3]. The second part of (3) follows directly from (2).

(b) We may assume φ is given by the matrices (3.10.1) such that $\begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible for $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. Under the assumption of statement (b), Lemma 3.12 implies that $a_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$ for $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I$, and hence $b_i, c_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$ if $i \in (\sigma(I) \cap I^c) \cup \sigma(I^c)$. Up to modifying the basis vectors, we may assume $\delta_i = \varphi(\delta_{i-1})$ if $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I$, and $\delta_i = \varphi(\epsilon_{i-1})$ if $i \in (\sigma(I) \cap I^c) \cup \sigma(I^c)$. Then the matrices of φ can be simplified as

$$\varphi(\delta_{i-1}, \epsilon_{i-1}) = (\delta_i, \epsilon_i) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_i \\ 0 & u^e d_i \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } i \in \sigma(I) \cap I;$$

$$\varphi(\delta_{i-1}, \epsilon_{i-1}) = (\delta_i, \epsilon_i) \begin{bmatrix} a_i & 1 \\ u^e c_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } i \in (\sigma(I) \cap I^c) \cup \sigma(I^c)$$

We write $a_i = u^e a'_i$ if $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I^c$. The existence of H is equivalent to the existence of a Breuil-Kisin submodule $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_i$ of \mathfrak{M} such that $\deg_i(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$ if $i \in I$, and $\deg_i(\mathfrak{L}) = 1$ if $i \in I^c$. By the discussion in (a), we may assume $\mathfrak{L}_i = (\delta_i + u^e x_i \epsilon_i)\mathfrak{S}_1$ for $i \in I$ and $\mathfrak{L}_i = (\epsilon_i + x_i \delta_i)\mathfrak{S}_1$ for $i \in I^c$, where the x_i 's are some elements in \mathfrak{S}_1 to be determined later.

• If $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I$, then $\varphi(\delta_{i-1} + u^e x_{i-1} \epsilon_{i-1}) = (1 + u^{ep} x_{i-1}^p b_i) \delta_i + u^{ep+1} x_{i-1}^p d_i \epsilon_i$. The condition $\varphi(\mathfrak{L}_{i-1}) \subset \mathfrak{L}_i$ implies that

$$x_i = F_i(x_{i-1}) = \frac{u^{ep} x_{i-1}^p d_i}{1 + u^{ep} x_{i-1}^p b_i}$$

• If $i \in \sigma(I) \cap I^c$, then a similar computation shows that

$$x_i = F_i(x_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{c_i} (u^{e(p-1)} x_{i-1}^p + a'_i).$$

• If $i \in \sigma(I^c) \subset I$, we have

$$x_i = F_i(x_{i-1}) = \frac{x_{i-1}^p c_i}{1 + a_i x_{i-1}^p}$$

By iteration, we have $x_i = F_i \circ F_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{i-g+1}(x_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$. Since all the functions F_i are contracting for the *u*-adic topology on \mathfrak{S}_1 , there exists a unique solution for every x_i . This proves the existence of \mathfrak{L} , whence the special subgroup H of type I.

Remark 3.17. Note that the condition in 3.16(b) is stronger than the converse of 3.16(a)(1): we made the extra assumption that $\sigma(I^c) \subset I$. I don't know whether statement 3.16(b) still holds without this assumption.

An interesting special case of the Proposition is the following

Corollary 3.18. Assume g is even. Let G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 with RM by \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} over \mathcal{O}_K with $w_i(G) > 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$. Put $I_+ \subset \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$ be the subset consisting of elements $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, and $I_- = I_+^c$. Then G admits a unique special subgroup H_+ (resp. H_-) of type I_+ (resp. I_-) if and only if $w_i(G) = 1$ for all $i \in I_-$ (resp. for all $i \in I_+$). In particular, G admits both special subgroups H_+ and H_- of type I_+ and I_- if and only if $w_i(G) = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.19. In appendix B, we will give a family version of Cor. 3.18 over the deformation space of a superspecial *p*-divisible group with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} (cf. Prop. B.8 and Remark B.9).

Now we focus on the case g = 2, and we identify $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq \{1, 2\}$. The following Proposition refines the preceding corollary in the case g = 2.

Proposition 3.20. Let G be truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 over \mathcal{O}_K with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^2} . Assume that $w_1 = w_1(G)$ and $w_2 = w_2(G)$ are both > 0. Let $i \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

(a) We have $w_i = 1$ if and only if there exists a unique special subgroup $H \subset G$ of type $\{i+1\}$, i.e. we have $\deg_i(H) = 0$ and $\deg_{i+1}(H) = 1$.

(b) If $w_i = 1$ and $\frac{p}{p+1} < w_{i+1} \le 1$, then there exists a unique \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroup $H' \subset G$ disjoint from the H in (a) such that $\deg_i(H') = 1 - p(1 - w_{i+1})$ and $\deg_{i+1}(H') = 1 - w_{i+1}$.

Proof. We may assume that i = 1 to simplify the notation. Statement (a) is a special case of the preceding corollary. If $w_1 = w_2 = 1$, then (b) follows also from the preceding proposition. In the sequels, we assume that $w_1 = 1$ and $\frac{p}{p+1} < w_2 < 1$. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2$ be the Breuil-Kisin module attached to G. Let $(\delta_j, \epsilon_j)_{j=1,2}$ be an adapted basis of \mathfrak{M} so that $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{j-1})$ is free over \mathfrak{S}_1 with basis δ_j and $u^e \epsilon_j$. Let $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ be the Breuil-Kisin module corresponding to the quotient G/H given in (a). By Lemma 3.9, we have $\deg_1(\mathfrak{L}) = 1 - \deg_1(H) = 1$ and $\deg_2(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$. As in the proof of the preceding proposition, we may assume $\mathfrak{L}_1 = \mathfrak{S}_1 \epsilon_1$ and $\mathfrak{L}_2 = \mathfrak{S}_2 \delta_2$. Then we have

$$\varphi(\delta_1,\epsilon_1) = (\delta_2,\epsilon_2) \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & 1\\ u^e c_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \varphi(\delta_2,\epsilon_2) = (\delta_1,\epsilon_1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ u^e c_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

28

with $v_u(a_2) = ew_2$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$. To prove the existence of H', it suffices to construct a Breuil-Kisin submodule $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{K}_2$ of \mathfrak{M} such that $\deg_1(\mathfrak{K}) = p(1-w_2)$ and $\deg_2(\mathfrak{K}) = w_2$.

We assume that \mathfrak{K}_1 and \mathfrak{K}_2 are respectively generated over \mathfrak{S}_1 by

$$\xi_1 = \delta_1 + u^{e(1-p(1-w_2))} y_1 \epsilon_1$$
 and $\xi_2 = \delta_2 + u^{e(1-w_2)} y_2 \epsilon_2$

and we will prove that there exist $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that \mathfrak{K} becomes the required Breuil-Kisin submodule of \mathfrak{M} . We have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(\xi_1) &= (a_2 + u^{ep(1-p(1-w_2))}y_1^p)\delta_2 + u^e c_2\epsilon_2, \\ \varphi(\xi_2) &= u^{ep(1-w_2)}y_2^p\delta_1 + u^e c_1\epsilon_1. \end{cases}$$

In order for $\varphi(\xi_1) \in \mathfrak{K}_2$ and $\varphi(\xi_2) \in \mathfrak{K}_1$, we should have

(3.20.1)
$$(a_2 + u^{ep(1-p(1-w_2))}y_1^p)y_2 = u^{ew_2}c_2 (3.20.2) \qquad y_1y_2^p = c_1.$$

As
$$v_u(a_2) = ew_2$$
, there exists $\hat{a}_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$ such that $a_2 = u^{ew_2} \hat{a}_2$. It follows from the equality

(3.20.3)
$$y_2 = \frac{c_2}{\hat{a_2} + u^{e(p^2 - 1)(w_2 - \frac{p}{p+1})}y_1^p}$$

In view of (3.20.2), we get

(3.20.1) that

$$y_1 = \frac{c_1}{c_2^p} (\hat{a}_2^p + u^{ep(p^2 - 1)(w_2 - \frac{p}{p+1})} y_1^{p^2})$$

Since $w_2 > \frac{p}{p+1}$ by assumption, it is easy to see that the above equation admits a unique solution for $y_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$, and so $y_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$ is uniquely determined by (3.20.3). With these solutions for y_1 and y_2 , we see that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(\xi_1) &= u^{ew_2}(\hat{a}_2 + u^{e(p^2 - 1)(w_2 - \frac{p}{p+1})}y_1^p)\xi_2\\ \varphi(\xi_2) &= u^{ep(1 - w_2)}y_2^p\xi_1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have $\deg_1(\mathfrak{K}) = p(1 - w_2)$ and $\deg_2(\mathfrak{K}) = w_2$.

For the uniqueness of H', we assume that \tilde{H}' is a \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroup scheme of G with $\deg_1(\tilde{H}') = 1 - p(1 - w_2)$ and $\deg_2(\tilde{H}') = 1 - w_2$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} = \mathfrak{M}/\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$ be the quotient module of \mathfrak{M} corresponding to \tilde{H}' . By the definition of degree, we have

$$\deg(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1/(1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_2)) = \deg\bigl(\mathfrak{M}_1/(\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}_1 + (1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2))\bigr) = 1 - p(1-w_2) > 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{M}_1/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ is generated by the image of ϵ_1 and \mathfrak{J}_1 is free of rank 1 over \mathfrak{S}_1 , we see by Nakayama that $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1 = \mathfrak{S}_1 \overline{\epsilon}_1$, where $\overline{\epsilon}_1$ denotes the image of ϵ_1 in $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1$. In the same way, we see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_2 = \mathfrak{S}_1 \overline{\epsilon}_2$. Consider the image $\overline{\delta}_1$ of δ_1 in \mathfrak{J}_1 . As $\delta_1 \in \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2)$, so we have

$$\overline{\delta}_1 \in \varphi^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_2) = u^{e(1-p(1-w_2))} \mathfrak{S}_1 \overline{\epsilon}_1,$$

i.e. there exists $\tilde{y}_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\tilde{\xi}_1 = \delta_1 + u^{e(1-p(1-w_2))}\tilde{y}_1\epsilon_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}_1$. In the same way, there exists $\tilde{y}_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ such that $\tilde{\xi}_2 = \delta_2 + u^{e(1-w_2)}\tilde{y}_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}_2$. Then it follows that $\tilde{\xi}_1$ and $\tilde{\xi}_2$ generate respectively \mathfrak{K}_1 and \mathfrak{K}_2 , and \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2 satisfy the same equations as y_1 and y_2 ; hence we must

have $\tilde{y}_1 = y_1$ and $\tilde{y}_2 = y_2$ as these equations admit a unique solution. This implies $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}} = \mathfrak{K}$ and hence $\tilde{H'} = H'$.

•

Proposition 3.21. Let G be a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 over \mathcal{O}_K with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^2} . Assume that $w_1 = w_1(G) > 0$ and $w_2 = w_2(G) = 0$.

(a) If there exists a \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic closed subgroup scheme $H \subset G$ with $\deg_1(H) = 0$ and $\deg_2(H) \geq \frac{1}{p}$, then we have $w_1 = 1$.

(b) Conversely, if $w_1 = 1$, then any \mathbf{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroup $H \subset G$ has $\deg_1(H) = 0$ and $1/p \leq \deg_2(H) \leq 1$. More precisely, we have the following two possibilities:

(1) There is exactly one such subgroup H with $\frac{p+1}{p^2+1} < \deg_2(H) \le 1$, and all the other p^2 cyclic subgroups $H' \subset G$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} \le \deg_2(H') < \frac{p+1}{p^2+1}$ and

$$\deg_2(H') = \frac{1}{p^2}(1 + p - \deg_2(H)).$$

(2) All the (p^2+1) \mathbf{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroups $H \subset G$ has $\deg_2(H) = \frac{p+1}{p^2+1}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the preceding Lemma. Note that $w_1 > 0$ implies that G has no multiplicative part. Up to replacing K by a finite extension, we may assume that pdivides e and all the \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic closed subgroup schemes of G are defined over \mathcal{O}_K . Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2$ be the Breuil-Kisin module of G. Choose an adapted basis (δ_i, ϵ_i) of \mathfrak{M}_i , we have

$$\varphi(\delta_1, \epsilon_1) = (\delta_2, \epsilon_2) \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ u^e c_2 & u^e d_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi(\delta_2, \epsilon_2) = (\delta_1, \epsilon_1) \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ u^e c_1 & u^e d_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have $v_u(a_2) = ew_2 = 0$ and $v_u(a_1) > 0$ by assumption, hence $b_1, c_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$. Up to replacing δ_2 by $\varphi(\delta_1)$ and δ_1 by $\varphi(\epsilon_2)$, we may assume

$$\varphi(\delta_1,\epsilon_1) = (\delta_2,\epsilon_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_2 \\ 0 & u^e d_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi(\delta_2,\epsilon_2) = (\delta_1,\epsilon_1) \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ u^e c_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $d_2, c_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$. Let $H \subset G$ be a \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroup. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{N}_2$ be the quotient of \mathfrak{M} corresponding to H, and $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_2$ be the kernel corresponding to G/H.

(a) First, we suppose that $\deg_1(H) = 0$ and $\deg_2(H) \ge \frac{1}{p}$. According to Lemma 3.12, we have to prove that $v_u(a_1) \ge e$. We have $\deg_1(\mathfrak{N}) = \deg_1(H) = 0$ and $\deg_2(\mathfrak{N}) = \deg_2(H) \ge 1/p$ by 3.9. In particular, the \mathfrak{S}_1 -module

$$\mathfrak{N}_2/(1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{N}_1)=\mathfrak{M}_2/((1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_1)+\mathfrak{L}_2)$$

is non-zero. As $\mathfrak{M}_2/(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_1) = (\mathfrak{S}_1/u^e\mathfrak{S}_1)\overline{\epsilon_2}$, we see by Nakayama that the image of ϵ_2 generates \mathfrak{N}_2 . There exists thus $y_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\eta_2 = \delta_2 + y_2\epsilon_2$ is a basis of \mathfrak{L}_2 over \mathfrak{S}_1 . An easy computation shows that $v_u(y_2) = e \deg_2(\mathfrak{N}) \geq e/p$. Similarly, from $\deg_1(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$, we deduce that the image of $\varphi(\epsilon_2) = \delta_1$ generates \mathfrak{N}_1 . Therefore, there is $y_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\eta_1 = \epsilon_1 + y_1\delta_1$ forms a basis of \mathfrak{L}_1 . We have

(3.21.1)
$$\begin{cases} \varphi(\eta_1) &= (y_1^p + b_2)\delta_2 + u^e d_2\epsilon_2, \\ \varphi(\eta_2) &= (a_1 + y_2^p)\delta_1 + u^e c_1\epsilon_1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\varphi(\eta_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_1 = \mathfrak{S}_1 \eta_1$, we see that

$$(3.21.2) a_1 + p_2^p = y_1 u^e c_1.$$

Since $v_u(y_2) \ge e/p$, we have $v_u(a_1) \ge e$.

(b) Now we suppose $w_1(G) = 1$. By 3.12, we can write $a_1 = u^e a'_1$ with $a'_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. We claim first that \mathfrak{L}_1 contains no element of the form $\delta_1 + x_1 \epsilon_1$ with $x_1 \in u\mathfrak{S}_1$. Indeed, if this were not the case, we would have

$$\varphi^2(\delta_1 + x_1\epsilon_1) = u^e[(1 + x_1^{p^2}b_2^p)a_1' + u^{e(p-1)}d_2^p]\delta_1 + u^e(1 + x_1^{p^2}b_2^p)c_1\epsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_1.$$

Since \mathfrak{L}_1 is free of rank 1 over \mathfrak{S}_1 , we should have

$$(1 + x_1^{p^2}b_2^p)c_1 = x_1[(1 + x_1^{p^2}b_2^p)a_1' + u^{e(p-1)}d_2^p].$$

This is impossible, since the left hand side is a unit in \mathfrak{S}_1 but $x_1 \in u\mathfrak{S}_1$. This proves the claim.

To prove $\deg_1(H) = 0$, we suppose conversely that $\deg_1(H) > 0$. Then

$$\mathfrak{M}_1/(1\otimes arphi) arphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2) = \mathfrak{M}_1/((1\otimes arphi) arphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2) + \mathfrak{L}_1)
eq 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{M}_1/\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ is generated by the image of ϵ_1 , we see that the image of ϵ_1 is a basis of \mathfrak{N}_1 . As $\delta_1 = \varphi(\epsilon_2) \in \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_2)$, we see that there exists $x_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\eta_1 = \delta_1 + x_1 \epsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_1$. But η_1 must be a basis of \mathfrak{L}_1 , since \mathfrak{L}_1 is a direct summand of \mathfrak{L}_1 . It follows from $\deg_1(\mathfrak{N}) = \deg_1(H) > 0$ that $v_u(x_1) > 0$. By the claim above, this is absurd.

Next we show that $\deg_2(H) > 0$. Otherwise, we would have $\deg_2(\mathfrak{N}) = \deg_2(H) = 0$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{N}_2/(1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{N}_1)=\mathfrak{M}_2/((1\otimes\varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_1)+\mathfrak{L}_2)=0.$$

Since $(1 \otimes \varphi)\varphi^*(\mathfrak{M}_1) = \mathfrak{S}_1 \delta_2 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_1 E(u)\epsilon_2$, we see easily that \mathfrak{L}_2 contains an element of the form $\epsilon_2 + x_2\delta_2$ with $x_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then we have

$$\varphi(\epsilon_2 + x_2\delta_2) = (1 + u^e x_2^p a_1')(\delta_1 + \frac{x_2^p u^e c_1}{1 + u^e x_2^p a_1'}\epsilon_1) \in \mathfrak{L}_1.$$

This contradicts with the claim mentioned above.

In summary, we have proved that $\deg_1(H) = \deg_1(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$ and $\deg_2(H) = \deg_2(\mathfrak{N}) > 0$. The same arguments as in part (a) show that there exist $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ such that $\eta_1 = \epsilon_1 + y_1 \delta_1$ and $\eta_2 = \delta_2 + y_2 \epsilon_2$ form a basis of \mathfrak{L}_1 and \mathfrak{L}_2 . Thus the same equations (3.21.1) and (3.21.2) are satisfied. It follows that $v_u(y_2) \geq \frac{1}{p} \min\{v_u(a_1), e\} = \frac{e}{p}$, hence $\deg_2(H) \geq 1/p$. Recall that we have assumed p|e. Let's write $y_2 = u^{e/p} z_2$. We get from (3.21.2) that

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{c_1}(a_1' + z_2^p)$$

On the other hand, we deduce from (3.21.1) and $\varphi(\eta_1) \in \mathfrak{L}_2$ that $y_2(y_1^p + b_2) = u^e d_2$. Substituting y_1 and y_2 , we get finally

$$z_2^{p^2+1} + (b_2c_1^p + (a_1')^p)z_2 = c_1^p u^{e(1-\frac{1}{p})}d_2.$$

It is clear that the $p^2 + 1$ roots of z_2 correspond to the $(p^2 + 1) \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -cyclic closed subgroup schemes of G, and by our hypothesis on K, all the roots of z_2 are in \mathfrak{S}_1 . If H is the closed subgroup corresponding to a root z_2 , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_2(H) &= \deg_2(\mathfrak{N}) = 1 - \deg_2(\mathfrak{L}) \\ &= \min\{1, \frac{1}{e}v_u(y_2)\} = \min\{1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{e}v_u(z_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We put $\alpha = v_u(b_2c_1^p + (a'_1)^p)/e$ and recall that $c_1, d_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1^{\times}$. By considering the Newton polygon of the equation of z_2 , we deduce that

- if $\alpha < \frac{p(p-1)}{p^2+1}$, there is exactly one root z_2 with $v_u(z_2) = e(1 \frac{1}{p} \alpha)$ and all the other p^2 roots of z_2 with $v_u(z_2) = \frac{e}{p^2}\alpha$;
- if $\alpha \ge \frac{p(p-1)}{p^2+1}$, all the $p^2 + 1$ roots of z_2 satisfy $v_u(z_2) = e \frac{p-1}{p(p^2+1)}$.

Now the proposition follows easily from the correspondence between the \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroups of G and the roots of z_2 .

4. GOREN-KASSAEI'S STRATIFICATION AND CANONICAL SUBGROUPS

We will use the notation in 1.6.

4.1. We recall briefly the Dieudonné theory for HBAV. Let R be a κ -algebra, A be a HBAV over R equipped with a prime-to-p polarization. In [BBM82, Ch. 3], the authors defined the (contravariant) Dieudonné crystal associated with the finite and locally free group scheme A[p] over R. We denote by $\mathbb{D}(A[p])$ the evaluation of this crystal on the trivial divided power immersion $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. This is a locally free $(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module of rank 2 equipped with two natural morphisms of $(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -modules

$$F : \mathbb{D}(A[p])^{(p)} \to \mathbb{D}(A[p]) \text{ and } V : \mathbb{D}(A[p]) \to \mathbb{D}(A[p])^{(p)}$$

called respectively the Frobenius and the Verschiebung, where $(_)^{(p)}$ denotes the base change by the absolute Frobenius endomorhism $F_R : a \mapsto a^p$ on R. We have a decomposition

$$\mathbb{D}(A[p]) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\beta}$$

where each $\mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\beta}$ is a locally free *R*-module of rank 2 and \mathcal{O}_F acts on it via χ_{β} . For each $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$, we have an exact sequence of *R*-modules

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{V} \mathbb{D}(A[p])^{(p)}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\sigma \circ \beta} \xrightarrow{V} \mathbb{D}(A[p])^{(p)}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{F} \cdots$$

Let $\omega_{A/R}$ be the modules of invariant differentials of A relative to R, and Lie(A) be the Lie algebra of A. They are both locally free $(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -modules of rank 1, and we have similar decompositions:

$$\omega_{A/R} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \omega_{A/R,\beta}$$
 and $\operatorname{Lie}(A) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \operatorname{Lie}(A)_{\beta}$.

For each $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$, we have the β -component of the Hodge filtration

(4.1.1)
$$0 \to \omega_{A/R,\beta} \to \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\beta} \to \text{Lie}(A)_{\beta} \to 0$$

Here, the quotient is canonically $\operatorname{Lie}(A^{\vee})_{\beta}$, but we have identified $\operatorname{Lie}(A)_{\beta}$ with $\operatorname{Lie}(A^{\vee})_{\beta}$ using the polarization on A. Since the Frobenius induces the zero map on differential forms, we have a commutative diagram

where HW_{β} is the β -component of the usual Hasse-Witt map. Note that ι_{β} is injective, since it is the case if R is a perfect field and the diagram commutes with any base change. The morphism HW_{β} is just the dual map of the partial Hasse invariant h_{β} defined in (2.11.1). This fact will be used later to compute partial Hasse invariants.

4.2. We recall Goren-Oort's stratification of X_{κ} defined in [GO00]. Let A be a HBAV over a field containing κ . We put

$$\tau(A) = \{ \beta \in \mathbb{B} \mid h_{\beta}(A) = 0 \},\$$

where $h_{\beta}(A)$ is the partial Hasse invariant of A (2.11.1). For any subset $\tau \subset \mathbb{B}$, let Z_{τ} be the closed subsubset of X_{κ} where h_{β} vanishes for any $\beta \in \tau$, i.e., we have

$$Z_{\tau} = \{ x \in X_{\kappa} \mid \tau \subset \tau(A_x) \}_{:}$$

where A_x is the fiber of the universal HBAV at x. It is clear that $Z_{\tau'} \subseteq Z_{\tau}$ for any subsets $\tau' \supseteq \tau$. We put

$$W_{\tau} = Z_{\tau} \setminus \bigcup_{\tau' \supseteq \tau} Z_{\tau'} = \{ x \in X_{\kappa} \mid \tau(A_x) = \tau \}.$$

Goren and Oort showed that $\{W_{\tau}\}_{\tau \subseteq \mathbb{B}}$ form a stratification of X, and each stratum W_{τ} is smooth and equidimensional of dimension $g - |\tau|$, where $|\tau|$ denotes the cardinality of τ . We note that $W_{\emptyset} = X_{\kappa}^{\text{ord}}$, and $W_{\mathbb{B}}$ is the set of superspecial points of X_{κ} , i.e., the points where the *p*-divisible group of the corresponding HBAV is isomorphic to a product of gcopies of the *p*-divisible group of a supersingular elliptic curves.

4.3. Goren and Kassaei defined a similar stratification on Y_{κ} in [GK09]. If S is a subset of \mathbb{B} , we denote by $\sigma^{-1}(S)$ the subset of \mathbb{B} formed by $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta$ for $\beta \in S$, by $\sigma(S)$ the subset formed by $\sigma \circ \beta$ for $\beta \in S$, and by S^c the complement $\mathbb{B} \setminus S$. We say a pair (φ, η) of subsets of \mathbb{B} is *admissible* if $\eta \supset \sigma^{-1}(\varphi^c)$ or equivalently $\varphi \supset \sigma(\eta^c)$. For an admissible pair (φ, η) , we have decompositions

$$\eta = \sigma^{-1}(\varphi^c) \coprod I$$
 and $\varphi = \sigma(\eta^c) \coprod \sigma(I)$

with $I = \eta \cap \sigma^{-1}(\varphi)$.

Admissible pairs of subsets of \mathbb{B} arise naturally from the points of Y_{κ} . Let k be a perfect field containing κ , (A, H) be a k-point of Y_{κ} , $f : A \to B = A/H$ be the natural isogeny, and

$$\mathbb{D}(f):\mathbb{D}(B[p])\to\mathbb{D}(A[p])$$

be the morphism induced on contravariant Dieudonné modules. For a k vector space M, we identify M with $M^{(p)} = M \otimes_{\sigma} k$ by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$; so we regard the Frobenius F on the Dieudonné modules as σ -linear maps, and the Verschiebung as σ^{-1} -linear. We have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}(B[p])_{\sigma \circ \beta} \xrightarrow{V} \mathbb{D}(B[p])_{\beta} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}(B[p])_{\sigma \circ \beta} \xrightarrow{V} \cdots$$

$$\downarrow^{\mathbb{D}(f)_{\sigma \circ \beta}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\mathbb{D}(f)_{\beta}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\mathbb{D}(f)_{\sigma \circ \beta}} \xrightarrow{V} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\sigma \circ \beta} \xrightarrow{V} \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\beta} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\sigma \circ \beta} \xrightarrow{V} \cdots$$

Since $H = \operatorname{Ker}(f)$ is a (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup scheme, $\operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{D}(f)_{\beta}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\beta}$ is a onedimensional vector space over k. Note that there are two special k-lines in $\mathbb{D}(A[p])_{\beta}$, namely $(\operatorname{Ker} F)_{\beta} = (\operatorname{Im} V)_{\beta}$ and $(\operatorname{Im} F)_{\beta} = (\operatorname{Ker} V)_{\beta}$, where $(_)_{\beta}$ means the β -component. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A,H) &= \{\beta \in \mathbb{B} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{D}(f))_{\beta} = (\operatorname{Ker} V)_{\beta} = (\operatorname{Im} F)_{\beta} \} \\ \eta(A,H) &= \{\beta \in \mathbb{B} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{D}(f))_{\beta} = (\operatorname{Ker} F)_{\beta} = (\operatorname{Im} V)_{\beta} \}. \end{aligned}$$

The pair $(\varphi(A, H), \eta(A, H))$ is then admissible [GK09, 2.3.3]. If we denote by $f^t : B \to A$ the unique isogeny with $f \circ f^t = p \cdot 1_B$ and $f^t \circ f = p \cdot 1_A$, then we have [GK09, 2.3.2]

(4.3.1)
$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A,H) &= \{\beta \in \mathbb{B} \mid \operatorname{Lie}(f)_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta} = 0\}, \\ \eta(A,H) &= \{\beta \in \mathbb{B} \mid \operatorname{Lie}(f^t)_{\beta} = 0\}, \\ I(A,H) &= \eta(A,H) \cap \sigma^{-1}(\varphi(A,H)) = \{\beta \in \mathbb{B} \mid \operatorname{Lie}(f)_{\beta} = \operatorname{Lie}(f^t)_{\beta} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call the elements of I(A, H) the *critical indexes*. If $x = (A_x, H_x)$ is an arbitrary point of Y_{κ} , we define respectively $\varphi(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ as $\varphi(A_x \otimes k, H_x \otimes k)$ where k is a perfect extension of the residue field $\kappa(x)$. It is easy to see that the definition is independent of the choice of k.

For an admissible pair (φ, η) , we put

$$Z_{\varphi,\eta} = \{ x \in Y_{\kappa} \mid \varphi(x) \supseteq \varphi, \eta(x) \supseteq \eta \}.$$

The subset $Z_{\varphi,\eta}$ is closed in Y_{κ} [GK09, 2.5.1]. Let (φ', η') and (φ, η) be two admissible pairs. We write $(\varphi', \eta') \supseteq (\varphi, \eta)$ if $\varphi' \supseteq \varphi$ and $\eta' \supseteq \eta$. We have $Z_{\varphi',\eta'} \subseteq Z_{\varphi,\eta}$ if $(\varphi', \eta') \supseteq (\varphi, \eta)$. We put

$$W_{\varphi,\eta} = Z_{\varphi,\eta} \setminus \bigcup_{(\varphi',\eta') \supsetneq(\varphi,\eta)} Z_{\varphi',\eta'}.$$

Goren and Kasseai show that [GK09, 2.5.2]:

- each $W_{\varphi,\eta}$ is non-empty and its Zariski closure is $Z_{\varphi,\eta}$;
- the collection $\{W_{\varphi,\eta}\}$ with (φ,η) admissible forms a stratification of Y_{κ} ;
- each stratum $W_{\varphi,\eta}$ is smooth and equi-dimensional of dimension $2g |\varphi| |\eta|$.

34

Note that there are 3^g strata in this stratification of Y_{κ} . The relation between the stratifications on X_{κ} and Y_{κ} recalled above are given as follows. For an admissible pair (φ, η) , we have [GK09, 2.6.16]

$$\pi(W_{\varphi,\eta}) = \bigcup_{\substack{(\varphi \cap \eta) \subset \tau\\\tau \subset [(\varphi \setminus \eta) \cup (\eta \setminus \varphi)]^c}} W_{\tau};$$

in particular, for any point $x = (A, H) \in W_{\varphi, \eta}$, we have $(\varphi \cap \eta) \subset \tau(A) \subset [(\varphi \setminus \eta) \cup (\eta \setminus \varphi)]^c$.

4.4. Local coordinates. Let P be a closed point of X_{κ} , $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}$ be the local ring of X at P with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_P , and $\underline{\omega}_{\beta,P}$ be the pull-back of $\underline{\omega}_{\beta}$ to $\operatorname{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}/p)$. We choose a basis e_{β} of $\underline{\omega}_{\beta,P}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$. Then the partial Hasse invariant $h_{\beta} : \underline{\omega}_{\beta,P} \to \underline{\omega}_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta,P}^{(p)}$, where $\underline{\omega}_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta,P}^{(p)}$ denotes the base change by the absolute Frobenius, is given by

$$h_{\beta}(e_{\beta}) = \bar{t}_{\beta} e_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}^{(p)}$$

for some $\overline{t}_{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\kappa},P}/p$. Note that $\overline{t}_{\beta} \in \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{P}$ if and only if $\beta \in \tau(P)$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{P}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,P}/p$. By Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism, the elements $\{\overline{t}_{\beta} : \beta \in \tau(P)\}$ form part of a system of regular parameters of the regular local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\kappa},P}/p$. Let $t_{\beta} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}$ be a lift of \overline{t}_{β} . Then if $x \in W_{\mathbb{B}}$, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{\kappa},P} \simeq W(\kappa(P))[[\{t_{\beta} : \beta \in \mathbb{B}\}]].$

Let Q be a closed point of Y_{κ} , $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$ be the completion of the local ring of Y at Q with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_Q . We denote by $(\mathcal{A}_Q, \mathcal{H}_Q)$ the base change of the universal object on Y to $\operatorname{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q})$, and by $f : \mathcal{A}_Q \to \mathcal{B}_Q = \mathcal{A}_Q/\mathcal{H}_Q$ the canonical quotient, and by $f^t : \mathcal{B}_Q \to \mathcal{A}_Q$ the unique isogeny with $f^t \circ f = p \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}_Q}$ and $f \circ f^t = p \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}_Q}$. Let $\underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}_Q,\beta}$ and $\underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,\beta}$ be respectively the β -components of the invariants differentials of \mathcal{A}_Q and \mathcal{B}_Q . They are both free $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$ -modules of rank 1; we choose generators $e_{\beta} \in \underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}_Q,\beta}$ and $\epsilon_{\beta} \in \underline{\omega}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,\beta}$. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$, there are elements $x_{\beta}, y_{\beta} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$ such that

(4.4.1)
$$f^{t*}(e_{\beta}) = x_{\beta}\epsilon_{\beta} \text{ and } f^{*}(\epsilon_{\beta}) = y_{\beta}e_{\beta}$$

We have $x_{\beta}y_{\beta} = p$ because of $f^* \circ f^{t*} = p$, and $x_{\beta}, y_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}_Q$ if and only if β is critical, i.e. $\beta \in I(Q) = \sigma^{-1}(\varphi(Q)) \cap \eta(Q)$. Actually, Stamm [St97] showed that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y,Q} \simeq W(\kappa(Q))[[\{x_{\beta}, y_{\beta} : \beta \in I(Q)\}, \{z_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \mathbb{B} - I(Q)\}]]/(\{x_{\beta}y_{\beta} - p : \beta \in I(Q)\}).$$

The following proposition, proved in [GK09, 2.8.1] and referred as "Key Lemma" there, will play an important role in the proof of our main results. We give here another proof using Dieudonné theory.

Proposition 4.5 (Goren-Kassaei). Let Q be a closed point of Y, $P = \pi(Q)$, $\beta \in \varphi(Q) \cap \eta(Q) \subset \tau(P)$, and $\pi^* : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$ be the natural induced morphism. (a) If $\sigma \circ \beta \in \varphi(Q)$ and $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta \in \eta(Q)$, we have

$$\pi^*(t_\beta) \equiv ux_\beta + vy_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^p \mod p,$$

where u, v are some units in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$.

(b) If $\sigma \circ \beta \in \varphi(Q)$ and $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta \notin \eta(Q)$, we have

 $\pi^*(t_\beta) \equiv u x_\beta \mod p$

for some unit $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$.

(c) If $\sigma \circ \beta \notin \varphi(Q)$ and $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta \in \eta(Q)$, we have

$$\pi^*(t_\beta) \equiv v y^p_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta} \mod p$$

for some unit $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$. (d) If $\sigma \circ \beta \notin \varphi(Q)$ and $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta \notin \eta(Q)$, we have $\pi^*(t_\beta) \equiv 0 \mod p$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the corresponding equalities in $R = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}/p = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_{\kappa},Q}$. By abuse of notation, we still denote by t_{β} , x_{β} and y_{β} their image in R. Let $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{H}})$ be the universal HBAV over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, $f : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \to \overline{\mathcal{B}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and $f^t : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ be the canonical isogenies, $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0, \overline{\mathcal{H}}_0)$, $f_0 : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_0 \to \overline{\mathcal{B}}_0$ and $f_0^t : \overline{\mathcal{B}}_0 \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_0$ be the corresponding fibers at the closed point. We have the Dieudonné modules $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}[p]) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbb{B}} \mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}[p])_{\gamma}$ and $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}[p]) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbb{B}} \mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}[p])_{\gamma}$, and a commutative diagram of exact sequences (4.5.1)

for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{B}$. Let $\{e_{\gamma}, d_{\gamma}\}$ and $\{\epsilon_{\gamma}, \delta_{\gamma}\}$ be respectively a basis of $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}[p])_{\gamma}$ and $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}[p])_{\gamma}$ such that the cotangent modules $\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}/R}$ and $\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}/R}$ are generated respectively by e_{γ} and ϵ_{γ} . So we have $F(e_{\gamma}) = 0$ and $F(\epsilon_{\gamma}) = 0$. By (4.4.1), we may assume

(4.5.2)
$$\mathbb{D}(f^t)(e_{\gamma}) = x_{\gamma} \cdot \epsilon_{\gamma} \text{ and } \mathbb{D}(f)(\epsilon_{\gamma}) = y_{\gamma} \cdot e_{\gamma}$$

For an element z in $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}[p])$ (or $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}[p])$), we denote by z_0 its image in $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0[p])$ (or $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_0[p])$). If $\gamma \in \eta(Q)$, then we have

$$\operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{D}(f_0^t)_{\gamma} = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{D}(f_0)_{\gamma} = (\operatorname{Im} V)_{\gamma} = \kappa(Q) e_{\gamma,0}$$

Up to modifying δ_{γ} , we may assume $\mathbb{D}(f_0)(\delta_{\gamma,0}) = e_{\gamma,0}$ and even $\mathbb{D}(f)(\delta_{\gamma}) = e_{\gamma} + U_{\gamma}d_{\gamma}$ for some $U_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$. If $\gamma \in \sigma^{-1}(\varphi(Q))$, it follows from (4.3.1) that

$$\operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{D}(f_0)_{\gamma} = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{D}(f_0^t)_{\gamma} = (\operatorname{Im} V)_{\gamma} = \kappa(Q)\epsilon_{\gamma,0}.$$

Hence, we may assume $\mathbb{D}(f_0^t)(d_{\gamma,0}) = \epsilon_{\gamma,0}$ and therefore $\mathbb{D}(f^t)(d_{\gamma}) = \epsilon_{\gamma} + V_{\gamma}\delta_{\gamma}$ for some $V_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$. If $\gamma \in I(Q) = \eta(Q) \cap \sigma^{-1}(\varphi(Q))$, i.e., γ is critical, we deduce from $\mathbb{D}(f) \circ \mathbb{D}(f^t) = 0$ that

$$\mathbb{D}(f)(\epsilon_{\gamma}) = -V_{\gamma}\mathbb{D}(f)(\delta_{\gamma}) = -V_{\gamma}(e_{\gamma} + U_{\gamma}d_{\gamma})$$
$$\mathbb{D}(f^{t})(e_{\gamma}) = -U_{\gamma}\mathbb{D}(f^{t})(d_{\gamma}) = -U_{\gamma}(\epsilon_{\gamma} + V_{\gamma}\delta_{\gamma})$$

36
In view of (4.5.2), we have $U_{\gamma} = -x_{\gamma}$ and $V_{\gamma} = -y_{\gamma}$. In summary, if γ is critical, we have

(4.5.3)
$$\mathbb{D}(f)(\delta_{\gamma}) = e_{\gamma} - x_{\gamma}d_{\gamma} \text{ and } \mathbb{D}(f^{t})(d_{\gamma}) = \epsilon_{\gamma} - y_{\gamma}\delta_{\gamma}.$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$ be in the statement of the proposition. Assume that

(4.5.4)
$$\begin{cases} F(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}) &= -ue_{\beta} + t_{\beta}d_{\beta} \\ F(\delta_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}) &= -v\epsilon_{\beta} + s_{\beta}\delta_{\beta} \end{cases}$$

for some $u, v, t_{\beta}, s_{\beta}$ in R. By the remark below (4.1.2), t_{β}, s_{β} compute the partial Hasse invariants of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ respectively. Note that $t_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ since $\beta \in \varphi(Q) \cap \eta(Q) \subset \tau(Q)$ by assumption. Hence u has to be a unit in R, because $(\operatorname{Im} F)_{\beta}$ is a direct summand of $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}[p])_{\beta}$. Similarly, at least one of v and s_{β} is invertible in R. We distinguish the four cases in the statement:

Case (a). In this case, both β and $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta$ are critical, hence the formula (4.5.3) applies to $\gamma = \beta, \sigma^{-1} \circ \beta$. It results from (4.5.4) that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}(f^t)(F(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) &= (-ux_{\beta} + t_{\beta})\epsilon_{\beta} - t_{\beta}y_{\beta}\delta_{\beta} \\ \mathbb{D}(f)(F(\delta_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) &= (-vy_{\beta} + s_{\beta})e_{\beta} - s_{\beta}x_{\beta}d_{\beta} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the commutative diagram (4.5.1) that

(4.5.5)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}(f^t)(F(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) &= F(\mathbb{D}(f^t)(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) = -y_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^p(-v\epsilon_{\beta} + s_{\beta}\delta_{\beta}) \\ \mathbb{D}(f)(F(\delta_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) &= F(\mathbb{D}(f)(\delta_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) = -x_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^p(-ue_{\beta} + t_{\beta}d_{\beta}). \end{cases}$$

Comparing the coefficients of ϵ_{β} and e_{β} , we get

$$\begin{cases} t_{\beta} &= ux_{\beta} + vy_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^{p} \\ s_{\beta} &= vy_{\beta} + ux_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^{p}. \end{cases}$$

We see that $s_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$, and it follows that v is a unit in R as remarked above. This completes the proof in case (a).

Case (b). In this case, β is critical. The fact $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta \notin \eta(Q)$ implies that

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{D}(f_0)_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta} \neq (\operatorname{Im} V)_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta} = \kappa(Q) e_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}$$

Therefore, up to modifying $d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}$, we may assume $d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{D}(f) = \operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{D}(f^t)$. Since F commutes with $\mathbb{D}(f^t)$, we have

$$0 = F(\mathbb{D}(f^t)(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) = \mathbb{D}(F(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) = \mathbb{D}(f^t)(-ue_\beta + t_\beta d_\beta).$$

Now the equality $t_{\beta} = ux_{\beta}$ follows from (4.5.3) applied to $\gamma = \beta$.

Case (c). In this case, $\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta$ is critical. The assumption $\sigma \circ \beta \notin \varphi(Q)$ implies that

$$\operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{D}(f_0)_{\beta} \neq (\operatorname{Im} V)_{\beta} = \kappa(Q)\epsilon_{\beta,0}.$$

It follows that $\mathbb{D}(f_0)(\epsilon_{\beta,0}) = y_\beta e_{\beta,0} \neq 0$, i.e. y_β is invertible and $x_\beta = p y_\beta^{-1} = 0$ in R. Therefore, $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{D}(f^t)_\beta = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{D}(f)_\beta = R e_\beta$. Up to modifying δ_β , we may assume

 $\mathbb{D}(f^t)(d_\beta) = \delta_\beta$. As in case (a), it follows from (4.5.4) that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}(f^t)(F(d_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) &= \mathbb{D}(f^t)(-ue_{\beta} + t_{\beta}d_{\beta}) = t_{\beta}\delta_{\beta} \\ \mathbb{D}(f)(F(\delta_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta})) &= \mathbb{D}(f)(-ve_{\beta} + s_{\beta}\delta_{\beta}) = -vy_{\beta}e_{\beta}. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that F commutes with $\mathbb{D}(f)$ and $\mathbb{D}(f^t)$ and that σ^{-1} is critical, we get the same formula (4.5.5) as in case (a). Comparing the coefficients, we obtain

$$v = -uy_{\beta}^{-1}x_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^p$$
 and $t_{\beta} = -y_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}^ps_{\beta}$.

To complete the proof in case (c), we note that $v \in \mathfrak{m}_R$, hence s_β is invertible in R.

Case (d). The same argument as in case (b) shows that $t_{\beta} = ux_{\beta}$ (we didn't use the fact that β is critical to get this). Now the same argument as in case (c) shows that $x_{\beta} = 0$.

4.6. We recall the valuations on the rigid spaces \mathfrak{X}_{rig} and \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} defined by Goren-Kassaei [GK09, 4.2]. Let \mathbf{C}_p be the completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and v_p be the valuation on \mathbf{C}_p normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$. We define

$$\nu(x) = \min\{v_p(x), 1\}.$$

Let L be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , \mathcal{O}_{L} be its ring of integers, and P be an L-valued rigid point of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, i.e. P corresponds to a polarized HBAV A with $\Gamma_{00}(N)$ -level structure over \mathcal{O}_{L} . For any $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$, let t_{β} be a local lift of the β -partial Hasse invariant around P. We define the β -th partial Hodge height of P (or of A) to be

(4.6.1)
$$w_{\beta}(P) = w_{\beta}(A) = \nu(t_{\beta}(P)).$$

It is easy to see that the definition does not depend on the lift t_{β} . We have $w_{\beta}(P) > 0$ if and only if $\beta \in \tau(\overline{P})$, where $\overline{P} \in Y_{\kappa}$ is the specialization of P. Therefore $P \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ if and only if $w_{\beta}(P) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F dividing p, and $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ be the completion of \mathcal{O}_F of its localization at \mathfrak{p} . Note that $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathbb{B}$ is identified with the set of embeddings of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ into \mathcal{O}_L . Then the finite flat group scheme $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 with RM by $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ over \mathcal{O}_L in the sense of 3.10, and the β -th partial Hodge height of A coincides with that of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ defined in 3.11.

4.7. Partial degrees. Let L be as above, G be a finite flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_L equipped with an action of \mathcal{O}_F , and ω_G be the invariant differential module of G. Similarly as 3.6, we define, for each $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$, the β -degree of G to be

$$\deg_{\beta}(G) = \deg(\omega_{G,\beta}),$$

where $\omega_{G,\beta}$ is the direct summand of ω_G on which \mathcal{O}_F acts via χ_{β} . The "total" degree of G defined in [Fa10] is thus

$$\deg(G) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \deg_{\beta}(G).$$

Let Q = (A, H) be an *L*-valued rigid point of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}$. We put $\nu_{\mathfrak{Y}}(Q) = (\nu_{\beta}(Q))_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}}$ with (4.7.1) $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = \deg_{\beta}(H).$

This definition is slightly different from that in [GK09, 4.2], and their relationship is given by the following

Proposition 4.8. Let $Q = (A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ be a rigid point defined over a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and $\overline{Q} \in Y_{\kappa}$ be its specialization. Then our definition of $\nu_{\beta}(Q)$ is 1 minus that of Goren-Kassaei, i.e., we have

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta \in \eta(\overline{Q}) \setminus I(\overline{Q}) \\ \nu(y_{\beta}(Q)) & \text{if } \beta \in I(\overline{Q}), \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta \notin \eta(\overline{Q}), \end{cases}$$

where y_{β} is the local parameter around Q introduced in (4.4.1).

Proof. Let $f : A \to B = A/H$ be the canonical isogeny, and $f^t : B \to A$ be the isogeny with kernel A[p]/H. We have exact sequences of invariant differential modules:

$$0 \to \omega_B \xrightarrow{f^*} \omega_A \longrightarrow \omega_H \to 0, \qquad 0 \to \omega_A \xrightarrow{f^{t*}} \omega_B \longrightarrow \omega_{A[p]/H} \to 0.$$

So by the definitions of x_{β}, y_{β} , we have

$$\deg_{\beta}(A[p]/H) = \deg(\omega_{B,\beta}/f^{t*}\omega_{A,\beta}) = v_p(x_p(Q)),$$
$$\deg_{\beta}(H) = \deg(\omega_{A,\beta}/f^*\omega_{B,\beta}) = v_p(y_p(Q)).$$

Thus the case where $\beta \in I(\overline{Q})$ follows immediately. If $\beta \in \eta(\overline{Q}) \setminus I(\overline{Q})$, we have $\text{Lie}(f_{\overline{Q}})_{\beta} \neq 0$, where $f_{\overline{Q}}$ denotes the special fiber of f. It follows that $f_{\beta}^* : \omega_{B,\beta} \to \omega_{A,\beta}$ is surjective, hence $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = \deg_{\beta}(H) = 0$. If $\beta \notin \eta(\overline{Q})$, then we have $\beta \in \eta^c(\overline{Q}) \subset \sigma^{-1}(\varphi(\overline{Q}))$, i.e., $\text{Lie}(f_{\overline{Q}}^t)_{\beta} \neq 0$ by (4.3.1). This means $f_{\beta}^{t*} : \omega_{A,\beta} \to \omega_{B,\beta}$ is surjective, hence we have $\deg_{\beta}(A[p]/H) = 0$ and

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q) = \deg_{\beta}(H) = \deg_{\beta}(A[p]) - \deg(A[p]/H) = 1.$$

It follows from this Proposition that $Q \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ if and only if $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = 1$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$. Following [GK09, 5.3], we define an admissible open subset of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ or of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{ P \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid w_{\beta}(P) + pw_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}(P) < p, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \},\$$

(4.8.1)
$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{ Q \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid \nu_{\beta}(Q) + p\nu_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}(Q) > 1, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \},$$

(4.8.2)
$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{ Q \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid \nu_{\beta}(Q) + p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q) < 1, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \}.$$

Theorem 4.9 (Goren-Kassaei). (a) For every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_F above p, we have $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

(b) Let L be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and $P \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$ be rigid point corresponding to a HBAV A over \mathcal{O}_L . For every rigid point Q = (A, H) of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ above P, the \mathfrak{p} -component $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ of H is the canonical subgroup of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ given by Theorem 3.14.

This theorem is essentially [loc. cit. 7.1.3], and statement (b) is also a direct consequence of Theorem 3.14. Let Q = (A, H) be a rigid point of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} , and $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ be the subgroup killed by $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, so that $H = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H[\mathfrak{p}]$. Following [loc. cit. 5.4.1], we say H (or Q) is

- canonical at \mathfrak{p} if $Q \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}}$;
- anti-canonical at \mathfrak{p} if $Q \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{p}}$;
- *canonical* if it is canonical at all primes **p** above *p*;
- anti-canonical if it is anti-canonical at **p** at all primes **p** above p;
- too singular at \mathfrak{p} is it is neither canonical nor anti-canonical at \mathfrak{p} .

We put

(4.9.1)
$$\mathcal{U}_{\operatorname{can}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \qquad \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}},$$
$$\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}|p\}} \left[\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$$

Then \mathcal{U}_{can} and \mathcal{V}_{can} are respectively strict neighborhoods of \mathfrak{X}_{rig}^{ord} and \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord} . The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 4.9.

Theorem 4.10. [GK09, 5.3.1, 5.3.7] With the notation above, we have $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\operatorname{can}}) = \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}} \cup \mathcal{W}$, and the restriction $\pi|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}} : \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}} \to \mathcal{U}_{\operatorname{can}}$ is an isomorphism, i.e., there exists a section $s^{\dagger} : \mathcal{U}_{\operatorname{can}} \to \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}$ extending the section $s^{\circ} : \mathfrak{X}_{\operatorname{rig}}^{\operatorname{ord}} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{\operatorname{rig}}^{\operatorname{ord}}$ defined in 2.12.

We call \mathcal{V}_{can} (resp. \mathcal{U}_{can}) the *canonical locus* of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} (resp. \mathfrak{X}_{rig}). The following Proposition describes the dynamics of Hecke correspondence over the canonical and anti-canonical locus.

Proposition 4.11 (Goren-Kassaei). Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F dividing p, $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the set theoretic Hecke correspondence (2.15.1) on \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} , and $Q = (A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ be a rigid point.

(a) Assume that Q is canonical at \mathfrak{p} . Then for every $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -cyclic subgroup H' of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ distinct from $H[\mathfrak{p}]$, we have

$$\deg_{\beta}(H') = \frac{1}{p}(1 - \nu_{\sigma \circ \beta}(Q)) \quad for \ \beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}};$$

or equivalently all $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ are canonical at \mathfrak{p} , and we have $\nu_\beta(Q_1) = \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{1}{p}\nu_{\sigma\circ\beta}(Q)$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p$.

(b) Assume Q is anti-canonical at \mathfrak{p} . Let $C \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ be its canonical subgroup. For any (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ distinct from $H[\mathfrak{p}]$, we have

$$\deg_{\beta}(H') = \begin{cases} 1 - p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q) & \text{if } H' = C;\\ \nu_{\beta}(Q) & \text{if } H' \neq C \end{cases}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p$. Equivalently, if $Q_1 = (A/H', (H + H')/H') \in U_p(Q)$, we have

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q_1) = \begin{cases} p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q) & \text{if } H' = C;\\ 1 - \nu_{\beta}(Q) & \text{if } H' \neq C. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $H' \neq C$, Q_1 is canonical at \mathfrak{p} .

This is essentially contained in [GK09, 5.4.3]. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce its proof here.

Proof. The equivalence between the statement on H' and that on $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ follows from the fact that

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q_1) = \deg_{\beta}(A[\mathfrak{p}]/H') = 1 - \deg_{\beta}(H'),$$

since $\deg_{\beta}(A[\mathfrak{p}]) = 1$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

(a) Since Q is canonical at \mathfrak{p} , we have $1 - \nu_{\beta}(Q) < p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q)$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows thus from Prop. 4.5 and 4.8 that

$$w_{\beta}(A) = \nu(x_{\beta}(Q)) = 1 - \nu_{\beta}(Q),$$

where x_{β} is the local parameters on Y introduced in 4.4. The subgroup H' must be anticanonical at \mathfrak{p} by Theorem 4.9, i.e., we have $p \deg_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}(H') < 1 - \deg_{\beta}(H')$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows from 4.5 that

$$w_{\beta}(A) = p \deg_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}(H').$$

Hence $\deg_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(H') = \frac{1}{p}(1-\nu_{\beta}(Q))$, i.e. $\deg_{\beta}(H') = \frac{1}{p}(1-\nu_{\sigma\circ\beta}(Q))$.

(b) We proceed in the same way as in (a). Since Q is anti-canonical at \mathfrak{p} , we have $w_{\beta}(A) = p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q)$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If H' = C, we have $w_{\beta}(A) = 1 - \deg_{\beta}(H')$. Thus the equality

$$\deg_{\beta}(H') = 1 - p\nu_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}(Q)$$

follows immediately. If $H' \neq C$, then H' is anti-canonical at \mathfrak{p} by Theorem 4.9, so we have $w_{\beta}(A) = p \deg_{\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta}(H')$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We deduce immediately that $\deg_{\beta}(H') = \nu_{\beta}(Q)$. \Box

Recall that for any weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$ and any admissible open subset $U \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$, we have defined in 2.10 $|f|_U$ for the space $f \in H^0(U, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$. Note that if U is not quasi-compact, it is possible that $|f|_U = \infty$. We have the following basic estimation of norms under Hecke correspondence.

Lemma 4.12. Let Q = (A, H) be a rigid point of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} defined over a finite extension K of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and ω be a basis of the free $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module $\underline{\omega}_{A/\mathcal{O}_K}$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F dividing $p, H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ be a $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -cyclic closed group disjoint from H, and $\hat{\phi} : A/H' \to A$ be the canonical isogeny with kernel A[p]/H'. Let V be an admissible open subset containing the rigid point Q' = (A/H', (H+H')/H'). If f is a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over V such that $|f|_V$ is finite, we have

$$|f(Q')| = |f(A/H', (H+H')/H', p^{-1}\hat{\phi}^*\omega)| \le p^{-\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p} k_\beta \deg_\beta(H')} |f|_V$$

Proof. Let ω' be a basis of $\underline{\omega}_{A'/\mathcal{O}_K}$ as $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module, where A' = A/H'. Then it is easy to see that $p^{-1}\hat{\phi}^*\omega = a\omega'$ for some $a \in (K \otimes F)^{\times}$ with $|\chi_{\beta}(a)| = p^{\deg_{\beta}(H')}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$. Note that $\deg_{\beta}(H') = 0$ if $\beta \notin \mathbb{B}_p$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} |f(A/H', (H+H')/H', p^{-1}\hat{\phi}^*\omega)| &= |(\prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}} \chi_{\beta}^{-k_{\beta}}(a))f(A/H', (H+H')/H', \omega')| \\ &= p^{-\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{p}} k_{\beta} \deg_{\beta}(H')} |f(A/H', (H+H')/H', \omega')| \\ &\leq p^{-\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{p}} k_{\beta} \deg_{\beta}(H')} |f|_{V}. \end{split}$$

From the formula 2.8.3, we deduce immediately that

Corollary 4.13. Let f be a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ defined over an admissible open subset V of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} with $|f|_V$ finite, and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F above p with $f_{\mathfrak{p}} = [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p]$. Let Q = (A, H)be a rigid point of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} such that $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) \subset V$. Assume that $k_{\beta_0} = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p} \{k_\beta\}$, and there exists c > 0 such that $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p} \deg_{\beta}(H') \ge c$ for any $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -cyclic subgroups of $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ different from H. Then we have

$$|U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)(Q)| \le p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}} - k_{\beta_0}c} |f|_V.$$

Proof. By the definition of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$ (2.8.3) and the preceding Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)(Q)| &\leq p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sup_{\substack{H' \subset A[p] \\ H' \cap H = 0}} |f(A/H', (H+H')/H')| \\ &\leq p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sup_{\substack{H' \subset A[p] \\ H' \cap H = 0}} \{p^{-\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}} k_{\beta} \deg_{\beta}(H')}\} |f|_{V}. \end{aligned}$$

The corollary follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}} k_{\beta} \deg_{\beta}(H') \ge k_{\beta_{0}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \deg_{\beta}(H') \ge ck_{\beta_{0}}.$$

Now we prove the first result on analytic continuation of *p*-adic Hilbert modular forms.

Proposition 4.14. Let f be an overconvergent p-adic Hilbert modular form of weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$. Assume that for all prime $\mathfrak{p}|p$, we have $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}f$ with $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{C}_{p}^{\times}$. Then f extends uniquely to a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over \mathcal{V}_{can} such that $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}f$ remains true for all $\mathfrak{p}|p$. Moreover, $|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{can}} = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{V}_{can}} |f(Q)|$ is finite.

Proof. For any rational number 0 < r < p and any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_F dividing p, we put

$$\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}(\mathfrak{p};r) = \{ Q \in \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}} \mid \nu_{\beta}(Q) + p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q) \ge p+1-r \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \}.$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}(r) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}(r).$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}_{can}(r)$ is a quasi-compact admissible open subset of \mathcal{V}_{can} , and $\{\mathcal{V}_{can}(r)\}_{r\to 0^+}$ form a fundamental system of strict neighborhoods of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}^{ord} . Using Prop. 4.11(a), it is easy to check that $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{V}_{can}(\mathfrak{p};r)) \subset \mathcal{V}_{can}(\mathfrak{p};r/p)$, and hence

$$(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} U_{\mathfrak{p}})(\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}(r)) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}(r/p).$$

We may assume that f is defined over some $\mathcal{V}_{can}(r_0)$. Let $n \geq 1$ be the minimal integer such that $p^n r_0 > p$, then we have $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} U_{\mathfrak{p}})(\mathcal{V}_{can}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{can}(r_0)$. Therefore, $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^n} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^n)f$ is a well-defined section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over \mathcal{V}_{can} extending f. It is clear that the (extended) form f still satisfy the functional equations $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}f$. The finiteness of $|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{can}}$ follows immediately from Corollary 4.13.

43

The following useful Proposition is motivated by $[Pi09, \S7]$.

Proposition 4.15. Let Z be any closed scheme of Y_{κ} with $\operatorname{codim}_{Y_{\kappa}}(Z) \geq 2$, and $]Y_{\kappa} - Z[$ be the rigid analytic tube in $\mathfrak{Y}_{\operatorname{rig}}$ of the open subset $Y_{\kappa} - Z$. Then for any finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} and any weight $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, the natural restriction map

$$H^0(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}) \to H^0(]Y_{\kappa} - Z[_L,\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, for any $f \in H^0(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L},\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$, we have $|f|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig},L}} \leq |f|_{Y_{\kappa}-Z[L]}$.

Proof. We will just work in the case $L = \mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}$ to simplify the notation, as the general case can be treated in the same way. Let Q be a closed point of Y_{κ} , and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_{\kappa},Q}$ be the completion of the local ring of Y_{κ} at Q. Then with the notation in (4.4.2), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_{\kappa},Q} \simeq \kappa(Q)[[\{x_{\beta}, y_{\beta} : \beta \in I(Q)\}, \{z_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \mathbb{B} - I(Q)\}]]/(\{x_{\beta}y_{\beta} : \beta \in I(Q)\})$$

We see that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_{\kappa},Q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, in particular it satisfy the condition S_2 . Since Y_{κ} is excellent by [EGAIV, 7.8.3(ii)], it follows from [*loc. cit.* 7.8.3(v)] that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\kappa},Q}$ also Cohen-Macaulay. Now the Proposition follows directly from Corollary A.5 and Remark A.7.

The following proposition is an analogue of [Pi09, 2.4] in the Hilbert case.

Proposition 4.16. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F dividing p, $f_{\mathfrak{p}} = [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p], n \geq 1$ be an integer, $U_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ be the set theoretic Hecke correspondence (2.15.1). Let Lbe a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , Q = (A, H) be an L-valued rigid point of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} , and $Q_n = (A_n, H_n) \in U^n_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$ defined over a finite extension of L. Assume that the \mathfrak{p} -divisible group $A[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ is not ordinary. Then we have $\nu_{\beta}(Q_n) = \nu_{\beta}(Q)$ for $\beta \notin \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and

(4.16.1)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} p^{i} \nu_{\sigma^{-i} \circ \beta}(Q_{n}) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} p^{i} \nu_{\sigma^{-i} \circ \beta}(Q)$$

for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{p}$. The equalities above hold for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{p}$ if and only if the following properties are verified:

(a) The subgroup scheme $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1. Moreover, there exists a subset $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = 1$ for $\beta \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = 0$ for $\beta \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c = \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} - I_{\mathfrak{p}}, w_{\beta}(A) = 0$ for $\beta \in (\sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cup (\sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c), and w_{\beta}(A) = 1$ for $\beta \in (\sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cup (\sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

(b) There exists a truncated Barsotti-Tate subgroup $G_n \subset A[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ of level n defined over \mathcal{O}_L , stable under the action of \mathcal{O}_F , such that the natural maps

$$G_n \times H[\mathfrak{p}] \to A[\mathfrak{p}^n]$$

is a closed embedding.

(c) We have $Q_n = (A/G_n, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$, where we have considered H as a subgroup via (b). In particular, Q_n can be defined over L.

(d) Let $Q'_n \in U^n_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$ distinct from $Q_n = (A/G_n, H)$. If $p \ge 3$, we have

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q'_n) \geq \frac{p-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{p^{g-1}(p-1)} \quad for \ any \ \beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}};$$

in particular, Q'_n is canonical at \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. We have a canonical decomposition of *p*-divisible groups

$$A[p^{\infty}] = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|p} A[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}].$$

Since the Hecke correspondence $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ concerns only the \mathfrak{p} -component, the natural isogeny $A \to A'$ induces an isomorphism of finite flat group schemes

$$H[\mathfrak{q}] \xrightarrow{\sim} H'[\mathfrak{q}]$$

for $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$, hence $\nu_{\beta}(Q') = \nu_{\beta}(Q)$ if $\beta \notin \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. There exists a finite extension L'/L, and a sequence $Q_0 = Q, Q_1, \ldots, Q_n \in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ defined over L' such that $Q_m \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q_{m-1})$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. Assume $Q_m = (A_m, H_m)$ and $A_m \simeq A_{m-1}/D_m$ for some closed subgroup $D_m \subset A_{m-1}[\mathfrak{p}]$ with D_m distinct from H_{m-1} for $1 \leq m \leq n$ ($H_0 = H$). We have a sequence of homomorphisms of group schemes of 1-dimensional ($\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$)-vector spaces over $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$:

$$H[\mathfrak{p}] \to H_1[\mathfrak{p}] \to H_2[\mathfrak{p}] \to \cdots \to H_n[\mathfrak{p}]$$

which are generically isomorphisms. The first part of the proposition follows from Lemma 3.7.

For the second part, the "if" direction is trivial. Assume now the equalities in (4.16.1) hold for all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Lemma 3.7 implies that the natural morphism $H[\mathfrak{p}] \to H_n[\mathfrak{p}]$ is an isomorphism, hence so is $H[\mathfrak{p}] \to H_m[\mathfrak{p}]$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. It follows that the exact sequence $0 \to D_m \to A_{m-1}[\mathfrak{p}] \to H_m[\mathfrak{p}] \to 0$ splits for $1 \leq m \leq n$, i.e.

(4.16.2)
$$A_{m-1}[\mathfrak{p}] = H_m[\mathfrak{p}] \times D_m \simeq H[\mathfrak{p}] \times D_m.$$

As direct summands of a Barsotti-Tate group of level 1, both $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ and D_m are truncated Barsotti-Tate groups of level 1. Moreover, since $\omega_{A[\mathfrak{p}]} = \omega_{H[\mathfrak{p}]} \oplus \omega_{D_1}$ and $\omega_{A[\mathfrak{p}]}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -module, we have $\nu_\beta(Q) = \deg_\beta(H) \in \{0,1\}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, there exists a subset $I_\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ such that $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ (resp. D_1) is a special subgroup of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of type $I_\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. of type $I_\mathfrak{p}^c$) in the sense of Prop. 3.16. The hypothesis that $A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ is not ordinary implies that $I_\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathbb{B}_\mathfrak{p}$. Condition (a) follows immediately from 3.16(a).

To simplify notation, we denote simply by H and A their base change to $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$. To prove (b) and (c), we construct inductively a sequence of closed subgroup schemes $G_m \subset A[\mathfrak{p}^m]$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$ such that $G_m \subset G_{m+1}$ and $A_m = A/G_m$. We put $G_1 = D_1$, and assume that $m \geq 2$ and G_{m-1} has been constructed. We have a left exact sequence

$$0 \to G_{m-1}(\overline{L}) \to A[\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}](\overline{L}) \to A_{m-1}[\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}](\overline{L}),$$

where \overline{L} is an algebraic closure of L. We define G_m to be the scheme theoretic closure in $A[\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}]$ of the inverse image of $D_m(\overline{L}) \subset A_{m-1}[\mathfrak{p}](\overline{L})$. Since the image of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ in $A_m[\mathfrak{p}]$ is $H_m[\mathfrak{p}] \simeq H[\mathfrak{p}]$, we have $G_m(\overline{L}) \cap A[\mathfrak{p}](\overline{L}) = G_1(\overline{L}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$. It follows that $G_m(\overline{L}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^m$ for any $1 \leq m \leq n$. Now we show G_n is a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level n, i.e. the natural map $G_{m+1}/G_1 \to G_m$ induced by the multiplication by p is an

isomorphism for any m. It is clearly an isomorphism on the generic fibers, so we just need to prove that $\deg(G_{m+1}) = \deg(G_1) + \deg(G_m)$ by [Fa10, Cor. 3]. This results from the splitting (4.16.2):

$$\deg(G_{m+1}) - \deg(G_m) = \deg(A_m[\mathfrak{p}]) - \deg(H)$$
$$= \deg(A[\mathfrak{p}]) - \deg(H)$$
$$= \deg(G_1).$$

In this same way, the natural map $G_n \times H[\mathfrak{p}] \to A[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is clearly a closed embedding over the generic fibers, and it is an isomorphism over $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ because the degree of $G_n \times H[\mathfrak{p}]$ equals that of its image. This proves (b) and (c) except for the rationality of G_n over \mathcal{O}_L . Actually, D_m is the unique special subgroup of $A_{m-1}[\mathfrak{p}]$ of type $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c \neq \emptyset$ by 3.16(a). In particular D_m is defined over \mathcal{O}_L . By induction, all $A_m = A_{m-1}/D_m$ for $0 \leq m \leq n$ are defined over \mathcal{O}_L , so is G_n .

For condition (d), note that there exists a sequence of rigid points $Q'_0 = Q, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_n$ such that $Q'_m \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q'_{m-1})$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let $r \leq n$ be the minimal integer such that $Q'_r \neq Q_r$. We have $Q'_r = (A_{r-1}/H', (H+H')/H')$ for some $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -cyclic subgroup $H' \subset A_{r-1}[\mathfrak{p}]$ distinct from H and D_r . Note that $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ and D_r are respectively special subgroups of $A_{r-1}[\mathfrak{p}]$ of type $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$. It follows from 3.16(a)(3) that

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q'_r) = 1 - \deg_{\beta}(H') \ge \frac{p-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{p^{g-1}(p-1)};$$

in particular, $\nu_{\beta}(Q'_r) + p\nu_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\beta}(Q'_r) > 1$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_p$ if $p \ge 3$. By definition, Q'_r is canonical at \mathfrak{p} . This finishes the proof if r = n. If r < n, Prop. 4.11(a) implies that

$$\nu_{\beta}(Q'_{m}) = \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{1}{p}\nu_{\sigma\circ\beta}(Q'_{m-1}) > \frac{p-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{p^{g-1}(p-1)}$$

< *n* and $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{p}$.

for any $r < m \leq n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_{p}$.

Corollary 4.17. Let $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a subset, and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$ be its complement in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let Q = (A, H) be a rigid point of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} with $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = 1$ for $\beta \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\nu_{\beta}(Q) = 0$ for $\beta \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$. Assume that $\sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subset I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$. Then there exists a rigid point $Q_1 \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$ such that $\nu_{\beta}(Q_1) = \nu_{\beta}(Q)$ for all β , i.e. the equivalent conditions in the Proposition are satisfied for n = 1, if and only if $w_{\beta}(A) = 1$ for $\beta \in \sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. From 4.16(a), the condition that $w_{\beta}(A) = 1$ for $\beta \in \sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is clearly necessary. We note that $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a special subgroup of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of type $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows from Prop. 3.16(a) that $w_{\beta}(A) = 0$ for $\beta \in \sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$, and $w_{\beta}(A) = 1$ for $\beta \in \sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c = \sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}})$. If $w_{\beta}(A) = 1$ for $\beta \in \sigma(I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c) \cap I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then 3.16(b) implies that there exists a special subgroup $D_1 \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of type $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$. The point $Q_1 = (A/D_1, (H+D_1)/D_1)$ satisfies the required property.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3: CASE OF 2.17 WHEN g = 2.

In this section, we assume g = 2, and p is inert in F so that $\mathcal{O}_F/p \simeq \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$. We identify $\mathbb{B} = \operatorname{Emd}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F, \mathbf{Q}_{\kappa})$ with $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = \{1, 2\}$.

5.1. We start with the stratifications on X_{κ} and Y_{κ} described in the previous section. To simplify the notation, we drop the symbol " $\{_\}$ " in the subscript, when there is only one element in the set. For example, we denote $W_{\{1\}}$ and $W_{\{1\},\{1\}}$ simply by W_1 and $W_{1,1}$. There are 4 strata in X_{κ} : W_{\emptyset}, W_1, W_2 and $W_{\mathbb{B}}$. The 2-dimensional stratum W_{\emptyset} is the ordinary locus, W_1 and W_2 are the loci where the fibers of the universal HBAV are supersingular and with *a*-number equal to 1, and $W_{\mathbb{B}}$ is the discrete set consisting of superspecial points. There are 9 strata in the Goren-Kassaei stratification of Y_{κ} . Here is a list of the strata according to their dimensions:

- 2-dimensional: $W_{\mathbb{B},\emptyset}, W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}, W_{1,1}, W_{2,2}$.
- 1-dimensional: $W_{\mathbb{B},1}, W_{\mathbb{B},2}, W_{1,\mathbb{B}}, W_{\mathbb{B},2}$.
- 0-dimensional: $W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}$.

We have $\pi(W_{\mathbb{B},\emptyset}) = \pi(W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}) = W_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi(W_{\mathbb{B},i}) = \pi(W_{i,\mathbb{B}}) = W_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \pi(W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}) = W_{\mathbb{B}}$, and finally $\pi(W_{i,i}) = W_i \cup W_{\mathbb{B}}$. In particular, the projection π is not quasi-finite on the strata $W_{1,1}$ and $W_{2,2}$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$W_{i,i}^{sg} = W_{i,i} \cap \pi^{-1}(W_i), \qquad W_{i,i}^{ss} = W_{i,i} \cap \pi^{-1}(W_{\mathbb{B}}).$$

We call

(5.1.1)
$$Y_{\kappa}^{sg} = W_{1,1}^{sg} \cup W_{2,2}^{sg}$$

the supergeneral locus, and

$$Y_{\kappa}^{ss} = \pi^{-1}(W_{\mathbb{B}}) = W_{1,1}^{ss} \cup W_{2,2}^{ss} \cup W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}.$$

the superspecial locus.

5.2. Let k be an algebraically closed field containing \mathbf{F}_{p^2} . For a finite group scheme G over k, we denote by ω_G its module of invariant differentials. If G is equipped with an action of $\mathcal{O}_{F_p} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{p^2}$, we have a decomposition $\omega_G = \omega_{G,1} \oplus \omega_{G,2}$, where \mathcal{O}_F acts on ω_{G,β_i} via χ_{β_i} .

In [Pi09, 4.1], Pilloni classified the commutative finite group schemes of order p^2 over k. There are only 4 isomorphism classes: $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p$, α_{p^2} , $\alpha_{p^2}^{\vee}$ and α . Here, $\alpha_{p^n} = \text{Ker}(F^n : \mathbf{G}_a \to \mathbf{G}_a)$ is the kernel of *n*-th iterated Frobenius of the additive group for $n = 1, 2, \alpha_{p^2}^{\vee}$ is the Cartier dual of α_{p^2} , and α is the *p*-torsion of a supersingular elliptic curve over k. The groups $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p$ and α are isomorphic to their Cartier dual. These groups can be characterized by the dimension of their invariant differential modules and those of their duals:

$$\dim_k(\omega_{\alpha_p \times \alpha_p}) = 2 \qquad \dim_k(\omega_\alpha) = 1$$
$$\dim_k(\omega_{\alpha_{p^2}}) = 1 \qquad \dim_k(\omega_{\alpha_{p^2}}) = 2$$

Lemma 5.3. Let P be a k-valued point of the stratum $W_1 \subseteq X_{\kappa}$, and A be the corresponding HBAV. Then we have $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\text{red}} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1_k$ with two distinguished points corresponding to

$$H = \text{Ker}(F_A : A \to A^{(p)}) \quad and \quad H = \text{Ker}(V_{A^{(p^{-1})}} : A \to A^{(p^{-1})})$$

In the first distinguished case, we have $H \simeq \alpha_{p^2}^{\vee}$ and $(A, H) \in W_{\mathbb{B},1}$; in the second distinguished case, we have $(A, H) \in W_{1,\mathbb{B}}$; otherwise, we have $H \simeq \alpha$ and $(A, H) \in W_{1,1}$. Moreover, we have $\dim_k(\omega_{H,2}) = 1$ in all the cases, and

$$\dim_k(\omega_{H,1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } H \simeq \alpha \text{ or } \alpha_{p^2} \\ 1 & \text{if } H \simeq \alpha_{p^2}^{\vee}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Up to isomorphisms, the contravariant Dieudonné module of A[p] can be described as $\mathbb{D}(A[p]) = \mathbb{D}(A[p])_1 \oplus \mathbb{D}(A[p])_2$ with $\mathbb{D}(A[p])_i = ke_i \oplus kd_i$, and the Frobenius and Verschiebung are given by

$$F(e_1, d_1) = (e_2, d_2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad F(e_2, d_2) = (e_1, d_1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$V(e_1, d_1) = (e_2, d_2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V(e_2, d_2) = (e_1, d_1) \begin{bmatrix} -t_2^{1/p} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $t_2 \in k^{\times}$ is the value of the partial Hasse invariant h_2 at A with respect to certain basis. Giving an (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H \subset A[p]$ corresponds to giving a k-line $\mathbb{L}_i = \mathbb{D}(A[p]/H)_{\beta_i} \subset \mathbb{D}(A[p])_i$ for each i = 1, 2 satisfying

$$F(\mathbb{L}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{i+1}$$
 and $V(\mathbb{L}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{i+1}$.

It is easy to see that the only choice for \mathbb{L}_1 is $ke_1 = (\operatorname{Ker} F)_1 = (\operatorname{Ker} V)_1$, and the choices for \mathbb{L}_2 are $k(ae_2 + b(e_2 + t_2d_2))$ with $(a:b) \in \mathbb{P}^1_k$. If (a:b) = (1:0), we have $\mathbb{L}_2 = (\operatorname{Im} V)_2$ and $H = \operatorname{Ker}(V_{A(p^{-1})}) \simeq \alpha_{p^2}$. If (a:b) = (0:1), we have $\mathbb{L}_2 = (\operatorname{Im} F)_2$ and $H = \operatorname{Ker}(F_A) \simeq \alpha_{p^2}^{\vee}$. Otherwise, \mathbb{L}_2 is neither $(\operatorname{Im} V)_2$ nor $(\operatorname{Im} F)_2$, we have $H \simeq \alpha$. The "moreover" part of the Lemma follows from the fact that $\mathbb{D}(H)_i = \mathbb{D}(A[p])_i/\mathbb{L}_i$.

Lemma 5.4. Let P be a k-point of $W_{\mathbb{B}} \subset X_{\kappa}$, and A be the corresponding HBAV.

(a) The reduced fiber $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\text{red}}$ consists of two copies of \mathbb{P}^1_k , denoted respectively by $\mathbb{P}^1_{k,1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{k,2}$, intersecting transversally at a single point Q.

(b) We have $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\mathrm{red}} \cap W_{i,i} = \mathbb{P}^1_{k,i} \setminus \{Q\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\mathrm{red}} \cap W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}} = \{Q\}.$

(c) For a point $(A, H) \in \pi^{-1}(P)_{\text{red}}$, we have $(A, H) \in \mathbb{P}^1_{k,i}$ if and only if $H \simeq \alpha$ and $\omega_{H,i} \neq 0$, and we have (A, H) = Q, if and only if $H = \text{Ker}(F_A) = \text{Ker}(V_{A^{(1/p)}}) \simeq \alpha_p \times \alpha_p$.

The proof of this lemma is quite similar to the previous one, and will be left to the reader as an exercise.

5.5. Consider the valuation $\nu_{\mathfrak{Y}} = (\nu_1, \nu_2) : \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ defined in 4.7.1. Let sp : $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig} \to Y_{\kappa}$ be the specialization map. If $Z \subset Y_{\kappa}$ is a locally closed subset, we denote

by $]Z[= \operatorname{sp}^{-1}(Z)$ the tube of Z in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} . By Prop. 4.8, we have, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, that

$$Q \in]W_{\mathbb{B},\emptyset}[\Leftrightarrow \nu_{\mathfrak{Y}}(Q) = (1,1);$$

$$Q \in]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\Leftrightarrow \nu_{\mathfrak{Y}}(Q) = (0,0);$$

$$Q \in]W_{\mathbb{B},i}[\Leftrightarrow 0 < \nu_i(Q) < 1 \text{ and } \nu_{i+1}(Q) = 1;$$

$$Q \in]W_{i,i}[\Leftrightarrow \nu_i(Q) = 0 \text{ and } \nu_{i+1}(Q) = 1;$$

$$Q \in]W_{i,\mathbb{B}}[\Leftrightarrow \nu_i(Q) = 0 \text{ and } 0 < \nu_{i+1}(Q) < 1;$$

$$Q \in]W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[\Leftrightarrow 0 < \nu_1(Q), \nu_2(Q) < 1.$$

In summary, the 4 vertices of the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ correspond to the strata of dimension 2, the 4 edges correspond to the 1-dimensional strata, and the interior corresponds to the unique stratum of dimension 0. We have the following graph:



Here, the two line segments with end points $\{(0,1), (1/p,0)\}$ and $\{(0,1/p), (1,0)\}$ are respectively $p\nu_1(Q) + \nu_2(Q) = 1$ and $\nu_1(Q) + p\nu_2(Q) = 1$. They intersect at the point $(\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p+1})$. The anti-canonical locus \mathcal{W} is strictly below these two lines, and the canonical locus \mathcal{V}_{can} is strictly above them. Note that \mathcal{V}_{can} contains $]W_{\mathbb{B},\emptyset}[$ and $]W_{\mathbb{B},i}[$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $U_p : \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ be the set theoretic Hecke correspondence (2.15.1). We want to understand the dynamics of U_p on the too-singular locus.

Proposition 5.6. Let $Q = (A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$ be a rigid point, $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. (a) If $Q \in]W_{i,i}^{sg}[$, then we have

$$\nu_i(Q_1) = 1$$
 and $\nu_{i+1}(Q_1) = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$

for all $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$. In particular, the orbit $U_p(Q)$ is contained in the canonical locus \mathcal{V}_{can} . (b) If $Q \in]W_{i,\mathbb{B}}[$ with $\frac{p+1}{p^2+1} \leq \nu_{i+1}(Q) < 1$, we have $\nu_{\beta_i}(Q_1) = 1$ and $\nu_{i+1}(Q_1) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}(1 - \nu_{i+1}(Q))$ for all $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$. In particular, the orbit $U_p(Q)$ is contained in \mathcal{V}_{can} .

V can. (c) If $Q \in]W_{i,\mathbb{B}}[$ with $\frac{1}{p} \leq \nu_{i+1}(Q) < \frac{p+1}{p^2+1}$. Then there is a unique point $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ with $\nu_i(Q_1) = 1$ and $\nu_{i+1}(Q_1) = p^2(\nu_{i+1}(Q) - \frac{1}{p})$, and the all the other $(p^2 - 1)$ points $Q'_1 \in U_p(Q)$ satisfy $\nu_i(Q'_1) = 1$ and $\nu_{i+1}(Q'_1) = 1 - \nu_{i+1}(Q)$. In particular, if $\nu_{i+1}(Q) > \frac{1}{p}$, we have $U_p(Q) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}$; and if $\nu_{i+1}(Q) = \frac{1}{p}$, then there is a unique point $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ contained in $|W_{i,i}|$, and the other points of $U_p(Q)$ are all in \mathcal{V}_{can} . *Proof.* If $Q_1 = (A/H', A[p]/H')$ is the rigid point corresponding to a (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic closed subgroup scheme H' of A[p], then we have

(5.6.1)
$$\nu_i(Q_1) = \deg_i(A[p]/H') = 1 - \deg_i(H').$$

Now the Proposition is a direct consequence of Prop. 3.21.

To describe the dynamics of U_p on $]W_{i,i}^{ss}[$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we need the following

Proposition 5.7. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and Q = (A, H) be a K-valued rigid point of $]W_{i,i}^{ss}[$. Then we have $w_i(A) = 1$, $0 < w_{i+1}(A) \leq 1$, and all the (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic closed subgroup schemes H' of A[p] different from H satisfy

(5.7.1)
$$\deg_i(H') + p \deg_{i+1}(H') = 1$$

Moreover,

(a) if $0 < w_{i+1} \leq \frac{p}{p+1}$, all the p^2 (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroups $H' \subset A[p]$ different from H satisfy

$$\deg_i(H') = \frac{w_{i+1}(A)}{p} \quad and \quad \deg_{i+1}(H') = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{w_{i+1}(A)}{p^2};$$

(b) if $\frac{p}{p+1} < w_{i+1}(A) \leq 1$, there is a unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup H'_0 different from H with

$$\deg_i(H'_0) = 1 - p(1 - w_{i+1}(A))$$
 and $\deg_{i+1}(H'_0) = 1 - w_{i+1}(A)$,

and all the other $p^2 - 1$ subgroups H' satisfy $\deg_1(H') = \deg_2(H') = \frac{1}{p+1}$.

Proof. The fact that $w_i(A) = 1$ follows from Prop. 4.5(d) or Prop. 3.20(a), and that $w_{i+1}(A) > 0$ follows from the definition of $]W_{i,i}^{ss}[$. Let H' be an (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup of A[p] different from H, and put Q' = (A, H'). Lemma 5.4 implies that Q' lies either in $]W_{i,i}^{ss}[$, or in $]W_{i+1,i+1}^{ss}[$, or in $]W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[$. Since Prop. 3.20(a) implies that H is the unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup of A[p] in $]W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[$. Since Prop. 3.20(a) implies that H is the unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup of A[p] in $]W_{i,i}^{ss}[$, the first case is excluded. If $Q' \in]W_{i+1,i+1}^{ss}[$, we have $\deg_{i+1}(H') = 0$ and $\deg_i(H') = 1$; in particular, (5.7.1) holds for H'. If $Q' \in]W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[$, then Prop. 4.5(a) implies that there exists units $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that

$$1 = w_i(A) = \min\{1, v_p(ux_i(Q') + vy_{i+1}^p(Q'))\},\$$

where x_i, y_{i+1} are the local parameters defined in 4.4. Since $0 < v_p(x_i(Q')), v_p(y_{i+1}(Q')) < 1$, we see that $1 - v_p(y_i(Q')) = v_p(x_i(Q')) = v_p(y_{i+1}^p(Q'))$, i.e. $v_p(y_i(Q')) + pv_p(y_{i+1}(Q')) = 1$. We deduce (5.7.1) from Prop. 4.8. It remains to prove the "Moreover" part of the Proposition. Applying Prop. 4.5(a) to the (i + 1)-th partial Hasse invariant, we see that there exist units $r, s \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with

$$w_{i+1}(A) = \min\{1, v_p(rx_{i+1}(Q') + sy_i^p(Q'))\}.$$

It follows from Prop. 4.8 and (5.7.1) that

$$v_p(x_{i+1}(Q')) = 1 - \deg_{i+1}(H') = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\deg_i(H')}{p},$$

$$v_p(y_i^p(Q')) = p \deg_i(H').$$

We have three cases:

• If $0 < \deg_i(H') < \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have $v_p(x_{i+1}(Q')) > v_p(y_i^p(Q'))$. Therefore, we have

$$w_{i+1}(A) = v_p(y_i^p(Q')) = p \deg_i(H') < \frac{p}{p+1}$$

- i.e. $\deg_i(H') = \frac{w_{i+1}(A)}{p}$ and $\deg_{i+1}(H') = \frac{1}{p}(1 \deg_i(H')) = \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{p^2}w_{i+1}(A)$. If $\deg_i(H') = \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have $v_p(x_{i+1}(Q')) = v_p(y_{i+1}^p(Q')) = \frac{p}{p+1}$, and consequently $w_{i+1}(A) \ge \frac{p}{p+1}$ and $\deg_{i+1}(H') = \frac{p}{p+1}$.
- If $\deg_i(H') > \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have $v_p(x_{i+1}(Q')) < v_p(y_i^p(Q'))$. Hence, we have

$$w_{i+1}(A) = v_p(x_{i+1}(Q')) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\deg_i(H')}{p},$$

i.e.
$$\deg_i(H') = 1 - p(1 - w_{i+1}(A))$$
 and $\deg_{i+1}(H') = 1 - w_{i+1}(A)$.

From this list, statement (a) is clear. For (b), we have seen that if $\frac{p}{p+1} < w_{i+1}(A) \leq 1$, the possible values for $\deg_i(H')$ are $\frac{1}{p+1}$ and $1-p(1-w_{i+1}(A))$. On the other hand, Prop. 3.20(b) implies that there is exactly one H'_0 with $\deg_i(H'_0) = 1 - p(1 - w_{i+1}(A))$ (note that this is even true when $w_{i+1}(A) = 1$). Hence statement (b) follows.

Corollary 5.8. Let Q = (A, H) be a rigid point of $]W_{i,i}^{ss}[$. Then all the points $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ satisfy

(5.8.1)
$$\nu_i(Q_1) + p\nu_{i+1}(Q_1) = p.$$

More precisely, we have two cases:

(a) If $0 < w_{i+1}(A) \leq \frac{p}{p+1}$, then we have

$$\nu_i(Q_1) = 1 - \frac{w_{i+1}(A)}{p}$$
 and $\nu_{i+1}(Q_1) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}w_{i+1}(A)$

for all $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$. In particular, we have $U_p(Q) \subset \mathcal{V}_{can}$.

(b) If $\frac{p}{p+1} < w_{i+1}(A) \le 1$, there is a unique point $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ with

$$\nu_i(Q_1) = p(1 - w_{i+1}(A))$$
 and $\nu_{i+1}(Q_1) = w_{i+1}(A),$

and all the other points $Q'_1 \in U_p(Q)$ satisfy $\nu_1(Q'_1) = \nu_2(Q'_1) = \frac{p}{p+1}$. In particular, we have $U_p(Q) \subset \mathcal{V}_{can}$ except when $w_{i+1}(A) = 1$; in the exceptional case, there is exactly one $Q_1 \in U_p(Q)$ contained in $]W_{i,i}[$, and all the other Q_1 's are contained in \mathcal{V}_{can} .

Proof. Let H' be a \mathbf{Z}_{p^2} -cyclic subgroup of A[p] disjoint from H corresponding to $Q_1 =$ (A/H', A[p]/H'). We have $\nu_i(Q_1) = \deg_i(A[p]/H') = 1 - \deg_i(H')$ by the definition (4.7.1). The Corollary follows immediately from the Proposition.

5.9. We can interpret the results above geometrically as follows. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and any rational number ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we put

$$U_i(\varepsilon) = \{ P \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid w_i(P) = 1, w_{i+1}(P) \ge \varepsilon \}.$$

Then every rigid point in $U_i(\varepsilon)$ has necessarily superspecial reduction. Similarly, we put

$$V_i(\varepsilon) = \{Q \in]W_{i,i}[\mid w_{i+1}(Q) \ge \varepsilon\}$$

They are respectively quasi-compact admissible open subsets of \mathfrak{X}_{rig} and \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} . Prop. 5.7 implies that the natural projection $\pi : \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} \to \mathfrak{X}_{rig}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\pi|_{V(\varepsilon)}: V_i(\varepsilon) \to U_i(\varepsilon).$$

Let $\overline{P} \in W_{\mathbb{B}}$, i.e. a superspecial point of X_{κ} , and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X},\overline{P}}$ be the completion of the local ring of \mathfrak{X} at \overline{P} . We choose local lifts $t_{\overline{P},1}, t_{\overline{P},2} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X},\overline{P}}$ of the partial Hasse invariants. Then we have an isomorphism (4.4)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X},\overline{P}} \simeq W(\kappa(\overline{P}))[[t_{\overline{P},1},t_{\overline{P},2}]].$$

Let $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}$ be the 2-dimensional rigid open unit disk associated with the formal scheme $\operatorname{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{P}})$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P},i}(\varepsilon) = U_i(\varepsilon) \cap \mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}} = \{ P \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}} \mid v_p(t_{\overline{P},i}(P)) \ge 1, v_p(t_{\overline{P},i+1}(P)) \ge \varepsilon \},$$

and $U_i(\varepsilon)$ is a disjoint union of the closed polydisks $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P},i}(\varepsilon)$ for all $\overline{P} \in W_{\mathbb{B}}$. Composed with the isomorphism $\pi|_{V_i(\varepsilon)}$, we see that $t_{\overline{P},1}, t_{\overline{P},2}$ for each superspecial point \overline{P} establish an isomorphism

(5.9.1)
$$V_i(\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\overline{P} \in W_{\mathbb{B}}} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(\varepsilon).$$

Now suppose $\frac{p}{p+1} < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Let $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}(p)_{\text{rig}} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}$ be the first projection of the Hecke correspondence 2.15. Then $\pi_1^{-1}(V_i(\varepsilon))$ is a disjoint union of two rigid analytic spaces

$$\pi_1^{-1}(V_i(\varepsilon)) = \mathcal{C}(p)^{\circ}_{\operatorname{rig}}|_{V_i(\varepsilon)} \coprod \mathcal{C}(p)^s_{\operatorname{rig}}|_{V_i(\varepsilon)}$$

where $C(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}^s|_{V_i(\varepsilon)}$ corresponds to the unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H'_0 \subset A[p]$ disjoint from H given by Prop. 5.7(b), and $C(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\circ}|_{V_i(\varepsilon)}$ corresponds to the remaining (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroups. Correspondingly, we have a decomposition of set theoretic Hecke correspondences $U_p = U_p^{\circ} \coprod U_p^{\circ}$ from $V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)$ to $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$, given by

$$U_p^{\circ}(Q) = \pi_2((\pi_1^{\circ})^{-1}(Q))$$
 and $U_p^s(Q) = \pi_2((\pi_1^s)^{-1}(Q)).$

By Corollary 5.8, we have $U_p^{\circ}(Q) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}$, $U_p^{s}(Q) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}$ for $Q \in V_i(\varepsilon) - V_i(1)$, and $U_p^{s}(Q) \subset W_{i,i}[$ for $Q \in V_i(1)$. We have a diagram



where π_1^s is an isomorphism of rigid analytic spaces. Hence, the correspondence U_p^s comes from a genuine morphism of rigid analytic spaces:

$$\pi_{12}^s = \pi_2 \circ (\pi_1^s)^{-1} : V_i(\varepsilon) \to \mathcal{V} \cup]W_{1,1}[\cup]W_{2,2}[.$$

Note that $\pi_{12}^s(V_i(1)) \subset W_{i,i}[$, and $\pi_{12}^s(V_i(\epsilon) - V_1(1)) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}$ for $\frac{p}{p+1} < \epsilon < 1$. Let Q = (A, H) be a rigid point of $V_i(\varepsilon)$ over a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and $H'_0 \subset A[p]$ be the unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup distinct from H given by Prop. 5.7(b). Let f be a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ defined over a neighborhood of $Q' = (A/H'_0, A[p]/H'_0)$. Then $U_p^s(f)$ is defined at Q, and we have

(5.9.2)
$$U_p^s(f)(A, H, \omega) = \frac{1}{p^2} f(A/H'_0, A[p]/H'_0, p^{-1}\hat{\phi}^*\omega),$$

where ω is a basis of ω_{A/\mathcal{O}_L} as a $(\mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module, and $\hat{\phi} : A/H'_0 \to A$ is the canonical isogeny with kernel $A[p]/H'_0$. Similarly, we can define a section $U_p^{\circ}(f)$ of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $V_i(\varepsilon)$ whenever f is defined over \mathcal{V}_{can} .

5.10. Fix a rational number ε with $\frac{p}{p+1} < \varepsilon < 1$. We slightly change our notation by putting $V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) = V_i(\varepsilon)$, $V_{i,1} = V_i(1)$. For any integer $n \ge 2$, we define inductively

$$V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) = (\pi_{12}^s)^{-1}(V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)), \text{ and } V_{i,n} = (\pi_{12}^s)^{-1}(V_{i,n-1}).$$

We have natural inclusions

$$V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) \supset V_{i,1} \supset V_{i,2}(\varepsilon) \supset V_{i,2} \supset \cdots \supset V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) \supset V_{i,n} \supset \cdots$$

By composing π_{12}^s with itself *n*-times, we get a morphism of rigid analytic spaces

$$(\pi_{12}^s)^n: V_{i,n} \to]W_{i,i}[$$

Lemma 5.11. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and Q = (A, H) be a K-valued rigid point of $]W_{i,i}[$.

(a) For any integer $n \ge 1$, we have $Q \in V_{i,n}$ if and only if there exists a unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p^n) -cyclic truncated Barsotti-Tate closed subgroup $G_n \subset A[p^n]$ of level n such that the natural morphism

$$G_n \times H \to A[p^n]$$

is a closed embedding. In that case, we have $(\pi_{12}^s)^n(Q) = (A/G_n, H)$, i.e. the set $(U_p^s)^n(Q)$ consists of the unique rigid point $Q_n = (A/G_n, H)$, where we have identified H with its image in A/G_n .

(b) For any integer $n \geq 2$, we have $Q \in V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$ if and only if the point $Q_{n-1} = (A/G_{n-1}, H) \in V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)$.

Proof. This lemma is a consequence of Prop. 4.16.

The following technical Lemma will play an important role in the sequel, and it relies largely on the results proven in Appendix B. **Lemma 5.12.** Let $\overline{\kappa}$ be an algebraic closure of κ , \overline{P} be a superspecial closed point of X_{κ} , $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1) \subset V_{i,1}$ be the corresponding closed polydisc by (5.9.1). Then there exist local parameters $t_{\overline{P},1}, t_{\overline{P},2}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1)$ defined over $W[\overline{\kappa}][1/p]$ such that we have, for any $n \geq 2$,

$$\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1) \cap V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) = \{ Q \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1) \mid v_p(t_{\overline{P},i+1}(Q)) \ge n - 1 + \varepsilon \} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1) \cap V_{i,n} = \{ Q \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1) \mid v_p(t_{\overline{P},i+1}(Q)) \ge n \}.$$

In particular, $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a strict neighborhood of $V_{i,n}$.

Proof. We may assume $i = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ to simplify the notation. We consider first the case n = 2. Let \overline{A} be the HBAV corresponding to \overline{P} . We will consider \overline{A} as a HBAV over $\overline{\kappa}$. Let $G_{\overline{P}} = \overline{A}[p^{\infty}]$ be the associated *p*-divisible group. In the terminology of Appendix B.5, $G_{\overline{P}}$ is a superspecial *p*-divisible group with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^2} . By Serre-Tate's theory on the deformations of abelian varieties, the completion of the local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{\overline{\kappa}},\overline{P}} \simeq W(\overline{\kappa})[[t_{\overline{P},1},t_{\overline{P},2}]]$ is canonically identified with the universal deformation ring R^{univ} of $G_{\overline{P}}$. We take local lifts of partial Hasse invariants $t_{\overline{P},1} = T_1, t_{\overline{P},2} = T_2$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{\overline{\kappa}},\overline{P}}$ as in B.5. The subdisc $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1)$ is the rigid subspace $\mathbf{D}(1,1)$ defined in B.9 of the rigid generic fiber of the deformation space of $G_{\overline{P}}$. Let K be a finite extension of $W(\overline{\kappa})[1/p]$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K , Q = (A, H)be a K-valued rigid point of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{P}}(1)$. We denote by (A, H, H'_0) the unique point above Q in $\mathcal{C}(p)_{rig}^s$, and by $Q_1 = (A/H'_0, A[p]/H'_0)$ the unique rigid point in $U_p^s(Q)$. By Remark B.9, the subgroup H, H'_0 are respectively just the pull-back to \mathcal{O}_K via Q of the subgroup $H^{1,1}_+, H^{1,1}_-$ obtained in B.8. Now Prop. B.8(b) implies that $pt_{\overline{P},1}(Q)$ and $t_{\overline{P},2}(Q)/p$ lifts the partial Hasse invitants of $(A/H'_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K/p$; in particular, we have $w_1(Q_1) = 1$ and $w_2(Q_1) = \max\{1, v_p(t_{\overline{P},2}(Q)/p)\}$. By definition, we have $Q \in V_{2,i}(\varepsilon)$ if and only if $Q_1 \in V_{1,i}(\varepsilon)$, i.e. $v_p(t_{\overline{P},2}(Q)) \ge 1 + \varepsilon$. Similarly, we have $Q \in V_{2,i}$ if and only if $Q_1 \in V_{1,i}$. This proves this Lemma for n = 2. The general case follows by an easy induction on n.

Lemma 5.13. Let $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $k_1 \ge k_2$, and f be a section of $\omega^{\vec{k}}$ over \mathcal{V}_{can} such that $|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{can}}$ is finite.

(a) For any integer $n \ge 1$, the form $g_n = \frac{1}{a_p^n} (U_p^s)^n(f)$ is a well-defined section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$. We have

$$|g_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n}} \le p^{-n(k_2-v_p(a_p)-2)+(1-\varepsilon)(p-1)k_2} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}.$$

(b) For any integer $n \ge 1$, the form $h_n = \frac{1}{a_p^n} (U_p^s)^{n-1} (U_p^\circ(f))$ is a well-defined section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$. We have

$$|h_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)} \le p^{-n(k_2 - v_p(a_p) - 2) + (p-1)k_2/(p+1)} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}$$

Proof. (a) By definition, we have

$$(\pi_{12}^s)^n(V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}) \subset \pi_{12}^s(V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,1}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}},$$

where the second inclusion used Corollary 5.8(b). Therefore, g_n is well-defined over $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$. To finish the proof of (a), it suffices to show that

$$|g_1|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}} \le p^{-(k_2-2-v_p(a_p))+(1-\varepsilon)(p-1)k_2} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}};$$

$$|g_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n}} \le p^{-(k_2-2-v_p(a_p))} |g_{n-1}|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \ge 2$$

Let Q = (A, H) be a rigid point of $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$ over a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} , H'_0 be the unique (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup of A[p] given by 5.7(b), and ω be a basis of ω_{A/\mathcal{O}_L} as a $(\mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ -module. Consider first the case n = 1. By (5.9.2) and Lemma 4.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1(A, H, \omega)| &= \left| \frac{1}{p^2 a_p} f(A/H'_0, A[p]/H'_0, p^{-1} \hat{\phi}^* \omega) \right| \\ &\leq p^{2+v_p(a_p) - (k_1 \deg_1(H'_0) + k_2 \deg_2(H'_0))} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{car}}} \\ &\leq p^{2+v_p(a_p) - k_2 (\deg_1(H'_0) + \deg_2(H'_0))} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{car}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Prop. 5.7(b), we have

$$\deg_1(H'_0) + \deg_2(H'_0) \ge 1 - (p-1)(1-\varepsilon).$$

from which the desired estimation for $|g_1|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}}$ follows. For $n \geq 2$, we have similarly

$$|g_n(A, H, \omega)| \le p^{2+v_p(a_p)-k_2(\deg_1(H'_0)+\deg_2(H'_0))} |g_{n-1}|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n-1}},$$

and the estimation follows from the fact that $\deg_1(H'_0) + \deg_2(H'_0) = 1$.

(b) Since we have

$$U_p^{\circ}(U_p^s)^{n-1}(V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)) \subset U_p^{\circ}(V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}},$$

we see that h_n is well defined over $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$. To prove the estimation for h_n , it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |h_1|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)} &\leq p^{-(k_2 - v_p(a_p) - 2) + (p-1)k_2/(p+1)} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}} = p^{2 + v_p(a_p) - 2k_2/(p+1)} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}} \\ |h_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)} &\leq p^{-(k_2 - v_p(a_p) - 2)} |h_{n-1}|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

The estimation for $n \ge 2$ is exactly the same as in (a). For the case n = 1, we can conclude in the same way by using the fact that, if $Q = (A, H) \in V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)$, then all the subgroups $H' \subset A[p]$ with $H' \ne H$ corresponding to U_p° have $\deg(H') = \deg_1(H') + \deg_2(H') = 2/(p+1)$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.3, i.e Theorem 2.17 in the case g = 2 and p inert in F. Since the scalar extension by a finite extension L/\mathbf{Q}_{κ} is not essential, we may assume $L = \mathbf{Q}_{\kappa}$ in Theorem 1.3. We have the following

Proposition 5.14. Let f be an overconvergent p-adic Hilbert modular form of level $\Gamma_{00}(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ and weight $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $k_1 \ge k_2$, and $U_p(f) = a_p f$ for some $a_p \in \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}$. We put $\mathcal{V} =]W_{1,1}[\cup]W_{2,2}[\cup \mathcal{V}_{can}, and$

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}} V_{i,1} = \{ Q = (A, H) \in]W_{1,1} \cup W_{2,2}[| w_1(A) = w_2(A) = 1 \}$$

(a) The form f extends uniquely to a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1$ such that $U_p(f) = a_p f$ remains true, $|f|_{\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1}$ is finite and

(5.14.1)
$$|f|_{\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{V}_1} \le \max\{1, p^{2+\nu_p(a_p)-k_2/p}\}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}.$$

(b) Assume that $v_p(a_p) < k_2 - 2$. Then f extends further to a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $]Y_{\kappa} - W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[\cup \mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}]$. Moreover, we have $U_p(f) = a_p f$ and

(5.14.2)
$$|f|_{Y_{\kappa}-W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[\cup\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}} \le p^{2+\nu_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}.$$

Before proving this Proposition, we note that Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from Prop. 4.15 and 2.7.

Proof. (a) By Prop. 4.14, the form f can be uniquely extended to a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over the canonical locus \mathcal{V}_{can} , and $|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}$ is finite. We first define the candidate extension of f in a strict neighborhood of

$$]W_{1,1} \cup W_{2,2}[-\mathcal{V}_1 = \coprod_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}}(]W_{i,i}[-V_{i,1})$$

in $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1$, and show that it coincides with the old f over the overlap with \mathcal{V}_{can} . Let $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$ be a rational number. We put for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

$$|W_{i,i}|^{\circ}_{\epsilon} = \{Q = (A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid 0 \le \nu_i(Q) \le \epsilon, \nu_{i+1}(Q) = 1, 0 \le w_{i+1}(A) < 1.\}$$

This is a strict neighborhood of $]W_{i,i}[-V_{i,1} \text{ in } \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1$. Then the admissible open subsets $\{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}},]W_{1,1}[_{\epsilon}^{\circ},]W_{2,2}[_{\epsilon}^{\circ}\}$ form an admissible open covering of $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1$. By Prop. 5.6(a) and 5.8, the image of $]W_{i,i}[_{\epsilon}^{\circ}$ under the Hecke correspondence U_p is contained in \mathcal{V}_{can} . Hence, the form $F_i = \frac{1}{a_n} U_p(f)$ is well-defined on $]W_{i,i}[_{\epsilon}^{\circ}$, and we have

$$F_i|_{W_{i,i}[\stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon}\cap\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}} = f|_{W_{i,i}[\stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon}\cap\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}.$$

In particular, the sections $\{f, F_1, F_2\}$ glue together to a section, still denoted by f, of $\underline{\omega}^k$ over $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1$. By our construction, it is clear that $U_p(f) = a_p f$ still holds. To prove (5.14.1), it suffices to show that for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

$$|f|_{W_{i,i}[-V_{i,1}]} = \sup_{Q \in W_{i,i}[-V_{i,1}]} |\frac{1}{a_p} U_p(f)(Q)| \le p^{2+v_p(a_p) - \frac{k_2}{p}} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}$$

By 3.21 and 5.7, for any $Q = (A, H) \in W_{i,i}[-V_{i,1}]$ and (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H' \subset A[p]$ with $H' \cap H = 0$, we have $\deg_1(H') + \deg_2(H') \ge k_2/p$. By our construction of f, the required estimation above follows from Cor. 4.13. This finishes the proof of (a).

(b) The proof will be divided into 3 steps. At each step, we will always denote by f the extension obtained in the previous step.

Step 1. Extension to \mathcal{V} . We fix a rational number ε with $\frac{p}{p+1} < \varepsilon < 1$ as in 5.10. We have to show that, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a section $F \in H^0(V_{i,1}(\varepsilon), \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ such that $F|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}} = f|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}}$ and

(5.14.3)
$$|F|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)} \le p^{2+v_p(a_p) - \frac{2}{p+1}k_2} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}.$$

We prove first that, for any integer $n \ge 2$, there exists a form $F_n \in H^0(V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}, \underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}})$ with $F_n|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}} = f|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}}$. We put $G_1 = f|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,1}}$ and

$$G_n = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_p^m} (U_p^s)^{m-1} U_p^{\circ}(f) + \frac{1}{a_p^{n-1}} (U_p^s)^{n-1}(f)$$

for any integer $n \geq 2$. We note that G_n is a well-defined section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$. Indeed, the first n-1 terms are even well defined over $V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)$ by 5.13(b). To justify the last term, we note that

$$(U_p^s)^{n-1}(V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}) \subset U_p^s(V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,2}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}} \cup (]W_{i,i}[-V_{i,1}) \subset \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_1$$

The same argument as in Lemma 5.13 shows that

$$\left|\frac{1}{a_p^{n-1}}(U_p^s)^{n-1}(f)\right|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n}} \le p^{-(n-1)(k_2-2-v_p(a_p))}|f|_{\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{V}_1} < |f|_{\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{V}_1},$$

where the last step uses the assumption $k_2 > 2 + v_p(a_p)$. On the other hand, (5.13)(b) implies that the first n-1 terms in definition of G_n are bounded by

$$\max_{1 \le m \le n-1} \{ p^{-m(k_2 - 2 - v_p(a_p)) + k_2(p-1)/(p+1)} \} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}} = p^{2 + v_p(a_p) - \frac{2}{p+1}k_2} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}$$

Therefore, for any $n \ge 1$, we have

$$|G_n|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n}} \le \max\{p^{2+v_p(a_p)-\frac{2}{p+1}k_2}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}, |f|_{\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{V}_1}\}$$

$$\le \max\{1, p^{2+v_p(a_p)-k_2/p}\}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}},$$

where we have used (5.14.1) in the last step. Using the functional equation $U_p(f) = a_p f$, it is easy to check that

$$G_n|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n-1}} = G_{n-1}|_{V_{i,n-1}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n-1}}.$$

Since $\{V_{i,m-1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,m}\}_{2 \le m \le n}$ form an admissible open covering of $V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$, we see that the forms $\{G_m\}_{2 \le m \le n}$ glue together to a section F_n of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $V_{1,i}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$ whose restriction to $V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,1}$ coincides with f. By the estimation above for G_n , we get

(5.14.4)
$$|F_n|_{V_{1,i}(\varepsilon)-V_{i,n}} \le \max\{1, p^{2+\nu_p(a_p)-k_2/p}\}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{car}}$$

To obtain a form F over $V_{1,i}(\varepsilon)$, we define

$$F'_{n} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{p}^{m}} (U_{p}^{s})^{m-1} U_{p}^{\circ}(f)$$

over $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$. Then it follows from Lemma 5.13(a) that

$$|F_n - F'_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}} = |\frac{1}{a_p^n} (U_p^s)^n (f)|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}} \le p^{-n(k_2 - v_p(a_p) - 2) + (1 - \varepsilon)(p - 1)k_2} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}$$

Since $v_p(a_p) + 2 < k_2$, the estimation above tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ . Note that Lemma 5.13(b) implies that

$$|F'_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)} \le p^{2+v_p(a_p) - \frac{2}{p+1}k_2} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}} \le \max\{1, p^{2+v_p(a_p) - \frac{1}{p}k_2}\} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}$$

Applying the gluing lemma A.8 to $U_0 = V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)$, we get a unique section F of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)$ whose restriction to $V_{i,1}(\varepsilon) - V_{i,n}$ coincides with F_n . Moreover, in view of the estimations for $|F_n|_{V_{i,1}-V_{i,n}}$ and $|F'_n|_{V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)}$, lemma A.8 also implies that $|F|_{V_{i,1}(\varepsilon)}$ is bounded by $\max\{1, p^{2+v_p(a_p)-\frac{1}{p}k_2}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{can}}\}$. This extends the form f to \mathcal{V} . Combining (5.14.1), we obtain

(5.14.5)
$$|f|_{\mathcal{V}} \le \max\{1, p^{2+\nu_p(a_p) - \frac{1}{p}k_2}\}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{car}}$$

Step 2: Extension to $\mathcal{V} \cup W_{1,\mathbb{B}}[\cup]W_{2,\mathbb{B}}[$. For an interval $I \subset (0,1]$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$W_{i,\mathbb{B}}[I = \{Q \in]W_{i,\mathbb{B}}[\cup]W_{i,i}^{sg}[\mid \nu_i(Q) = 0, \nu_{i+1}(Q) \in I\}, \\ W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[I =]W_{1,\mathbb{B}}[I \cup]W_{2,\mathbb{B}}[I.$$

By Prop. 5.6, the image of $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{(\frac{1}{p},1]}$ under the Hecke correspondence U_p is contained in \mathcal{V}_{can} . The form $\frac{1}{a_p}U_p(f)$ is thus well defined over $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{(\frac{1}{p},1]}$, and it extends naturally f. For any rigid point Q = (A, H) in $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{(\frac{1}{p},1]}$, Prop. 3.21 implies that

$$\deg(H') = \deg_1(H') + \deg_2(H') \ge \frac{1}{p}$$

for any (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H' \subset A[p]$ with $H \cap H' = 0$. Therefore, it follows from Cor. 4.13 that

(5.14.6)
$$|f|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\frac{1}{p},1]} = \sup_{Q \in W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\frac{1}{p},1]} |\frac{1}{a_p}(U_p f)(Q)| \le p^{2+v_p(a_p)-k_2/p} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{can}}}.$$

Next, we extend f to the remaining part of $]W_{1,\mathbb{B}}[\cup]W_{2,\mathbb{B}}[$. Let $\epsilon_n = \frac{p+1}{p^n(p^2+1)}$ for any integer $n \geq 0$. The quasi-compact open subsets $\{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{\epsilon_n,\epsilon_{n-1}}]\}_{n\geq 1}$ form an admissible open covering of $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{(0,\epsilon_0]}$. Note that $\epsilon_0 > \frac{1}{p}$, and that $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{\epsilon_n,\epsilon_{n-1}}]$ for $n \geq 2$ is contained in the anti-canonical locus (4.9.1)

$$\mathcal{W} = \{ Q \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \, | \, \nu_i(Q) + p\nu_{i-1}(Q) > 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}. \}$$

By Prop. 5.6 and 4.11, the U_p sends $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_0}]$ into

$$\mathcal{V}\cup]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{[\epsilon_0,1]}=\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}\cup]W_{1,1}[\cup]W_{2,2}[\cup]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{[\epsilon_0,1]},$$

where the form f has been defined, and it sends $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]} \text{ into }]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{[\epsilon_n,\epsilon_{n-1}]} \text{ for } n \geq 1$. Therefore, we can define inductively a form f_n on $]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{[\epsilon_n,\epsilon_{n-1}]}$ by putting $f_1 = \frac{1}{a_p}U_p(f)$ and $f_{n+1} = \frac{1}{a_p}U_p(f_n)$ for $n \geq 1$. It is easy to see that

$$f_1|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\frac{1}{n},\epsilon_0]} = f|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\frac{1}{n},\epsilon_0]}$$

and the forms $\{f_n\}_{\geq 1}$ coincide with each other over the overlaps of their definition domains. This proves that f extends to a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $\mathcal{V} \cup]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[_{(0,1]}]$. By 4.11(b) and (3.21), for any rigid point $Q = (A, H) \in W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_0]$, every (\mathcal{O}_F/p) -cyclic subgroup $H' \subset A[p]$ with $H' \cap H = 0$ has degree

$$\deg(H') = \deg_1(H') + \deg_2(H') \ge \epsilon_1.$$

It results from Cor. 4.13 that

(5.14.7)
$$|f|_{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{1},\epsilon_{0}]} = \sup_{Q\in]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{1},\epsilon_{0}]} |\frac{1}{a_{p}}(U_{p}f)(Q)|$$
$$\leq p^{2+v_{p}(a_{p})-\epsilon_{1}k_{2}}|f|_{\mathcal{V}\cup]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{0},1]}$$
$$\leq p^{2+v_{p}(a_{p})-\epsilon_{1}k_{2}}\max\{1,p^{2+v_{p}(a_{p})-\frac{1}{p}k_{2}}\}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{can}}$$

where we have used (5.14.5) and (5.14.6) in the last inequality.

Step 3. It remains to extend f to $]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}|_{\mathcal{W}}$ the inverse image of the anti-canonical locus \mathcal{W} by $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$. Prop. 4.11(b) implies that we have a decomposition of rigid analytic spaces

$$\mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}|_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}^c \coprod \mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}^a$$

Here, for every rigid point $Q = (A, H) \in \mathcal{W}$, $\pi_1^{-1}(Q) \cap \mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}^c$ consists of the single point (A, H, C), where $C \subset A[p]$ is the canonical subgroup; and $\pi_1^{-1}(Q) \cap \mathcal{C}(p)_{\mathrm{rig}}^a$ corresponds to the other $p^2 - 1$ anti-canonical subgroups. Correspondingly, we have a decomposition of Hecke correspondences $U_p = U_p^c + U_p^a$. For $\epsilon_n = \frac{p+1}{p^n(p^2+1)}$ as above, we put

$$|W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]|W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\cup]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]|W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]|$$

They are strict neighborhoods of $]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[$ in $]Y_{\kappa} - W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[$. By Prop. 4.11, we have

$$U_p^c(]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n) \subset]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n-1} \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$

and $U_p^a(]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}$. We define a section of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ on $]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]$ by

$$g_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{a_p^m} (U_p^c)^{m-1} U_p^a(f).$$

By Prop. 4.11, for any rigid point $Q = (A, H) \in W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]$, the canonical subgroup $C \subset A[p]$ has degree

$$\deg(C) = \deg_1(C) + \deg_2(C) \ge 2 - p\epsilon_n > 1.$$

We deduce from 4.12 that, for m > 1 and $Q \in W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n,$

$$\begin{aligned} |\frac{1}{a_p^m} (U_p^c)^{m-1} (U_p^a)(f)(Q)| &\leq p^{2+v_p(a_p)-(k_1 \deg_1(C)+k_2 \deg_2(C))} |\frac{1}{a_p^{m-1}} (U_p^c)^{m-2} (U_p^a)(f)|_{]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n-1}]} \\ &\leq p^{2+v_p(a_p)-k_2(2-p\epsilon_n)} |\frac{1}{a_p^{m-1}} (U_p^c)^{m-2} (U_p^a)(f)|_{]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n-1}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 + v_p(a_p) - k_2(2 - p\epsilon_n) < 2 + v_p(a_p) - k_2 < 0$, we get (5.14.8) $\left|\frac{1}{a_p^m}(U_p^c)^{m-1}(U_p^a)(f)\right|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n} \le p^{2+v_p(a_p)-k_2(2-p\epsilon_n)}\left|\frac{1}{a_p^{m-1}}(U_p^c)^{m-2}(U_p^a)(f)\right|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n-1}]} < \left|\frac{1}{a_p^{m-1}}(U_p^c)^{m-2}(U_p^a)(f)\right|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n-1}]}.$

Moreover, it follows trivially from 4.12 that $|\frac{1}{a_p}U_p^a(f)|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]} \leq p^{2+v_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{can}}$. Hence, we get

(5.14.9)
$$|g_n|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]} \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |\frac{1}{a_p^m} (U_p^c)^{m-1} U_p^a(f)|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]} = |\frac{1}{a_p} U_p^a(f)|_{W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_n]}$$
$$\leq p^{2+v_p(a_p)} |f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{can}}}.$$

Using $f = \frac{1}{a_p} U_p(f)$, we see that $g_n - f = \frac{1}{a_p^n} (U_p^c)^n(f)$. A similar argument as in (5.14.8) shows that for any $n \ge 1$

$$|f - g_n|_{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]} = |\frac{1}{a_p^n} (U_p^c)^n (f)|_{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]}$$

$$\leq p^{2+v_p(a_p)-k_2(2-p\epsilon_n)} |\frac{1}{a_p^{n-1}} (U_p^c)^{n-1} (f)|_{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[[\epsilon_n,\epsilon_{n-1}]]}$$

$$\leq p^{n(2+v_p(a_p))-k_2(2n-p\sum_{r=1}^n \epsilon_r)} |f|_{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[[\epsilon_1,\epsilon_0]]}.$$

As $2 + v_p(a_p) < k_2$, we check easily for any $n \ge 1$ that

$$n(2+v_p(a_p)) - k_2(2n-p\sum_{r=1}^n \epsilon_r) < -k_2n + k_2\frac{(p+1)p}{(p^2+1)(p-1)}(1-\frac{1}{p^n}) < 0.$$

Therefore, we get $|f - g_n|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]} \leq |f|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_1,\epsilon_0]}$ and

$$|f - g_n|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

From (5.14.7), it follows that

$$|f - g_n|_{W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]} < p^{2+\nu_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}.$$

In view of (5.14.9), we deduce that $|f|_{]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_n]} \leq p^{2+\nu_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Combining with the estimations (5.14.5), (5.14.6) and (5.14.7), we see that

$$|f|_{\mathcal{V}\cup]W_{\star,\mathbb{B}}[(0,1]]} \leq p^{2+v_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\operatorname{can}}}.$$

Now the assumptions of Lemma A.8 are satisfied for $X_K = \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}$ with $U_0 =]Y_{\kappa} - W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[$, $U_n =]W_{\emptyset,\mathbb{B}}[_{\epsilon_n} \text{ for } n \ge 1, V_n = U_{n+1}, F_n = f|_{]Y_{\kappa} - W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[-V_n}, F'_n = g_n \text{ and } C = p^{2+v_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}.$ We deduce that there exists a unique section f of $\underline{\omega}^{\vec{k}}$ over $]Y_{\kappa} - W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[$, hence also over $]Y_{\kappa} - W_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}[\cup \mathcal{V}_{\text{can}},$ which extends f and is bounded by $p^{2+v_p(a_p)}|f|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}}$. This completes the proof.

6. The general case of Theorem 2.17

In this section, we indicate how to generalize the arguments in the preceding section to prove Theorem 2.17 in the general case.

6.1. We denote by Σ the set of all prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_F above p, and we assume $[\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p] \leq 2$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma$. Let $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma$ be the subset consisting of primes of degree 1, and $\Sigma_2 \subset \Sigma$ be the subset of primes of degree 2. Since Theorem 2.17 in the case $\Sigma = \Sigma_1$ was treated by Sasaki [Sa10], we always suppose that $\Sigma_2 \neq \emptyset$. We have a partition

$$\mathbb{B} = (\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_1} \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \prod (\prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_2} \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

For $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_1$, we denote by $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the unique element of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the corresponding valuation of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ (4.7.1); for $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_2$, we denote by $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \{\beta_{\mathfrak{q},1}, \beta_{\mathfrak{q},2}\}$, and $\nu_{\mathfrak{q},1}, \nu_{\mathfrak{q},2}$ be the corresponding two valuations. Let $\mathbf{I} = [0, 1]$ and $\mathbf{J} = [0, 1]^2$. We have a valuation map

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{Y}} = ((\nu_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma_1}, (\nu_{\mathfrak{q},1}, \nu_{\mathfrak{q},2})_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_2}) : \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \to \mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2}.$$

In general, if Ω is a subset of $\mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2}$, we put

$$\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\Omega) = \{ Q \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}} \mid \nu_{\mathfrak{Y}}(Q) \in \Omega \}.$$

Let $\{S_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma\}$ be a collection of non-empty subsets of either \mathbf{I} or \mathbf{J} . If all the $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are closed and connected in the usual real topology, the corresponding rigid subspace $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma} S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a quasi-compact admissible open subset of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$. For example, if $\mathbf{\vec{1}} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2}$, then $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{\vec{1}})$ is the ordinary locus $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. Hence, if $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma} S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a neighborhood of $\mathbf{\vec{1}}$ in $\mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2}$, then $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma} S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a strict neighborhood of the ordinary locus $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ in $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\mathbf{I} = [0, 1]$, and \mathbf{J}_{\Box} be the boundary of $[0, 1]^2$, i.e. the union of its four closed edges. Then $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Box}^{\Sigma_2})$ is the tube over an open subset of Y_{κ} whose complement has codimension 2.

Proof. We consider Goren-Kassaei's stratification $\{W_{\varphi,\eta}\}$ on Y_{κ} defined in (4.3), where (φ,η) runs through all the admissible pairs of subsets of \mathbb{B} . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma$, we put $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varphi \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \eta \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then we have $\varphi = \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\eta = \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Similarly, for each prime \mathfrak{p} , the pair $(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of subsets of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be called admissible in the sense that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \supset \sigma(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}^c)$, where $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}^c$ denotes the complementary subset $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\sigma : \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the action of the Frobenius. In particular, we have

$$|\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}| + |\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}| \ge |\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}| = [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathbf{F}_p].$$

Now, let Z be the union of all the strata $W_{\varphi,\eta}$ such that there exists at least one $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_2$ with $(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. By [GK09, 2.5.2] (or cf. 4.3), Z is closed in Y_{κ} , and each stratum $W_{\varphi,\eta}$ in Z has dimension

$$2g - |\varphi| - |\eta| = 2g - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} (|\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}| + |\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|) \le g - 2,$$

i.e. Z has codimension 2 in Y_{κ} . Now one checks easily that $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\square}^{\Sigma_2})$ identifies with the tube over $Y_{\kappa} - Z$. This proves the Lemma.

6.3. We fix a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_1$ of degree 1. We describe the Hecke correspondence $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} . Let L be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_{κ} , and P be a L-valued rigid point of \mathfrak{X}_{rig} corresponding to a HBAV over \mathcal{O}_L . We denote by $w_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ the partial Hodge height of A (4.6.1) corresponding to the unique element of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $A[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ be the \mathfrak{p} -component of $A[p^{\infty}]$. This is a p-divisible group of dimension 1 and height 2, since \mathfrak{p} has degree 1. Thus for any $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$ -cyclic isotropic subgroup H of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$, its \mathfrak{p} component $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ is just a subgroup of order p in the p-divisible groups $A[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$. The possibilities for such subgroups are well analyzed by Katz and Lubin [Ka73], and widely used in the work of [Bu03], [Ks06] and [Sa10]. We summarize the results in our language as follows.

Lemma 6.4 (Lubin-Katz). Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_1$ as above, Q = (A, H) be an L-valued rigid point of \mathfrak{Y}_{rig} . Then we have the following possibilities:

(a) Assume $\frac{1}{p+1} < \nu_i(Q) \le 1$, i.e. Q is canonical at \mathfrak{p} in the sense of Theorem 4.9. Then we have $w_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) = 1 - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) < \frac{p}{p+1}$, and all the subgroups $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of order p different from $H[\mathfrak{p}]$ has $\deg(H') = \frac{1}{p}(1 - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q))$. Or equivalently, all the points $Q_1 \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$ satisfy $1 - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q_1) = \frac{1}{p}(1 - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q))$, i.e.

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q_1) = \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{1}{p}\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) > \frac{p}{p+1}$$

(b) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) = \frac{1}{p+1}$, i.e. Q is too-singular at \mathfrak{p} . Then we have $w_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) \geq \frac{p}{p+1}$, and all the subgroups $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of order p different from H has $\deg(H') = \frac{1}{p+1}$, or equivalently, we have $\nu_i(Q_1) = \frac{p}{p+1}$ for all $Q_1 \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$.

(c) If $0 \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) < \frac{1}{p+1}$, i.e. Q is anti-canonical at \mathfrak{p} in the sense of Theorem 4.9. Then we have $w_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) < \frac{p}{p+1}$. There exists a unique subgroup $C \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ of order p different from H with $\deg(C) = 1 - p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$, and the other subgroups $H' \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ has $\deg(H') = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$. Equivalently, there exists a unique point $Q_1 \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$ with $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q_1) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) < \frac{p}{p+1}$, and all the other p-1 points $Q'_1 \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q)$ satisfy $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q'_1) = 1 - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) > \frac{p}{p+1}$.

Proof. Indeed, all these results are direct consequences of [Ka73, 3.10.7]. Statement (a) and (c) are also special cases of Prop. 4.11. \Box

We have the following proposition on the analytic continuation of a $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform form, due to essentially Kassaei [Ks06].

Proposition 6.5. Let p ∈ Σ₁ as above, and Π_{q∈Σ} S_q ⊂ I^{Σ₁} × J^{Σ₂} be a closed and connected neighborhood of 1. Let k ∈ Z^B, k_p ∈ Z be its p-th component, and f be a section of <u>ω</u>^k over 𝔅_{rig}(Π_{q∈Σ} S_q). Assume that f is a U_p-eigenform of weight k with eigenvalue a_p ≠ 0. (a) The form f extends uniquely to an eigenform of U_p with eigenvalue a_p over 𝔅_{rig}(I_{>0}× Π_{q≠p} S_q), where I_{>0} = (0, 1] is considered as a subset of the p-th copy of I.

(b) If $v_p(a_p) < k_p - 1$, then f extends uniquely to an eigenform of U_p with eigenvalue a_p over $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq p} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$.

Proof. The arguments are the same as in [Ks06, 4.1] and [Sa10]. We include a proof here for completeness.

(a) For any integer $n \ge 0$, we put $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{p^{n-1}(p+1)}$. By assumption, S_p is a neighborhood of $1 \in \mathbf{I} = [0, 1]$. We may assume thus $[1 - \epsilon_N] \subset S_p$ for some integer N > 0. By Lemma 6.4(a) and (b), the image of $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([1 - \epsilon_n, 1] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$ under the correspondence U_p is contained in $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([1 - \epsilon_{n+1}, 1] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$. Therefore, the form $\frac{1}{a_p^N} U_p^N$ is well defined over $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([1 - \epsilon_0, 1] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$. Note that $1 - \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{p+1}$. This gives the unique extension of f over $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([\epsilon_1, 1] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$. By Lemma 6.4(c), we have

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{n+1},1]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q\neq\mathfrak{p}}}S_{\mathfrak{q}}))\subset\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{n},1]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q\neq\mathfrak{p}}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

Using the functional equation $f = \frac{1}{a_p} U_p(f)$, we can extend inductively f to $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([\epsilon_n, 1] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_\mathfrak{q})$ for any $n \ge 1$. As the quasi-compact admissible open subsets of $\{\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([\epsilon_n, 1] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_\mathfrak{q}) : n \ge 1\}$ form an admissible covering of $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I}_{>0} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_\mathfrak{q})$, this finishes the proof of (a).

(b) We proceed in the same way as in Step 3 of Prop. 5.14. We put $V_{\text{anti}} = \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}([0, \frac{1}{p+1}) \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$. This is the analogue of the anti-canonical locus in our situation. Denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{\text{rig}}|_{V_{\text{anti}}}$ the inverse image of V_{anti} via the first projection of Hecke correspondence $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{\text{rig}} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{\text{rig}}$ (2.15.1). By Lemma 6.4(c), we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{\mathrm{rig}}|_{V_{\mathrm{anti}}} = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{\mathrm{rig}}^c \coprod \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})_{\mathrm{rig}}^a,$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})^c_{\text{rig}}$ corresponds to the canonical subgroup $C \subset A[p]$ above a point $Q = (A, H) \in V_{\text{anti}}$ via π_1 , and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})^a_{\text{rig}}$ corresponds to the remaining subgroups. Consequently, we have a similar decomposition for the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operator $U_{\mathfrak{p}} = U^c_{\mathfrak{p}} + U^a_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Lemma 6.4(c), we have

$$U^{c}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q\neq\mathfrak{p}}}S_{\mathfrak{q}}))\subset\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n-1}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q\neq\mathfrak{p}}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})\quad\text{for any }n\geq 2$$
$$U^{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q\neq\mathfrak{p}}}S_{\mathfrak{q}}))\subset\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{0},1]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q\neq\mathfrak{p}}}S_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

Hence, the section

$$g_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^m} (U_{\mathfrak{p}}^c)^{m-1} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^a(f)$$

is well defined over $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0, \epsilon_{n+1}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$ for $n \geq 2$. By 6.4(c), for any rigid point $Q = (A, H) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0, \epsilon_{n+1}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$, the canonical subgroup $C \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$ has degree

(6.5.1)
$$\deg(C) = 1 - p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) \ge 1 - p\epsilon_{n+1} = 1 - \epsilon_n.$$

Using Lemma 4.12, we deduce that for $2 \le m \le n$

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m}} (U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{c})^{m-1} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{a}(f) \right|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n+1}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \\ & \leq p^{1+v_{p}(a_{\mathfrak{p}})-k_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-\epsilon_{n})} \left| \frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m-1}} (U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{c})^{m-2} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{a}(f) \right|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \\ & \leq p^{(m-1)(1+v_{p}(a_{\mathfrak{p}})-k_{\mathfrak{p}})+k_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{i=n-m+2}^{n} \epsilon_{i}} \left| \frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{a}(f) \right|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n-m+2}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \end{split}$$

Moreover, it follows trivially from 4.12 that

$$|\frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}U^{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n-m+2}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \leq p^{1+v_{p}(a_{p})}|f|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{0},1]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})}.$$

Combining these two estimations above and using $\sum_{i=n-m+2}^{n} \epsilon_i < \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \epsilon_i = \frac{1}{p^2-1}$, we get finally

$$\Big|\frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m}}(U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{c})^{m-1}U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{a}(f)\Big|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n+1}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})} < p^{m(1+v_{p}(a_{\mathfrak{p}})-k_{\mathfrak{p}})+k_{\mathfrak{p}}(1+\frac{1}{p^{2}-1})}|f|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{0},1]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})}$$

for all $1 \le m \le n$. Thanks to the assumption $k_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1 + v_p(a_{\mathfrak{p}})$, the estimation above tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$, so there exists a constant M > 0 independent of n such that

(6.5.2)
$$|g_n|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n+1}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \leq \max_{1\leq m\leq n} |\frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^m} (U_{\mathfrak{p}}^c)^{m-1} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^a(f)|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([0,\epsilon_{n+1}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})} < M.$$

On the other hand, via the functional equation $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}f$, it is easy to see that

$$f - g_n = \frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^n} (U_{\mathfrak{p}}^c)^n (f).$$

By (6.5.1) and a similar argument as in Lemma 4.12, we get

1.0

$$|f - g_{n}|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{n+2},\epsilon_{n+1}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \leq p^{1+v_{p}(a_{\mathfrak{p}})-k_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-\epsilon_{n})}|\frac{1}{a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-1}}(U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{c})^{n-1}(f)|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{n+1},\epsilon_{n}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})} \leq p^{n(1+v_{p}(a_{\mathfrak{p}})-k_{\mathfrak{p}})+k_{\mathfrak{p}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}}|f|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}([\epsilon_{2},\epsilon_{1}]\times\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}})}.$$

As $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i < \frac{p}{p^2-1}$, we see that $|f - g_n|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([\epsilon_{n+2},\epsilon_{n+1}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})}$ tends to 0 when $n \to \infty$. By (6.5.2), up to modifying the constant M, we may assume that $|f|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([\epsilon_{n+2},\epsilon_{n+1}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})} < M$, and even $|f|_{\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I}_{>0} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})} < M$. Now applying Lemma A.8 to $U_0 = \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$, $U_n = \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}([0, \epsilon_{n+1}] \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$, $V_n = U_{n+1}$, $F_n = f|_{U_0 - V_n}$, $F'_n = g_n$, we get a $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform f defined over $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}})$ extending f.

6.6. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_2$, and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\beta_{\mathfrak{p},1}, \beta_{\mathfrak{p},2}\}$. Here, the subscripts 1, 2 should be considered as elements in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, so that if *i* is one of them then i + 1 denotes the other. We indicate how to generalize the results of Section 5 to our situation. We start with generalizing the 9 strata (5.1) of Y_{κ} . As in the proof of Lemma 6.2, we say a pair $(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of subsets of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is admissible if $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \supset \sigma(\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} - \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})$. If (φ, η) is an admissible pair of subsets of \mathbb{B} , then

 $(\varphi \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \eta \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is an admissible pair of subsets of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and we denote it by $(\varphi, \eta)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For an admissible pair $(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of subsets of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we put

$$W_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}},\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \bigcup_{(\varphi,\eta)_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}},\eta_{\mathfrak{p}})} W_{\varphi,\eta},$$

where $W_{\varphi,\eta}$ is the usual Goren-Kassaei stratum defined in 4.3. We have a similar list of strata in Y_{κ} as in 5.1.

- 0-codimensional: W_{Bp,Ø}, W_{Ø,Bp}, W_{βp,1,βp,1}, W_{βp,2,βp,2}.
 1-codimensional: W_{Bp,βp,1}, W_{Bp,βp,2}, W_{βp,1,Bp}, W_{βp,2,Bp}.
- 2-codimensional: $W_{\mathbb{B}_n,\mathbb{B}_n}$.

The graph in 5.5 generalizes to our case, so that under the valuations $(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},1},\nu_{\mathfrak{p},2})$ the loci of codimension 0, 1 and 2 correspond respectively to the four vertices, the four edges and the interior of the square $\mathbf{J} = [0, 1]^2$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, we can define similarly the supergeneral locus $W^{sg}_{\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i},\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i}}$ of $W_{\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i},\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i}}$ to be the region where the *a*-number of the universal \mathfrak{p} -divisible group $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ equals to one, and the superspecial locus $W^{ss}_{\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i},\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i}}$ to be the area where the universal \mathfrak{p} -divisisible group $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ is superspecial. Now, Propositions 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8 generalize word by word to the tubes $]W^{sg}_{\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i},\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i}}[$ and $]W^{ss}_{\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i},\beta_{\mathfrak{p},i}}[$, because all the proofs have only used the properties on the *p*-divisible group $A[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$.

To state the generalization of Prop 5.14, we introduce

$$S^{\text{can}} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \mid x_1 + px_2 > 1 \text{ and } x_2 + px_1 > 1\}$$

So the locus canonical at \mathfrak{p} in (4.8.1) is also denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2 - \{\mathfrak{p}\}} \times S^{\mathrm{can}}).$

Proposition 6.7. Let $\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma} S_{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2}$ be a closed and connected neighborhood of $\vec{1}$. Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_2$ as above. Let $\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbb{B}}$, and f be a $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform defined over $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma}S_{\mathfrak{q}})$ with eigenvalue $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Assume that $v_p(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \min\{k_{\mathfrak{p},1},k_{\mathfrak{p},2}\} - 2$. Then f extends uniquely to a $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform over $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}}S_{\mathfrak{q}}\times(S_{\mathfrak{p}}\cup S^{\mathrm{can}}\cup\mathbf{J}_{\Box})).$

Proof. First, the same argument as in Prop. 4.14 applied to the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -operator shows that f extends to $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\neq\mathfrak{p}} S_{\mathfrak{q}} \times (S_{\mathfrak{p}} \cup S^{can}))$. Since Prop. 5.6 and 5.8 generalize naturally to our case, we just need to copy the proof of Prop. 5.14 word by word. The only place that needs more justification is Step 2 of 5.14, where we used Lemma 5.12. We can define similarly the quasi-compact open subsets $V_{i,n}$ and $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$ as in 5.10. In general, their global structures maybe be complicated. However, what we really need from 5.12 is the fact that $V_{i,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a strict neighborhood of $V_{i,n}$. This is certainly true in the general case, because the universal \mathfrak{p} -divisible group $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ is exactly the same as in Lemma 5.12, and the generalized V_n and $V_{n,\epsilon}$ are cut out by certain special local lifts of the partial Hasse invariants at \mathfrak{p} in the same way.

6.8. Proof of Thm. 2.17. Let f be an overconvergent eigenform as in the statement of 2.17. We choose a closed and connected neighborhood $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma} S_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}^{\Sigma_2}$ of $\mathbf{\vec{1}}$ where f is defined. Applying successively Prop. 6.5 and 6.7 for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma$, we get an eigenform f defined over $\mathfrak{Y}_{rig}(\mathbf{I}^{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\square}^{\Sigma_2})$. Now Theorem 2.17 follows immediately from Lemma 6.2, Prop. 4.15 and Prop. 2.7.

Appendix A. Some results on the extension of sections in rigid geometry

The purpose of this appendix to summarize some general results on the extension or gluing of sections in rigid geometry. The basic ideas of the proofs are already contained in the work of Kassaei [Ks06] and Pilloni [Pi09].

A.1. Let \mathcal{O}_K be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer π , $k = \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi)$, and K be the fraction field of \mathcal{O}_K . We say a topological \mathcal{O}_K -algebra A is *admissible* if it is topologically of finite type and flat over \mathcal{O}_K , i.e. A is a π -torsion free quotient algebra of the usual Tate algebra of convergent power series in several variables over \mathcal{O}_K . An *admissible formal scheme* over \mathcal{O}_K is a quasi-compact and separated formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ which is locally the formal spectrum of an admissible \mathcal{O}_K -algebra. An affine formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$ is admissible over \mathcal{O}_K if and only if A is an admissible topological \mathcal{O}_K -algebra.

Let X be an admissible formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K . According to Raynaud, we can associate to X a quasi-compact rigid analytic space X_K over K, called the rigid generic fiber of X. Similarly, to each coherent sheaf \mathscr{F} over X, we can attach a coherent rigid analytic sheaf \mathscr{F}_K over X_K . We denote by X_0 the special fiber of X, and we have a natural specialization map sp : $X_K \to X_0$ of topological spaces (cf. [Be96] Chap. 1). If U_0 is a locally closed subset of X_0 , we put $]U_0[= \text{sp}^{-1}(U_0)$, and call it the tube over U_0 in X_K . If $U \subset X$ is the open formal subscheme with special fiber U_0 , then we have $]U_0[= U_K$.

Lemma A.2 (cf. [Pi09], Lemme 7.1). Let X = Spf(A) be an admissible affine formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , $U_0 \subset X_0$ be an open subset of its special fiber, \mathscr{F} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module flat over \mathcal{O}_K , and $\mathscr{F}_0 = \mathscr{F}/\pi\mathscr{F}$. If the restriction map $H^0(X_0, \mathscr{F}_0) \to H^0(U_0, \mathscr{F}_0)$ is an isomorphism, then the restriction map

$$H^0(X_K, \mathscr{F}_K) \to H^0(]U_0[, \mathscr{F}_K)$$

is also an isomorphism.

Proof. Let U be the formal open subscheme of X corresponding to U_0 . The the exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{F} \xrightarrow{\times \pi} \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}_0 \to 0$ of sheaves on X induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{0}(X,\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^{0}(X_{0},\mathscr{F}_{0}) \\ & \downarrow^{\iota} & & \downarrow^{\sim} \\ H^{0}(U,\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^{0}(U,\mathscr{F})/\pi H^{0}(U,\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^{0}(U_{0},\mathscr{F}_{0}), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are natural reductions, and the vertical arrows are restrictions. Since the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism and $H^0(U, \mathscr{F})$ has no π -torsion, an easy diagram chase shows that ι is injective. On the other hand, since $H^0(U, \mathscr{F})/\pi H^0(U, \mathscr{F})$ is a finite over $A/\pi A$, Nakayama lemma implies that $H^0(U, \mathscr{F})$ is a finite A-module, and the image of ι generates $H^0(U, \mathscr{F})$. Hence, the restriction map ι is an isomorphism. The Lemma follows immediately by inverting π .

65

Lemma A.3. Let X be an admissible formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , $U_0 \subset X_0$ be an open dense subscheme of the special fiber, and \mathscr{F} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module flat over \mathcal{O}_K . Then the restriction map

$$H^0(X_K, \mathscr{F}_K) \to H^0(]U_0[, \mathscr{F}_K)$$

is injective.

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be the open formal subscheme corresponding to U_0 . Since X is quasicompact and separated, we have a natural isomorphism $H^0(X_K, \mathscr{F}_K) \simeq H^0(X, \mathscr{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$; and similar statement holds with X replaced by U. Hence, it suffices to prove that the restriction map $H^0(X, \mathscr{F}) \to H^0(U, \mathscr{F})$ is injective. Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ be a finite open covering of X by affine admissible formal schemes over \mathcal{O}_K . Since $H^0(X, \mathscr{F}) \to$ $\prod_{i \in I} H^0(V_i, \mathscr{F})$ is injective, we are reduced to proving that $H^0(V_i, \mathscr{F}) \to H^0(U \cap V_i, \mathscr{F})$ is injective for all $i \in I$. The density of U_0 implies that

$$H^0(V_i,\mathscr{F})\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k = H^0(V_{i,0},\mathscr{F}/\pi\mathscr{F}) \to H^0(U_0\cap V_{i,0},\mathscr{F}/\pi\mathscr{F})$$

is injective. Let $f \in H^0(V_i, \mathscr{F})$ such that $f|_{U \cap V_i} = 0$. Then there exists $f_1 \in H^0(V_i, \mathscr{F})$ with $f = \pi f_1$. Since \mathscr{F} has no π -torsion, we deduce that $f_1|_{U \cap V_i} = 0$. Repeating this process, we see that f = 0, because $H^0(V_i, \mathscr{F})$ is π -adically separated. \Box

In general, if X_0 is a locally noetherian scheme and \mathscr{F} is a coherent sheaf on X_0 , we denote by depth_{X₀}(\mathscr{F}_x) the depth of \mathscr{F}_x as an $\mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}$ -module for any $x \in X_0$.

Proposition A.4. Let X be an admissible formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , $Y_0 \subset X_0$ be a closed subscheme, \mathscr{F} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module on X flat over \mathcal{O}_K , $\mathscr{F}_0 = \mathscr{F}/\pi\mathscr{F}$. Assume that for any $x \in Y_0$, we have depth_{X0}($\mathscr{F}_{0,x}$) ≥ 2 . Then the restriction map

$$\iota: H^0(X_K, \mathscr{F}_K) \to H^0(]X_0 - Y_0[, \mathscr{F}_K)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. First, we have $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}) \geq \operatorname{depth}_{X_0}(\mathscr{F}_{0,x}) \geq 2$ for all $x \in Y_0$ by [Ma86, Thm. 17.2], i.e. Y_0 has at least codimension 2 in X_0 . In particular, $X_0 - Y_0$ is dense in X_0 . The morphism ι is therefore injective by Lemma A.3. Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ be a finite covering of X by affine open admissible formal subschemes. By [SGA2, II 3.5], the natural map $H^0(U_{i,0},\mathscr{F}_0) \to H^0(U_{i,0} - Y_0,\mathscr{F}_0)$ is an isomorphism. It results from Lemma A.2 that

$$H^0(U_{i,K},\mathscr{F}_K) \to H^0(]U_{i,0} - Y_0[,\mathscr{F}_K)$$

is an isomorphism for all $i \in I$. Let $f \in H^0(]X_0 - Y_0[,\mathscr{F}_K)$, and f_i be its restriction to $]U_{i,0} - Y_0[$. By the previous discussion, f_i extends naturally to $U_{i,K}$. We have to show that the $f_i|_{U_{i,K}\cap U_{j,K}} = f_j|_{U_{i,K}\cap U_{j,K}}$ for $i, j \in I$. As $U_{i,0} \cap U_{j,0} - Y_0$ is dense in $U_{i,0} \cap U_{j,0}$ and $f_i|_{U_{i,0}\cap U_{j,0}-Y_0[} = f_j|_{U_{i,0}\cap U_{j,0}-Y_0[}$, we conclude by Lemma A.3.

Recall that we say a noetherian local ring A satisfy the condition S_2 , if depth $(A) \ge \min\{2, \dim(A)\}$. For instance, all the normal rings or Cohen-Macaulay noetherian local rings satisfy the condition S_2 .

Corollary A.5. Let X be an admissible formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , and \mathscr{F} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module locally free of finite type. Let $Y_0 \subset X_0$ be a closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 2 such that for any $x \in Y_0$, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}$ satisfy the condition S_2 . Then the restriction map

$$H^0(X_K, \mathscr{F}_K) \to H^0(]X_0 - Y_0[, \mathscr{F}_K)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The condition S_2 implies that $\operatorname{depth}_{X_0}(\mathscr{F}_{0,x}) = \operatorname{depth}_{X_0}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0,x}) \geq 2$ for $x \in Y_0$. The Corollary follows immediately form the Proposition.

A.6. Norms. Let $|\cdot| : K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a non-archimedean absolute value on K with valuation ring \mathcal{O}_K . We fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K. Note that $|\cdot|$ extends uniquely to \overline{K} . If L is a finite extension of K, we always consider L as a subfield of \overline{K} by choosing a K-embedding, and we denote by \mathcal{O}_L the ring of integers in L. The following treatment on norms follows [Pi09, 5.3].

Let X be an admissible formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , and \mathscr{F} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module locally free of finite type. Let x be a rigid point of X_K with values in a finite extension L/K. Then x comes from a morphism $\tilde{x} : \operatorname{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_L) \to X$ of formal schemes over \mathcal{O}_K . Let $(e_i)_{i\in I}$ be a basis for the finite free \mathcal{O}_L -module $\tilde{x}^*(\mathscr{F})$. We have a natural identification $x^*(\mathscr{F}_K) = \tilde{x}^*(\mathscr{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. For $f = \sum_{i\in I} a_i e_i \in x^*(\mathscr{F}_K)$ with $a_i \in L$, we put |f| = $\max_{i\in I}\{|a_i|\}$. It is easy to check that this definition does not depend on the choice of the basis $(e_i)_{i\in I}$. Let $U \subset X_K$ be an admissible open subset, and $f \in H^0(U, \mathscr{F}_K)$. We put $|f|_U = \sup_{x \in U(\overline{K})} |x^*(f)|$. Note that it is possible that $|f|_U = +\infty$ if U is not quasicompact. If U is quasi-compact and reduced, it follows from the maximality principle that $|f| < +\infty$, and we have |f| = 0 if and only if f = 0. Therefore, in this case, $H^0(U, \mathscr{F}_K)$ is a Banach space over K.

Remark A.7. In Corollary A.5, if f is a section of \mathscr{F}_K over $|X_0 - Y_0|$ with $|f||_{|X_0 - Y_0|} \leq C$ for some $C \geq 0$, then it is easy to see from the proof that its natural extension to X_K still satisfy $|f|_{X_K} \leq C$.

This following lemma is a variant of [Ks06, Lemma 2.3], and the proof is of also quite similar.

Lemma A.8. Let X be an admissible formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K such that its rigid generic fiber X_K is smooth, and \mathscr{F} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module locally free of finite type. Let U_0 be a quasi-compact admissible open subset of X_K , and

$$U_0 \supset U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \cdots \supset U_n \supset \cdots$$
$$U_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \cdots \supset V_n \supset \cdots$$

be two infinite sequences of quasi-compact admissible open subsets such that U_n is a strict neighborhood of V_n . Assume that no connected component of U_0 is contained in $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n$. Let $F_n \in H^0(U_0 - V_n, \mathscr{F}_{rig})$ and $F'_n \in H^0(U_n, \mathscr{F}_{rig})$ satisfying the following condition.

- (a) $F_n|_{U_0-V_{n-1}} = F_{n-1}$ for $n \ge 2$;
- (b) $|F_n F'_n|_{U_n V_n}$ tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$;
- (c) there exists a constant C > 0 such that $|F_n|_{U_0-V_n}, |F'_n|_{U_n} \leq C$ for all $n \geq 1$;

Then there exists a unique section $F \in H^0(U_0, \mathscr{F}_K)$ such that $F|_{U_0-V_n} = F_n$ and $|F|_{U_0} \leq C$.

Proof. By assumption, each connected component of U_0 has a non-empty intersection with $U_0 - V_n$ for n sufficiently large. The uniqueness of F with $F|_{U_0-V_n} = F_n$ follows from the principle of analytic continuation in rigid geometry [Be96, 0.1.13]. We note also that the estimation $|F|_{U_0} \leq C$ is trivial. It remains to prove the existence of F. This is a local problem for the Grothendieck topology on X_K . By choosing a finite open affinoid covering of X_K over which \mathscr{F}_K is trivial, we reduce to the case where U_0 is affinoid and $\mathscr{F}_K = \mathcal{O}_{X_K}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}$ be the subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} consisting of sections with norm at most 1. Up to renormalization, we may assume that F_n, F'_n are sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}$ and

$$|F_n - F_n'|_{U_n - V_n} \le |\pi|^n$$

Consider the quotient sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}/\pi^n \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}$. Then F_n, F'_n glue together to a section $\overline{G_n} \in H^0(X_K, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}/\pi^n \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K})$ for each $n \geq 1$. Note that the exact sequences

$$0 \to \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K} \xrightarrow{\times \pi^n} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K} \to \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K} \to \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K} \to 0$$

induce an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \to H^0(U_0, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}) \to \varprojlim_n H^0(U_0, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K} / \pi^n \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}) \to H^1(U_0, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}).$$

By a result of Bartenwerfer [Ba78, Thm. 2] (which uses the smoothness of X_K), there exists $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $c \neq 0$ such that $cH^1(U_0, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K}) = 0$. Therefore, the sections $\{c\overline{G}_n; n \geq 1\}$ come from a certain $G \in H^0(U_0, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X_K})$. Then we can take $F = \frac{G}{c}$.

Appendix B. Zink's theory on Dieudonné windows and canonical local coordinates at superspecial points

In this appendix, we prove some results needed in Lemma 5.12. We fix a prime number p > 0.

B.1. Recall first the definition of windows in [Zi01] and the extension to *p*-adic complete rings in [Ki09b]. Let R be a *p*-adically complete and separated ring. A *frame for* R, denoted by (S, J, φ) or simply by S, is a surjective ring homomorphism $S \to R$ with kernel J, where

- (1) S is a p-adic ring flat over \mathbf{Z}_p , equipped with an endomorphism φ lifting the Frobenius on S/pS.
- (2) J is an ideal equipped with divided powers compatible the natural divided power structure on pS.

For a frame (S, J, φ) for R, a *Dieudonné* S-window over R is a finitely generated projective S-module \mathcal{M} together with the following data:

- (1) a submodule $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$ containing $J\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M}/\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$ is a projective *R*-module;
- (2) a φ -linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that $\varphi(\mathrm{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}) \subset p\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M} is generated over S by $\varphi(\mathcal{M})$ and $\varphi/p(\mathrm{Fil}^1\mathcal{M})$.

It is easy to see from (2) that $1 \otimes \varphi$ is injective and $p\mathcal{M} \subset (1 \otimes \varphi)(\varphi^*\mathcal{M})$. So there exists a unique morphism $\psi : \mathcal{M} \to \varphi^*(\mathcal{M})$ of S-modules such that the composite $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} \varphi^*(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} \mathcal{M}$ is the multiplication by p. We say a Dieudonné S-window $(\mathcal{M}, \operatorname{Fil}^1\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is an S-window if the image of

$$\varphi^{n-1*}(\psi) \circ \cdots \circ \varphi^*(\psi) \circ \psi : \mathcal{M} \to \varphi^{n*}(\mathcal{M})$$

is contained in $(p, J)\varphi^{n*}\mathcal{M}$ for sufficiently large n.

B.2. Let R be a p-adic complete and separated ring. A divided power surjection over R is a surjective ring homomorphism $S' \to R'$ with kernel J', where R' is a R-algebra and J' is equipped with a divided power structure and consists of elements topologically nilpotent in the p-adic topology. Let G be a p-divisible group over R, and put $G_0 = G \otimes_R (R/p)$. By [BBM82], we can associate contravariantly with G a crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$ over the big crystalline site of R. That is, to each divided power surjection $S' \to R'$ over R, we associate a finite locally free S'-module $\mathbb{D}(G)(S' \to R')$, such that for a morphism of divided power surjections over R

$$\begin{array}{c} S' \longrightarrow S'' \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ R' \longrightarrow R'', \end{array}$$

we have $\mathbb{D}(G)(S'' \to R'') = S'' \otimes_{S'} \mathbb{D}(G)(S' \to R').$

Now let (S, J, φ) be a frame for R. We put $\mathcal{M}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)(S \to R)$. By [BBM82], we have a canonical isomorphism of S-modules $\mathcal{M}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G_0)(S \to R/p)$. Since the crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$ commute with base change, we deduce from the morphism of divided power surjections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & & \varphi & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ R/p & & & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Frob}_{R/p}} & R/p \end{array}$$

a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}(G_0^{(p)})(S \to R/p) \simeq S \otimes_{\varphi, S} \mathbb{D}(G_0)(S \to R/p) = \varphi^* \mathcal{M}(G).$$

Therefore, the Frobenius homomorphism $F_{G_0} : G_0 \to G_0^{(p)}$ and the Verschiebung $V_{G_0} : G^{(p)} \to G_0$ induce respectively homomorphisms of S-modules

$$1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^* \mathcal{M}(G) \to \mathcal{M}(G) \text{ and } \psi : \mathcal{M}(G) \to \varphi^* \mathcal{M}(G).$$

We have $(1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \psi = p$ since $V_{G_0} \circ F_{G_0} = p_{G_0}$. Note that $R \otimes_S \mathcal{M}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)(R \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Id}_R} R)$ by the base change property of the crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$. Let ω_G denote the module of invariant differentials of G relative to R, and $\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\vee})$ be the Lie algebra of the dual of G. By [BBM82], we have a Hodge filtration

$$0 \to \omega_G \to R \otimes_S \mathcal{M}(G) \to \text{Lie}(G^{\vee}) \to 0.$$

We define $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G)$ to be the inverse image of ω_G in $\mathcal{M}(G)$. Then we claim that $\varphi(\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G)) \subset p\mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is generated by $\varphi(\mathcal{M}(G))$ and $\varphi/p(\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G))$. Indeed, if R is an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p and S = W(k), this is well known in the classical Dieudonné theory. Since the formation of the crystal $\mathcal{M}(G)$ commutes with arbitrary base change, the general case of claim follows from this special case. Therefore, we get a Dieudonné S-window $(\mathcal{M}(G), \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G), \varphi)$. Since ψ is induced by V_{G_0} , it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{M}(G), \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G), \varphi)$ is an S-window, i.e. the extra nilpotent condition on ψ is verified, if and only if G has no multiplicative part.

Theorem B.3 ([Zi01], Thm. 4). Assume R is excellent. Then the contravariant functor

$$G \mapsto (\mathcal{M}(G), \mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G), \varphi)$$

constructed above induces an anti-equivalence between the category of p-divisible groups over R without multiplicative part and the category of S-windows over R.

We point out that, since we have used contravariant Dieudonné theory, the *p*-divisible group corresponding to an *S*-window $(\mathcal{M}, \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ in our sense is the dual of the *p*-divisible group associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ in the sense of Zink.

B.4. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, and W = W(k). Let $g \ge 2$ be an integer, \mathbf{F}_{p^g} be the finite field with p^g elements, $\mathbf{Z}_{p^g} = W(\mathbf{F}_{p^g})$. We identify the set of embeddings of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} into W(k) with $\mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. Let R be a W-algebra. We say a p-divisible group G over R has formal real multiplication (or simply RM) by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} if G has dimension g and height 2g, and is equipped with action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} such that Lie(G) is a locally free $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}$ -module of rank 1.

Let (S, J, φ) be a frame for R, and G be a p-divisible group with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} over R. The action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} on G induces a natural action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} on the Dieudonné S-window $(\mathcal{M}(G), \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G), \varphi)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(G)$ becomes a locally free $S \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}$ -module of rank 2. Hence, we have canonical decompositions

$$\mathcal{M}(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{M}(G)_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G)_i,$$

and $\varphi(\mathcal{M}(G)_{i-1}) \subset \mathcal{M}(G)_i$. Note that we have canonical isomorphisms of free $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}$ -modules of rank 1:

(B.4.1)
$$\omega_G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \omega_{G,i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G) / J \mathcal{M}(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G)_i / J \mathcal{M}(G)_i,$$

(B.4.2)
$$\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\vee}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Lie}(G^{\vee})_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(G)/\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{M}(G)_i/\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}(G)_i.$$

Assume now R has characteristic p. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, we have a commutative diagram of φ -semi-linear maps

where the vertical arrows are natural quotient maps and $\operatorname{HW}_{G,i}$ is the *i*-th component of the usual Hasse-Witt map on $\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\vee})$. If f_i is a basis of $\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\vee})_i$ over R for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, there exists $t_i \in R$ such that $\operatorname{HW}_{G,i}(f_{i-1}) = t_i f_i$. We call t_i the *i*-th partial Hasse invariant of G (for the basis $(f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}$).

B.5. Let G_0 be a superspecial *p*-divisible group with RM by \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} over *k*. That is, G_0 is isomorphic to the *p*-divisible group of a product of supersingular elliptic curves of *k*. Then by [GO00, 5.5.4], such a G_0 is unique up to isomorphism, and the (contravariant) Dieudonné module $M(G_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} M(G_0)_i$ of G_0 can be explicitly described as follows: Each $M(G_0)_i$ is a free *W*-module of rank 2 with basis e_i, f_i , and the Frobenius is given by

$$\varphi(e_{i-1}, f_{i-1}) = (e_i, f_i) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, in the Hodge filtration $0 \to \omega_{G_0} \to M(G_0) \otimes_W k \to \text{Lie}(G_0^{\vee}) \to 0$, ω_{G_0} is generated by the image of $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}}$, and $\text{Lie}(G_0^{\vee})$ is generated by the image of $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}}$.

By [GO00, 2.3.4], the formal scheme which classifies that the deformations of G_0 as p-divisible groups with RM by \mathbb{Z}_{p^g} is given by $\operatorname{Spf}(R^{\operatorname{univ}})$ with $R^{\operatorname{univ}} = W[[T_1, \cdots, T_g]]$. We equip R^{univ} with an endomorphism φ which acts on W via Frobenius and sends T_i to T_i^p . Then $(R^{\operatorname{univ}}, 0, \varphi)$ becomes a frame of R^{univ} itself. Let $(\mathcal{M}^{\operatorname{univ}}, \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}^{\operatorname{univ}}, \varphi)$ be the Dieudonné R^{univ} -window of the universal deformation G^{univ} over R^{univ} . By [loc. cit.] and the relation between displays and Dieudonné windows, the universal R^{univ} -window has the following description: In the canonical decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{univ}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{univ}}_i,$$

each $\mathcal{M}_i^{\text{univ}}$ is a free R^{univ} -module of rank 2 with basis $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$, and we have $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}_i^{\text{univ}} = R^{\text{univ}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$. The Frobenius map on $\mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}}$ is given by

(B.5.1)
$$\varphi(\mathbf{e}_{i-1}, \mathbf{f}_{i-1}) = (\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ p & T_i \end{bmatrix},$$

and the morphism $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}} \to \varphi^*(\mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}})$ is thus given by

(B.5.2)
$$\psi(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i) = (\varphi^* \mathbf{e}_{i-1}, \varphi^* \mathbf{f}_{i-1}) \begin{bmatrix} -T_i & 1\\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the image of \mathbf{f}_i in $\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\operatorname{univ}})_i^{\vee}$ forms a basis. Therefore by (B.4.3), the *i*-th partial Hasse invariant of $G^{\operatorname{univ}} \otimes_{R^{\operatorname{univ}}} (R^{\operatorname{univ}}/p)$ is just the image of T_i in $R^{\operatorname{univ}}/p$.

Remark B.6. Let \tilde{G}_0 be the base change of G^{univ} to W via the map $T_i \mapsto 0$. The *p*divisible group \tilde{G}_0 has many interesting properties, and can be considered as the canonical lifting of G_0 to W. For example, if g is odd, then \tilde{G}_0 has an action by $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2g}}$ extending the RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} . If g is even, then \tilde{G}_0 has a decomposition $\tilde{G}_0 = H_+ \times_{\text{Spec}(W)} H_-$, where H_+ and H_- are *p*-divisible groups of dimension g/2 and height g. Moreover, there are natural actions of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} on H_+ and H_- satisfying the properties of "formal complex multiplication" by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} .

B.7. Assume that g is even. For any integer $m, n \ge 1$, let $R_{m,n} = W\{t_1, \dots, t_g\}$ be the p-adic completion of the polynomial ring $W[t_1, \dots, t_g], \iota_{m,n} : R^{\text{univ}} \to R_{m,n}$ be the homomorphism of W-algebras given by

$$T_i \mapsto \begin{cases} p^m t_i & \text{for } i \text{ odd,} \\ p^n t_i & \text{for } i \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly to R^{univ} , we equip $R_{m,n}$ with the endomorphism φ that acts as Frobenius on Wand $\varphi(t_i) = t_i^p$. This makes $(R_{m,n}, 0, \varphi)$ a frame for $R_{m,n}$ itself. Let $G^{m,n}$ be the base change of G^{univ} to $R_{m,n}$. Since $\iota_{m,n}$ is compatible with Frobenius, the Dieudonné $R_{m,n}$ -window $(\mathcal{M}^{m,n}, \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}^{m,n}, \varphi^{m,n})$ associated to $G^{m,n}$ is just the base change of $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}}, \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}}, \varphi)$ via $\iota_{m,n}$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$ denote the image of $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$ in $\mathcal{M}^{m,n}$ by an obvious abuse of notation. We have $\operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}^{m,n} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}} R_{m,n} \mathbf{e}_i$ and

$$\varphi^{m,n}(\mathbf{e}_{2i-1},\mathbf{f}_{2i-1}) = (\mathbf{e}_{2i},\mathbf{f}_{2i}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & p^n t_{2i} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \varphi^{m,n}(\mathbf{e}_{2i},\mathbf{f}_{2i}) = (\mathbf{e}_{2i+1},\mathbf{f}_{2i+1}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & p^m t_{2i+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following proposition can be considered as a relative version of 3.20(a) in a more general setting.

Proposition B.8. (a) There exists two finite and flat closed group schemes $H^{m,n}_+ \subset G^{m,n}[p^m]$ and $H^{m,n}_- \subset G^{m,n}[p^n]$ stable under the action of \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} , and such that we have

(B.8.1)
$$\omega_{H^{m,n}_{+,i}} \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \\ R_{m,n}/p^m R_{m,n} & \text{for } i \text{ even}, \end{cases} \quad \omega_{H^{m,n}_{-,i}} \simeq \begin{cases} R_{m,n}/p^n R_{m,n} & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } i \text{ even}, \end{cases}$$

(b) Let $(\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{+}, \operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{+}, \varphi_{+})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{-}, \operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{-}, \varphi_{-})$) be the Dieudonné $R_{m,n}$ window over $R^{m,n}$ associated with the quotient $G^{m,n}/H^{m,n}_{+}$ (resp. $G^{m,n}/H^{m,n}_{-}$). Then they are actually $R_{m,n}$ -windows, and $\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{+}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{-}$) is naturally identified with the free $R_{m,n}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{M}^{m,n}$ genearated by $(\mathbf{e}_{2i-1}, p^m \mathbf{f}_{2i-1}, p^m \mathbf{e}_{2i}, \mathbf{f}_{2i})$ (resp. by $(p^n \mathbf{e}_{2i-1}, \mathbf{f}_{2i-1}, \mathbf{e}_{2i}, p^n \mathbf{f}_{2i})$)
for $1 \leq i \leq g/2$ with the induced Fil¹ and φ -structures. In particular, we have

$$\varphi_{+}(\mathbf{e}_{2i-1}, p^{m}\mathbf{f}_{2i-1}) = (p^{m}\mathbf{e}_{2i}, \mathbf{f}_{2i}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ p & p^{m+n}t_{2i} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\varphi_{+}(p^{m}\mathbf{e}_{2i}, \mathbf{f}_{2i}) = (\mathbf{e}_{2i+1}, p^{m}\mathbf{f}_{2i+1}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ p & t_{2i+1} \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\varphi_{-}(p^{n}\mathbf{e}_{2i-1}, \mathbf{f}_{2i-1}) = (\mathbf{e}_{2i}, p^{n}\mathbf{f}_{2i}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ p & t_{2i} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\varphi_{-}(\mathbf{e}_{2i}, p^{n}\mathbf{f}_{2i}) = (p^{n}\mathbf{e}_{2i+1}, \mathbf{f}_{2i+1}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ p & p^{m+n}t_{2i+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+$ denote the submodule of $\mathcal{M}^{m,n}$ described in (b). We have

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{+} = \operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{M}^{m,n} \cap \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_{+} = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le g/2} \left(R_{m,n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2i-1} \oplus R_{m,n} \cdot p^{m} \mathbf{e}_{2i} \right).$$

From the formulas of φ_+ on $\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+$ given above, it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+, \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+, \varphi_+)$ is indeed a $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ -window. We have to prove that it is indeed a $\mathbb{R}_{m,n}$ -window. From the formulas of φ_+ , it is easy to see that the morphism $\psi_+ : \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+ \to \varphi^* \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+$ is given by

$$\psi_{+}(p^{m}\mathbf{e}_{2i},\mathbf{f}_{2i}) = (\varphi^{*}\mathbf{e}_{2i-1},\varphi^{*}p^{m}\mathbf{f}_{2i-1}) \begin{bmatrix} -p^{m+n}t_{2i} & 1\\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\psi_{+}(\mathbf{e}_{2i+1},p^{m}\mathbf{f}_{2i+1}) = (\varphi^{*}\mathbf{e}_{2i},\varphi^{*}p^{m}\mathbf{f}_{2i}) \begin{bmatrix} -t_{2i+1} & 1\\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now it is direct to check that the image of the morphism

$$\varphi^{(2g-1)*}(\psi_+) \circ \cdots \circ \varphi^*(\psi_+) \circ \psi_+ : \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+ \longrightarrow \varphi^{2g*} \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+$$

is indeed contained in $p\varphi^{2g*}\mathcal{L}_{+}^{m,n}$. This proves $(\mathcal{L}_{+}^{m,n}, \operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{L}_{+}^{m,n}, \varphi_{+})$ is indeed a $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ window, and it thus corresponds to a quotient of $\mathbb{G}^{m,n}$ by a certain kernel $H_{+}^{m,n}$ by Zink's theorem B.3. Similar arguments apply to $(\mathcal{L}_{-}^{m,n}, \operatorname{Fil}^{1}\mathcal{L}_{-}^{m,n}, \varphi_{-})$ and $H_{-}^{m,n}$. This proves statement (b). By our construction, the *p*-divisible group $\mathbb{G}^{m,n}/H_{+}^{m,n}$ is clearly equipped with RM by $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{g}}$. Therefore, the finite flat closed subgroup scheme $H_{+}^{m,n}$ of $\mathbb{G}^{m,n}$ is stable under $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{g}}$. From the exact sequence of groups over $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$

$$0 \to H^{m,n}_+ \to G^{m,n} \to G^{m,n}/H^{m,n}_+ \to 0,$$

we get an exact sequence of $R^{m,n} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_{p^g}$ -modules

$$0 \to \omega_{G^{m,n}/H_+^{m,n}} \to \omega_{G^{m,n}} \to \omega_{H_+^{m,n}} \to 0.$$

In view of the relations (B.4.1), we have a canonical isomorphism of $R^{m,n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_{p^g}$ -modules

$$\omega_{H^{m,n}_+} \simeq \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}^{m,n} / \operatorname{Fil}^1 \mathcal{L}^{m,n}_+ = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le g/2} R_{m,n} / p^m \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2i}$$

from which (B.8.1) for $\omega_{H^{m,n}_{\perp}}$ results immediately. Similarly arguments work for $\omega_{H^{m,n}_{\perp}}$. \Box

YICHAO TIAN

Remark B.9. (a) Let $\operatorname{Spf}(R^{\operatorname{univ}})_{\operatorname{rig}}$ and $\operatorname{Spf}(R_{m,n})_{\operatorname{rig}}$ be the associated rigid generic fibers of the corresponding formal schemes [Be96, 0.2]. Then $\operatorname{Spf}(R^{\operatorname{univ}})_{\operatorname{rig}}$ is isomorphic to the open unit polydisc **D** of dimension g with parameters T_1, \dots, T_g . Via the morphism of rigid spaces induced by ι_n , $\operatorname{Spf}(R_{m,n})_{\operatorname{rig}}$ is identified with the closed sub-disc

$$\mathbf{D}(m,n) = \{ x \in \mathbf{D} \mid v_p(T_{2i-1}(x)) \ge m, v_p(T_{2i}(x)) \ge n \text{ for } 1 \le i \le g/2 \}.$$

The associated rigid *p*-divisible group $G_{\text{rig}}^{m,n}$ is just the restriction of $G_{\text{rig}}^{\text{univ}}$ to $\mathbf{D}(m,n)$.

(b) Let us relate the results of the Proposition above to the results in Section 3 proven via Breuil-Kisin modules. Let K be a finite extension of W[1/p] with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . Let x be a K-valued rigid point of $\mathbf{D}(1,1)$, i.e. x comes from a morphism of formal schemes $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to \mathrm{Spf}(R^{\mathrm{univ}})$ factoring through $\mathrm{Spf}(R_{1,1})$. We denote by $G_x, H_{+,x}, H_{-,x}$ respectively the pullbacks of $G^{1,1}, H^{1,1}_+$ and $H^{1,1}_-$ over \mathcal{O}_K via x. We have

$$\deg_i(H_{+,x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \end{cases} \quad \deg_i(H_{-,x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $G_x[p]$ is clearly a truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level 1 with RM by \mathbf{Z}_{p^g} over \mathcal{O}_K defined in 3.10 with partial Hodge heights $w_i(G) = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}/g\mathbf{Z}$. Then the closed subgroup schemes $H_{+,x}, H_{-,x}$ are just the group schemes H_+ and H_- obtained by applying Cor. 3.18 to $G_x[p]$. Now Prop. B.8(b) allows us to compute the partial Hasse invariants of the quotients $(G_x/H_{+,x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K/p$ and $(G_x/H_{-,x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K/p$.

References

- [Ab11] A. ABBES, Éléments de Géométrie Rigide: Volume I. Construction et Étude Géométrique des Espaces Rigides, Prog. in Math. 286, Birkhäuser, (2011).
- [AM04] A. ABBES and A. MOKRANE, Sous-groupes canoniques et cycles évanescents p-adiques pour les variétés abéliennes, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 99 (2004), 117-162.
- [AG07] F. ANDREATTA and C. GASBARRI, The canonical subgroup for families of abelian varieties, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), 566-602.
- [Ba78] W. BARTENWERFER, Die erst "metrische" Kohomologiegruppe glatter affinoider Räume, Nederl. Akad. Wetensh. Proc. Ser., A 40, no. 1, (1978), 1-14.
- [Be96] P. BERTHELOT, Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à support propre, Première partie, prépublication 96-03, 1996, available at http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/pierre.berthelot/.
- [BBM82] F. BERTHELOT, L. BREEN and W. MESSING, Théorie de Dieudonné Cristalline II, LNM 930, Springer-Verlag, (1982).
- [BR93] D. BLASIUS and J. ROGAWSKI, Motives for Hilbert modular forms, Inven. Math. 114 (1993), 55-87.
- [Br99] C. BREUIL, Une application du corps des normes, Comp. Math. 117 (1999), 189-203.
- [Bu03] K. BUZZARD, Analytic continuation of overconvergent eigenforms, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 1, 29-55.
- [Ca86] H. CARAYOL, Sur les représentations l-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert, Ann. Scient. de l'É.N.S. 19 (1986), 409-468.
- [Ch90] C. L. CHAI, Appendix to "The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields": arithmetic minimal compactification of the Hilbert-Blumenthal moduli spaces, Ann. of Math., Second Series, 131, No. 3 (1990), 541-554.
- [Col96] R. COLEMAN, Classical and overconvergent modular forms, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1-3, 215-241.

- [Col97a] R. COLEMAN, Classical and overconvergent modular forms of higher level, J. de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 9 (1997), 395-403.
- [Col97b] R. COLEMAN, P-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms, Inven. Math. 127 (1997), 417-479.
- [CM98] R. COLEMAN and B. MAZUR, The eigencurve, in *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry*. (Durham 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecutre note series 254, Cambridge Univ. Press (1998), 1-113.
- [DP94] P. DELIGNE and G. PAPPAS Singularité des espaces de modules de Hilbert en caractéristiques divisant le discriminant, *Comp. Math.* **90** (1994), 59-79.
- [DT04] M. DIMITROV and J. TILOUINE, Variétés et formes modulaires de Hilbert arithémétiques pour Γ₁(c, n), in *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory* (A. Adolphson, F. Baldassarri, P. Berthelot, N. Katz and F. Loeser eds.), Walter de Gruyter, (2004), 555-614.
- [Fa10] L. FARGUES, La filtration de Harder-Narasimhan des schémas en groupes finis et plats, J. für die reine und ang. Math. 645 (2010), 1-39.
- [Fa09] L. FARGUES (avec la collaboration de Y. Tian), La filtration canonique des points de torsion des groupes p-divisibles, Ann. Sci. de l'E.N.S., 44 (2011), 905-961.
- [G001] E. GOREN, Lectures on Hilbert modular varieties and Hilbert modular forms, CRM Mono. Series vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2001.
- [GK09] E. GOREN and P. KASSAEI, Canonical subgroups over Hilbert modular varieties, submitted, available at the homepage of the authors.
- [GO00] E. GOREN and F. OORT, Stratifications of Hilbert modular varieties, J. Alg. Geom. 9 (2000), no. 1, 111-154.
- [EGAIV] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de Géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné) IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Second Partie, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.É.S. tome 24 (1965), 5-231.
- [SGA2] A. GROTHENDIECK, Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2), Séminaire de Géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1962, Adv. Stu in Pure Math. vol. 2, North-Holland Publishing Company, (1968).
- [Ha10] S. HATTORI, Canonical subgroups via Breuil-Kisin modules, preprint in 2010, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1012.1110
- [Ks06] P. KASSAEI, A gluing lemma and overconvergent modular forms, Duke Math. J. 132 (2006), no. 509-529.
- [Ks09] P. KASSAEI, Overconvergence, analytic continuation, and classicality: the case of curves, J. für die reine und ang. Math. 631 (2009), 109-139.
- [Ka73] N. KATZ, p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms, in Lect. Notes in Math. 350 (1973), 69-170.
- [Ka78] N. KATZ, p-adic L-functions for CM-fields, Inven. Math. 49 (1978), 199-297.
- [KL05] M. KISIN and K. LAI, Overconvergent Hilbert modular forms, Amer. J. Math. 127 (2005), 735-783.
- [Ki06] M. KISIN, Crystalline representations and F-crystals, in Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, in honor of Vladimir Drinfeld's 50th Birthday, V. Ginzburg editor, Progress in Math. 253, Birkhäuser Boston, (2006).
- [Ki09a] M. KISIN, Moduli of finite flat group schemes and modularity, in Ann. of Math. 170(3), (2009), 1085-1180.
- [Ki09b] M. KISIN, Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations, Inven. Math. 178(3) (2009), 587-634.
- [La10] E. LAU, Relations between Dieudonné Displays and crystalline Dieudonné theory, preprint, arXiv:1006.2720v2.
- [Li10] T. LIU, The correspondence between Barsotti-Tate groups and Kisin modules when p = 2, to appear in J. de Théroie des Nombres de Bordeaux.
- [Ma86] H. MATSUMURA, Commutative ring theory, Cambridge University Press, (1986).

YICHAO TIAN

- [Pi09] V. PILLONI, Prolongement analytique sur les variétés de Siegel, Duke Math. J. 157 No. 1, 167-222.
- [PS11a] V. PILLONI and B. STROH, Surconvergence et classicité : le cas déployé, preprint in 2011, available at the authors' homepage.
- [PS11b] V. PILLONI and B. STROH, Surconvergence et classicité: le cas Hilbert, preprint in 2011, available at the authors's homepage.
- [Ra09] J. RABINOFF, Higher level canonical subgroups for p-divisible groups. Preprint in 2009, arXiv:0910.3323
- [Ra74] M. RAYNAUD, Schémas en groupes de type (p, \ldots, p) , Bull. de la S.M.F. **102** (1974), 241-280.
- [Rap78] M. RAPOPORT, Compactification de l'espace de modules de Hilbert-Blumenthal, Comp. Math. 36 (1978), 255-335.
- [Sa10] S. SASAKI, Analytic continuation of overconvergent Hilbert eigenforms in the totally split case, Comp. Math. 146 (2010), no. 3, 541-560.
- [St10a] B. STROH, Compactification de variétés de Siegel aux places de mauvaise réduction, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 138 (2010), no. 2, 259-315.
- [St10b] B. STROH, Compactification minimale et mauvaise réduction, Ann. Inst. Fourier 60 (2010), no. 3, p. 1035-1055.
- [Ta89] R. TAYLOR, On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms, Inven. Math., 98, (1989), 265-280.
- [Ti10] Y. TIAN, Canonical subgroups of Barsotti-Tate groups, Ann. of Math. 172, No. 2 (2010), 955-988.
- [St97] H. STAMM, On the reduction of the Hilbert-Blumenthal moduli scheme with $\Gamma_0(p)$ -level structure, Forum Math. 9 (1997), no. 4, 405-455.
- [Wi83] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux, Ann. Scient. E.N.S. 16 (1983), 59-79.
- [Zi01] T. ZINK, Windows for displays of p-divisible groups, Moduli of Abelian Varieties, Prog. in Math. 195, Birkhäuser (2001), 491-518.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ, 08544, USA *E-mail address:* yichaot@princeton.edu